Dr David Nixon P.O. Box 382, Capalaba 4157 nixonlab@proton.me

April 2 2025

Judge Dann, Deputy President Queensland Civil and Administrative Tribunal (QCAT) GPO Box 1639 Brisbane, Queensland 4001

And

Medical Board of Australia c/o Australian Health Practitioner Regulation Agency (AHPRA) GPO Box 9958 Brisbane, Queensland 4001

Subject: Response to Amended Directions Request from Lachlan Murdoch, Turks Legal
– Case Number OCR005-25

## The Nature of Reality and Irreconcilable Perspectives

This case pivots on the fundamental nature of reality. The perspectives held by the Medical Board of Australia and myself are diametrically opposed, with no possibility of meaningful reconciliation within the current framework. I have chosen not to participate in these proceedings because to do so would be to concede that I accept the Board's version of reality. I do not. Nor do a significant proportion of the population.

The perspective that I hold—specifically, that the COVID-19 vaccinations are bioweapons, as corroborated by experts including Dr. Francis Boyle, who drafted the Biological Weapons Anti-Terrorism Act of 1989—is not a fringe view, but one shared by countless individuals globally, including medical professionals, researchers, and concerned citizens. It is rapidly becoming a mainstream position.

At what point does the Medical Board's perspective, if rigidly enforced, represent a gross form of discrimination against a significant minority view? One could argue we are already at that point. There are a lot more people who share my perspective than, for example, the transgender population—a group whose views and identity are rightly recognized and protected under anti-discrimination frameworks.

By refusing to recognize my perspective and the perspectives of those who share it, the Medical Board risks perpetuating a gross injustice. An ethical, unbiased tribunal would acknowledge the existence of this conflict of realities and seek to address it with integrity, not dismiss it outright.

This is the reality, and any legal findings or determinations made without acknowledging this truth are not only unjust but fundamentally corrupt.

Furthermore, I am aware that there is significant public and professional interest in my case. How this matter is handled by the Medical Board of Australia (MBA) and QCAT will be closely observed, both within Australia and internationally. The outcome of this

process will reflect not only on myself but also on the credibility and integrity of the institutions involved. It is essential that these proceedings are approached with transparency, fairness, and a willingness to confront uncomfortable truths.

My withdrawal from this process should not be misconstrued as an abandonment of my principles or a concession of wrongdoing. Rather, it is a refusal to participate in a process that refuses to acknowledge the broader context of what is happening globally.

Despite my withdrawal, I reserve the right to appeal any adverse finding made in my absence, and I assert my right to continue my work and advocacy in the public interest.

David Nixon,

MB, ChB, FRACGP

April 2, 2025