

CORRECTED

The Ohio State Jural Assembly Official Weekly Business Meeting Minutes for Thursday, February 20, 2025

by Cristina, Recording Secretary

[7:09 pm] Recording begins, meeting is opened;

Our mission: to assemble, as equal voiced sovereigns, peacefully seeking to learn, to eliminate controversies, and to restore honor to mankind, in keeping with unalienable rights outlined and protected by the First Amendment of the Constitution; the purpose of our meetings: to discuss OSJA business, motion and vote together on all topics of interest to the men and women on Ohio.

The Ohio State Jural Assembly is a never-for-profit, private membership association. We require that each meeting participant embrace the Statement of Peaceful Intent, comply with the Bivens Declaration of Conflicting Allegiances, and, at all times, follow Robert's Rules of Order for Parliamentary Procedure, each being incorporated in its entirety by this reference;

- Accept the proposed agenda.

The agenda is read aloud. No additions are requested under Unfinished or New Business. Request is made by Michael, seconded by Cristina to pass over DRP and JCO until the next meeting to allow additional time for review. Unanimous acceptance of this request.

Motion to accept the modified agenda:

Abstains – 0, No – 0, Yea - 6;

Chair Statement – Glossary project participation of all members is requested as a running dialogue on Telegram. Ross or Cristina will transcribe definitions in real-time as they are provided by members into the proper location on the glossary document posted on: <https://ohio.juralassembly.org/Library-Resources/>

- Welcome – Prayer/Reflection
- 15 seconds of silence for reflection.

The Bivens: Call for Declaration of Conflicting Allegiances is read aloud three times;

there are no responses.

Statement of Peaceful Intent is read aloud. Time is given for consideration by

attendees. There are no departures or objections.

Gallery Welcome - Invitation to silently observe. There are no departures.

Robert's Rules of Order for Parliamentary Procedure reminder

<https://robertsrules.com/> <https://youtube.com/playlist?list=PLo8xOkRa>

Open Old Business – Keith motions, Kim seconds.

Prior meeting(s) outstanding minutes are read for consideration of factual accuracy, and acceptance onto the record after discussion.

- Minutes from February 13, 2025 (with minor corrections);

Abstain - 0, No - 0, Yea - 6; the minutes are hereby adopted onto The Record;

Roll Call - conducted by Kim. Number (7) participants; (2 observers):

Ross & Cristina – Summit

Kim – Medina

Joe - Summit

Michael – Adams

Keith – Fairfield

Tina – Fairfield (observer)

Russ - Tuscarawas (observer)

Kat - Lorain

Officer Reports

Treasury – Keith: no new fiscal activity, only a request for discussion (receipts) to note website and other charitable activities Ross has performed on behalf of OSJA.

Chair Reports

Education – Michael. Nothing new to report; Michael invites anyone who attended Saturday's Grand Jury Commission meeting to comment.

Public Affairs – Cristina. Very long awaited website pages are finally going live, most importantly the BYLAWS and MINUTES pages lawfully establishing historic evidence of existence/continued existence.

Special Committee Report Cristina and Michael note two items (DRP and JCO) that were promised for this evening need one more round of review and will be presented next week. All remaining specific assigned tasks are ready to present for motion votes (see below.)

Unfinished Business

- a) Resignation section in the Bylaws Motion made by Cristina, seconded by Keith; discussion is had, original motion language is reworded according to general consensus.

Motion: vote to accept the addition of a Member Resignations section to the bylaws, at the end of the “Members in Good Standing” section:

Member Resignations:

“Simple, one page declaration forms to resign from OSJA are included in each county settlement packet and on the official website, and, are considered effective as of the date autographed by the member. Originals are to be forwarded to the OSJA state recording secretary for the archives. The recording secretary will then return the original wet ink autographed JCO to the member along with a photocopy of their resignation form (at no cost).

If a member does not complete a formal resignation document, verbal resignations shall be permitted, if issued publicly (before at least 4 witnesses), and with confirmed forethought and reasoning behind their desire to terminate all association with OSJA. This type of resignation shall also be considered effective immediately. Documenting responsibility then falls on the recording secretary present when the remarks were uttered, or transcribed from recording at the earliest possible opportunity via modified form to include names/county CoS of each witness and the autograph of the scribing man or woman.”

Kat motions to accept, Michael seconds.

Abstain - 0, No - 0, Yea – 7

The motion passes and shall be implemented and incorporated onto the record and within the Bylaws immediately.

- b) Removal of Members section of the Bylaws. Motion made by Cristina, seconded by Keith; discussion is had, original motion language is reworded according to general consensus. Amended motion is seconded by Michael.

Motion: vote to accept the replacement of current Removal of Members language in the Bylaws with the following language, and the whole section then to be relocated under “Members in Good Standing” section, above the “Dispute Resolution Process” section:

Removal of Members:

“Removal of a member from this equal-voiced body of sovereigns is not to be taken lightly, and ought not rest on subjective judgements of the few, as none has higher authority than the other, save Our Creator. The assumption is made amongst our members that we share the highest moral and ethical standards, coming from true hearts aiming to honor and serve mankind in the most peaceful manner, making the idea of penalties against one another repugnant. No member shall suffer any penalty without extensive research and opportunities for remediation of offending actions.

For this reason, the **Dispute Resolution Process** exists. The maximum penalty that may be determined against one member by an empaneled anonymous Board of Review of one-year censorship from all interactions with or about OSJA, whether locally or statewide. Censorship is the silencing of the member’s vote and voice in debate, in every regard. This includes every type of meeting, social media, email, phone calls, text messages and the like, but being censored shall in no way alter their membership, which shall at all times be at the discretion of the man or woman. Censored members may only attend meetings to listen via Zoom, as call-in, with no video or chat privileges. A censored member is, necessarily, required to step down from whatever seat(s) they hold within the assembly, but only for the duration of their censorship. No equal-voiced member of this assembly has the right to request or effect the outright removal of another lawfully sworn, oath-compliant man or woman from this assemblage of sovereigns.

Censorship of a member may ONLY take place when recommended by a majority of the anonymous Board of Review members, after failure of the **Dispute Resolution Process**, refusal to comply with being removed by the Bailiff on three or more occasions, OR in such cases where it is determined after review of at least three, third-party facts that the member in question has intentionally and openly violated key components of the Jural Covenant Oath, the Bylaws, the Bivens, the Statement of Peaceful Intent or has been

adjudicated under codes/statutes found guilty of a crime where there is an actual injured man or woman.”

Cristina motions to accept, Michael seconds.

Abstain - 0, No - 0, Yea – 7

The motion passes and shall be implemented and incorporated onto the record and within the Bylaws immediately.

c) Boards of Review section to be added to the Bylaws, just before the current section on Adding Addendums. Ross motions, Keith seconds.

Continuation of discussion is had;

Motion: vote to accept the addition of the following language at the end of the Bylaws, to replace all current references to Boards of Review:

Boards of Review:

A “Board of Review” is understood to mean an odd number of anonymous jurists empaneled in the same manner as, but in a number less than, that of a petit jury (twelve men and women plus three alternates).

- I. The composition of a Board of Review gathered for (“OSJA”) purposes need not be comprised solely of Ohio jurists. All members and alternates of the pool of jurists for said BoR shall have been randomly selected by, interviewed and sworn in by the Grand Jury Commissioner as with any other jury (or the Chief Justice if the review involves the GJC), utilizing the JCO minus language committing to Assembly membership. If the claimant believes Ohio jurists may have inherent biases in the controversy, BoR members (“peer jurists”) shall be sourced from outside OSJA, possibly including other agreeable, like-minded states assemblies, but without restriction, as OSJA becomes aware and in cooperative agreement with same.
- II. The Grand Jury Commissioner shall preside as the consultant in equity and lawfulness to all members of Boards of Review, similar to the manner in which he/she serves every other variation and composition of ‘a jury’ for Ohio under common law.

- III. The number to comprise the BoR jury pool shall be no less than five, no more than eleven.
- IV. The jury pool members shall confidentially self-determine which THREE will anonymously serve as investigative evaluating jurists for each BoR, thus assuring the anonymity of the BoR jurists.
- V. The Moderator-Foreman shall have no authority with regard to Boards of Review, but shall serve as the confidentiality firewall BoR liaison to funnel evidences TO the anonymous jurists and be the designated recipient of confidential inquiries for additional information/evidence FROM the BoR.
- VI. If the Moderator-Foreman is a party to the matter being investigated by the BoR, one of the other BoR jury pool members ('alternates') shall act as the known point of contact between the BoR jurists and those providing evidence, testimony and the like.
- VII. When sourcing out-of-state jurists, preference shall be shown to the North Carolina (Buncombe County) CoS and the leadership at the America First Republic for sourcing potential jurists from other similarly structured assemblies as Ohio.
- VIII. Boards of Review, like any other type of jury, serve only the law/God's Law/'common law' and never any foreign intervenor, de facto code, statute nor other manmade court system;
- IX. The end goal of any grand jury deliberation is to have exhaustively and fearlessly examined the matter from every possible angle with a final recommendation designed to achieve the highest level of equity, elimination of controversy and restoration of honor to all."

Cristina motions to accept, Keith seconds.

Abstain - 0, No - 0, Yea – 7

The motion passes and shall be implemented and incorporated onto the record and within the Bylaws immediately.

d) Chief Justice job description. Topic passed over until next meeting.

- e) Stark County prior actions. County Administrative Oversight section to be struck in its entirety from the Bylaws. Cristina motions, Joe seconds.

Discussion is had regarding ambiguity and confusing subjectivity of the language of this entire segment and how it allows potential abuses by the state over the counties.

Motion: vote to accept the removal of language referencing County Administrative Oversight from the bylaws.

Cristina motions, Keith seconds.

Abstain - 0, No - 0, Yea – 7

The motion passes and shall be implemented and incorporated onto the record and within the Bylaws immediately.

- f) Add autographing JCO to requirements section for members in good standing. Motion made by Cristina, seconded by Joe; Discussion is had, this was clearly a simple clerical error that ought to be corrected.

Motion: vote to add autographed Jural Covenant of Office to page 2, number 9 requirements of Declaration of Unalienable Rights and Declaration of Independence to become a member in good standing with OSJA.

Abstain – 0, Nay – 0, Yea – 7

The motion passes and shall be implemented and incorporated onto the record and within the Bylaws immediately.

- County BLSC; Tabled to the next meeting. (Cristina/Joe)
- Comparison of OSJA Bylaws language to NCJA bylaws. Tabled to future meeting. (Moved by Michael, seconded by Cristina) (Special Subcommittee is needed).

Close Old Business – Michael; Second – Cristina.

In the interest of time there is **no New Business** discussed in tonight's meeting; However, the point is discussed regarding clerical error correction in documents versus editorial alterations.

Adjourn – Kat motions, Kim seconds.

[8:42 pm] Ross ends the meeting;

Next meeting is set for Thursday, February 27, 2025 at 7:00pm via Zoom.

+++++

Being duly elected officers of The Ohio State Jural Assembly, in observation of lawful procedures of certification, do hereby autograph our acceptance onto The Record of the preceding minutes. Adoption and inclusion of affirmed Motions, where applicable, are to be reflected in the most current Bylaws which shall be, as soon as is practicable, posted publicly at the general assembly authorized website for the public record:

<http://ohio.juralassembly.org/Bylaws>

so say we, one and all:

/s/ _____
(name, office, date)

/s/ _____
(name, office, date)

/s/ _____
(name, office, date)