

## Lawful Paper Ballot Election Planning

### TIMESTAMPED NOTES

Zoom Call - 7pm 12/10/24

[6:59 pm] Ross greets the initial callers by stating this is [not an official meeting](#). There will be no scribe nor bailiff, he is handling all those tasks as Amanda had stated last week when this call and the topic was agreed upon by vote held at approx. 10:30pm on Telegram live call mediated by the AFR) [A consensus agreed-upon process for lawful, paper-ballot election to be held on December 17, 2024.](#)

[7:00 pm] Ross extends five minutes grace for late arrivals in order to include as many callers as might desire to participate in this consensus for planning a free and fair paper ballot election. Ross reposts the [agenda](#) for tonight's meeting, which is [the only source for the link](#) for this Zoom call (emailed and posted on Telegram several days ago), **repeating** this is not an official meeting but simply a meeting to plan the process for free and fair paper ballot elections.

[7:03 pm] Kathy Brooks is recognized and advises she is calling in from her hospital bed (where she has been since the 1<sup>st</sup> of December). Prayer for her in the Zoom chat.

[7:04 pm] Because everyone joining the call has their microphones open, Ross asks all who do not formally have the floor (are not speaking) to mute their microphones to avoid feedback or not being fully heard/recorded/understood. All who desire to do so may record this call (he gives instructions & electronically grants permissions to all who choose to record at this time.)

[7:06 pm] Ross shares his screen with tonight's meeting agenda, re-reads the scope of the meeting and introduces the standard format and procedure. Welcomes all participants (18 with three of those lines having multiple participants at this time) and describes in detail the previously agreed upon (by vote, 12/03/24) meeting purpose, format, and limitations of tonight's discussion.

[7:07 pm] Kat opens the meeting in prayer, asking that this meeting be peaceful and directed at all times for the greater good.

Ross calls for 15 seconds of silent reflection on the prayer.

[7:08 pm] Ross reads the Bivens: Declaration of prior sworn allegiances. **There are no responses.**

[7:11 pm] Lisa Hester (Medina) interrupts the meeting by incorrectly calling an unspecified Point of Order and proceeds to start reading off her Notice of Trespass Harm against Ross in this public forum. Ross responds by attempting to prevent Lisa from issuing this inflammatory rhetoric in this public forum and redirects all to the Agenda and the agreed upon topic of this meeting: To discuss and plan for a Lawful, Paper-Ballot Election for The Ohio State Jural Assembly. Lisa continues to refuse to recognize the Moderator, repeatedly refuses to yield the floor back to the Moderator, unlawfully claiming she has a Point of Order and she is the “only one lawfully permitted and obligated to conduct this meeting.”

See Robert’s Rules of Order for permissible purposes for calling “Point of Order.”

See Recording.

{indiscernible} loud crosstalk between many meeting participants.

[7:15:30 pm] After repeated warnings regarding out of order inflammatory remarks and purposeful disruptions, refusal to peacefully comply with the proper meeting procedure and many voices arguing in favor of continued disruptions, Lisa is exited from the meeting.

[7:15:33 pm] Reminder and brief discussion of what Robert’s Rules of Order IS and Why it is critical in public meetings for all to have a CIVIL, fair and equal voice. Emphasis is made that The only authorized version of The Ohio State Jural Assembly Bylaws WILL BE FOLLOWED.

[7:16 pm] Roll Call. Twenty-seven attendees.

Ann Marie – Medina

Ross Marley – Summit

Merida – Medina

Todd Phillips – Lorain

Awaken and Change – Lorain (Amanda)

Kathy Brooks – Fairfield

Cristina – Summit

Adrienne – Medina (and Brian –

Medina)

Dave Roberts – Lorain

Sam – Lorain

Sam Strong – Cuyahoga

Flo – states Medina (papered in Cuyahoga)

Kat – Lorain

Kim – Medina

Michael – Adams

Keith – Fairfield

Amy – Franklin and Ron – Franklin

Debbi – Lorain and Terry – Lorain

Julie A – Medina

Mark's iPhone (Charmaine) – Lucas

Renee – Lorain

Doc and Tammy Reichert – Licking

Jean – Lorain?

Ross repeats the review of the posted/mailed agenda and emphasizes the only purpose of tonight's unofficial meeting is to review and discuss the procedure for a Lawful, Paper Ballot Election for OSJA that was previously agreed upon to be scheduled for December 17, 2024. Reminder also that this meeting, its topic and the moderating of it by Ross was all majority voted in favor AND at the request of Amanda openly and on the recorded phone call and by Telegram notification to the statewide Assembly last week.

[7:22:38 pm] Danielle rejoins the meeting on an unidentified cell phone. She states that she has on the line with her: Julie A., Laura and Lisa, all from Medina. Ross thanks these participants for cooperating with the Roberts Rules of Order and OSJA standard rules and procedures so this meeting can be conducted in a civil manner, allowing all voices to be heard productively on the topic of the meeting: To come to a consensus agreement on proper procedure for Lawful Paper Ballot Election next Tuesday, Dec. 17, 2024. Out of order, Danielle interrupts the speaker. Ross refuses to permit the disruption and continues to outline the Agenda for those who may have missed it the first time. Ross reminds all attendees that there was a fact finding proceeding conducted on the AFR live chat last Tuesday evening and tonight's meeting is a result of that call. He asks if anyone has any questions about that.

Danielle is given the floor for three minutes. She rephrases and repeats the earlier Notice read by Lisa and re-instigates the prior controversy and inflammatory rhetoric, making false and defamatory accusations against Ross (and ? "you guys"), issuing misinformed statements about dereliction, rights and responsibilities of Assembly members and incorrectly attempting to use the Bylaws, Roberts Rules of Order and the Jural Covenant of Office against Ross and Cristina. Danielle demonstrates her misunderstanding of the idea of our not-yet-formalized Conflict Resolution Process claiming that the entire Assembly had a right to review the facts and evidence prior to an anonymous, unbiased Board of

Review comprised of Jural Covenant of Office oathbound Assembly members. Danielle states she does not talk about other members of OSJA behind their backs and never in a defamatory or malicious way. She then proceeds to have one on one conflict discussion with and about Ross in this very public forum, on recording that will be posted to the internet as an open meeting. She continues until her three minutes are up and then moves on to attack Cristina. **Ross advises Danielle her time is up, she refuses to yield the floor claiming Marshal Law twice**, Ross points out she hasn't spoken to the topic of the meeting. Multiple voices in the room with her speaking over her {indiscernible.} Ross reminds her again that her time is up and he is moving to the next speaker who requested the floor.

Charmaine is given the floor for three minutes. She objects to the word "everybody" who agreed last week to hold a lawful paper-ballot election on the call with the AFR mediators. The referenced call, where Danielle, Lisa, Dave and others all argued that the members present on that call were the fair representation of all assemblies across the state and "if you needed anyone else on the call to fairly represent the State, you should have had them on the call tonight (December 3, 2024). Charmaine proceeds to argue that the Moderator, and the printed and distributed Agenda have no meaning, nor does the Moderator have the responsibility nor authority to set the agenda. Charmaine makes remarks emphasizing her personal non-business relationship with Ross and scolds him for his behavior. Her position is that because OSJA has always disregarded certain sections of the Bylaws this eliminates those sections of the bylaws in perpetuity, regardless of an lawful objection with merit. At least seven overlapping voices heard here – indiscernible as Ross tries to move to the next speaker who has properly requested the floor.

Danielle interrupts out of order. She unlawfully makes a motion to immediately rewrite the bylaws and whatever other documentation indicating that certified paper ballots are the lawful procedure, "seeing as how we've never done it that way."

No one asks for the floor. Multiple (five or six?) other voices in overlapping conversation – indiscernible –

Dave Roberts is given the floor for 3 minutes. Ross clarifies that this is a Q & A about paper ballots. Dave claims that because the vote on the AFR call was not "statewide," it has no validity. Dave yields the floor.

Lisa claims Danielle was given the floor by Dave (See recording?) Lisa, for a third time, attempts to motion to censor Ross without proof or evidence of the false witness she has provided. **Cristina requests the floor.** Lisa continues with her inflammatory rhetoric.

Multiple voices – {indiscernible} – Lisa shouting over them –

Lisa claiming dereliction of duty for not performing as Pro Tem Moderator [when Amanda was present and conducting meetings], falsely accusing Ross of actions which were not his own. Again, **Cristina asks for the floor.** Lisa claims Ross is in violation of parts of the Bylaws which do not exist. She claims that the Board of Review section of the Bylaws means a review of all evidence must be presented as a public claim to the WHOLE assembly prior to anonymous unbiased Board of Review [whose responsibility it is to determine whether there is cause for claim], and because Ross did not present all evidence publicly to the Assembly as a whole but instead took the matter to an independent Board of Review, in doing so, he denied the whole assembly due process and all this makes him in violation of his Jural Covenant Oath. Lisa claims this happened on two separate occasions. She states “this places Ross in grievous peril where there can be no return.” Claims he has violated his oath, “not acting in a manner that is becoming of a member of OSJA” Lisa claims ROSS deleted videos, minutes and posts of others from the Telegram One People’s rooms. Lisa’s Notice is demanding Ross be censored for 90 days, be removed from all One People’s Telegram pages and not be permitted to attend ANY Committee of Safety meeting anywhere in Ohio. He is required to relinquish passwords and all other OSJA ‘property’ to Dave Roberts. Dave will assemble an Ohio Board of Review after January 1, 2025 to consider what other punitive discipline will be added against Ross unless he resigns the Assembly. Lisa’s three minutes are up. **Ross points out this is not an official meeting so not the place for this type of discussion.** Lisa shouts over him “I need a second to move this motion forward” and Danielle also shouts over Ross that she seconds the motion. Many shouted voices can be heard demanding the floor “NOW!” – indiscernible.

[7:34:37 pm] Ross attempts to allow someone who has not spoken yet have the floor, in keeping with Robert’s Rules of Order. Charmaine demands the floor. **Lisa is asked by Ross whether she just read a Notice (of Trespass Harm).** Many shouted voices overtalking – indiscernible. Lisa responds, “I, wha, what are you talking about, I, can’t hear you?” Ross repeats the question. Lisa replies that she **did** read off her statement. **Ross attempts to explain the lawful process for Notices.**

Lisa, Danielle, Julie and others interrupt Ross and all can be heard talking over each other.

Lisa answers the question (of whether she was attempting to resolve a conflict) She says No, I brought a motion (against you) to the floor. She argues that she could have written the words she just read “on my ass if I wanted to” Ross supports Lisa using her voice (she responds talking over him that, “...his fucking voice...”) Ross overtalks Lisa – this is NOT the forum for what she’s trying to do here. He specifies if she believes he has done harm, he is entitled to receive a paper notice directly to his address so he can respond appropriately. He reminds everyone we are to behave in a civilized manner and speech, even in an unofficial meeting.

Many overtalking female voices – indiscernible.

Dave Roberts requests the floor. Many other overtalking voices. Ross asks Lisa if she understands he has just requested that she send him that accusation of harm in writing so he can properly respond, Lisa replies, “Quit asking me questions like that. This isn’t a real meeting. What are you trying to Do?” Ross replies by restating the agenda.

Ross directly asks Lisa if it was her intent to participate peacefully in the meeting or if it was her intent to intervene and overtake the lawfully previously agreed upon topic tonight?”

Many overtalking voices stating their objection to the topic, and the agreement proposed by Dave, Amanda, Lisa, Danielle, Laura, Flo, Adrienne and others and agreed to by all last week on behalf of those on the call tonight. Ross restates his intent to stick to the topic and if the intent of any participant is merely to disrupt that process they should kindly exit themselves from the call.

[7:39 pm] Flo is given the floor for three minutes. She attempts to yield her three minutes to Lisa. Ross explains this is not a de facto meeting and that’s not how it works. Ross attempts to move to a speaker who has not had the floor yet.

There are many overtalking voices mockingly claiming that the moderator has no authority, has no responsibility nor power to set an agenda and should not be speaking or directing the meeting flow at all. Flo yells over all voices demanding that Lisa have another three minutes. Lisa demands a vote on her out-of-order motion. Ross restates the purpose of the meeting and requests a proper paper Notice of this alleged harm so he can respond appropriately.

Many voices overtalking. Ross again asks those who do not intend to participate in planning the paper ballot election to leave the meeting.

Sam Strong (Cuyahoga – new member under Flo) asks about meeting dates/times. **This is her first meeting.** She insists that statements of the Moderator, the Agenda and stated purpose of the meeting are disregarded if we follow the typical pattern of a meeting “it’s an official meeting whether or not you like it” and she demands a vote on the out-of-order motion. Ross points out that there is no scribe nor bailiff BECAUSE it’s NOT an official meeting.

Lisa, Danielle, Flo, Dave and others can be heard heckling the Moderator, “Take the Vote... Take the Vote.... Take the Vote...”

Lisa claims that because she goes off topic and out of procedural order constantly during her (Medina) County CoS meetings that she now has the right to demand Ross do the same.

Dave Roberts “Take the Vote!”

Sam claims that Ross shouldn’t even be allowed to speak right now because of the motion on the floor. Ross again points out that it’s not an official meeting, the motion was made out of order and is not in proper form, **Cristina again asks for the floor.** Sam then claims that Ross didn’t make it clear at the beginning of the meeting that this wasn’t an official meeting.

Ross then states that IF you have anything to say about planning a paper ballot election according to the topic of the meeting, you will be allowed to take the floor, in order, and each speak equally, otherwise, since everyone has had their mic open most of the meeting creating chaos, he is muting everyone unless they have their hand up to speak, then he will take people IN ORDER.

[7:40:30] Many loud voices are heard challenging Ross’ use of the word “allow,” challenging Ross’ right as Moderator to manage the meeting, phrases like “who the hell are you” and “how dare you” can be heard – female sources indiscernible. Ross holds the floor and states he is here to prevent obfuscation and usurpation of the Assembly and goal of this meeting – to properly plan for a paper ballot election.

Lisa, “your audience is giving you feedback and you SUCK!” Lisa is politely asked to mute herself for the remainder of the meeting due to her inability to control her language. She refuses.

Dave Roberts “Take The VOTE”

Sam Strong, “The motion needs to be voted on – all in favor, say AYE!”

Chorus of overtalking voices – indiscernible. {Note in the chat at this point votes “Aye” from the following participants:

Dave Roberts, AYE! **Cristina demands the floor. “to prevent people from continuing to embarrass themselves, Please”** Her request is once again ignored. Danielle, Aye, Lisa, Aye, Julie, Aye, Laura, Aye, Flo, Aye, many additional voices overtalking, Danielle acting like scribe, Lisa acting like Bailiff counting votes – Brian and Adrienne, Aye.

Ross, “This is NOT going to happen – that’s not why we are here. This is not an official meeting. Flo, do you have any contributions concerning a paper ballot or are you here for some other agenda?”

[7:42:50 pm] **Dave Roberts states that Ross does not have the power or authority to set an agenda, to determine what may or may not be done in the meeting or to take the floor back from any member who is speaking.** Dave demands that Ross recognize the motion on the floor and hold the vote. **Cristina states Point of Order.** Ross refuses to accept the motion. **Cristina States Point Of Order.** Dave states, **“that it isn’t Ross’ job to accept (or reject) a motion. That because there was a full motion made on the floor that was seconded, it MUST COME TO A VOTE”.**

Sam claims Ross is derelict in his duties under Roberts’ Rules of Order. Ross insists on a citation from Robert’s Rules. Sam cannot provide one; she claims her first amendment rights are being infringed. Ross asks if her intention in joining the meeting was to participate in the topic or to incite and participate in disruptive unrest. She claims she joined the call because she doesn’t like the direction Ross has taken the assembly (See above where she says this is her first meeting). **She states that her purpose in coming on was to participate in a planned Emergency Vote against Ross because of the chaos he has done to OSJA.** Sam continues in argumentative fashion. Ross invites everyone back next Tuesday for an official meeting – Sam states that this shouldn’t be delayed any more because it’s nauseating. **Dave Roberts tells Ross, “Next week You Will Not Be Moderator.”**

Ross responds to Sam’s implied question by saying Sam, the reason we are doing it this way is because Due Process is a right. It’s an UNALIENABLE right. Our rights Do Not End. If you don’t have the patience for due process, I don’t know what to say to you but you took an oath to the



Constitution, you took an oath to the 5<sup>th</sup> Amendment.” Sam claims, again, Ross has failed in all his oaths. Ross repeats that if anyone believes he has failed in anything they have his phone number, and his email address and he encourages everyone to give him proper Notice so he can respond appropriately in the correct forum. **Sam claims that Dave Roberts was denied his due process “during that other TRIAL” so why should any of us do that for you!”** Cristina asks again for her Point of Order to be recognized. Ross continues exchange with Sam, attempting to get her input on paper ballots. Lisa interrupts, Sam talks over Lisa but both agree that “no one” wants to talk about paper ballots. Ross asks the group, “Then why did you come on this call? It appears that the goal was to prevent this discussion from happening and it’s wasted way too much of all of our time.”

[7:46:56 pm] Many people overtalking. Indiscernible. Charmaine complains that she’s been waiting to be allowed to speak until everyone else has had an opportunity, then she mutes herself. Dave accuses Ross of muting Charmaine. Ross repeats the agenda is to talk about paper ballots.

Michael asks for the floor, Cristina clarifies that she has had a Point of Order on the floor for quite a while. Charmaine claims she was next. Ross grants Charmaine her other 90 seconds then states he will go to Michael after that. THEN we will get to Cristina. Charmaine praises Ross “THAT’s your job, Ross! THAT’S YOUR JOB – to DECIDE who’s in order, it doesn’t matter if anyone else is speaking!” Charmaine then asks who decided this was a planning meeting. The details of the December 3<sup>rd</sup> fact finding hearing were discussed; Charmaine repeats her earlier argument.

Dave argues that there are not now, nor ever have been (apparently) seated officers because the 9/20/24 election was voided and all prior elections were held without paper ballots. With complete disregard to the presence of Michael Taylor, Treasurer for the fifth election cycle in a row, Dave then claims that Lisa is the only lawful officer at the meeting (elected after 9/20/24), and because Lisa is the only lawful officer, she automatically steps up into the position of State Moderator.

[7:50 pm] Michael asks about paper ballots, the Waiver of those, “precedent” and the Bylaws. Why was there ever a waiver of the requirement for paper ballots, what changed? Ross describes reasons for the Waiver of paper ballots that Dave made in September of 2020 stands, as any waiver of procedure that is unanimously accepted would stand, only until there is an objection to that waiver. Ross describes this, essentially, could be referred to as ‘the honor system’. Because of the conduct of the meeting participants on the Zoom call at the 9/20/24 election, there was more than one assembly member who objected to the way that election was CONDUCTED. Waiver of the need for paper

ballots clearly can no longer work due to the growth of our Assembly. “Our honor system was broken in that (9/20/2024) meeting.”

Female voice can be heard mocking “why, because you didn’t like the results of the election?”

Michael asks for clarification, asking if there were members who believed the election was co-opted; Ross responds by using the word interrupted, “....interrupted even though the interrupter could not identify (on the three occasions this was requested by the Board of Review) any just cause for interrupting” stating that when this matter was presented multiple times over the last few weeks none of the collaborators had any justification for their actions nor rebuttal whatsoever to the facts of the self-acknowledged unjustifiable disruptive “interruption” of the election.

[7:54:43 pm] Cristina once again asks for the floor.

Michael goes on to clarify that in the strictest terms, an *insurrection* involves violence. He circles the discussion back to how do paper ballots play out – would this need to be an in-person submission of ballots or what other methods discussed? One example for possible resolution so people don’t have to drive 6 hours or more round trip would be a *mail in, thumbprint verification included* is discussed as it is something many of us already agreed to on the Bilateral Social Compact.

Dave Roberts interjects that the Bilateral Social Compact has no bearing on Ohio, that the AFR has no authority nor jurisdiction on any member of OSJA, nor should their methods or practices be considered for OSJA since the Bilateral Social Compact that he claims sole authorship of is **ONLY applicable as a directive TO the de facto government and is not binding among or between its signers.** Amanda seconds that.

Ross gives Julie the floor for three minutes then he agrees to yield the floor to Cristina. Julie asks if we can do a motion about voting on paper. Ross reiterates the conversation that has been had multiple times on this call – the Bylaws state paper ballots and the waiver worked while we were all behaving honorably and nobody challenged an election.

**POINT OF CLARIFICATION HERE: DAVE ROBERTS WAS THE ONE WHO CHALLENGED THE ELECTION, DURING THE ELECTION. HIS INTERFERENCE REVOKED THE WAIVER OF PAPER BALLOTS.**

Ross gives the floor to Sam Strong again. Asks about what a neutral location to mail ballots would even BE. Claims corruption is guaranteed, nobody wants it, paper ballots haven’t been used for

so many years that it's just not worth it. This planning meeting just won't work because nobody wants to do what you're trying to plan.

[27 attendees out of over 300 statewide.]

[8:00:01] Ross gives Cristina the floor for three minutes. She asks participants who all have their mics open to kindly mute so all can hear. Ross states that he will mute people if there is overtalking. Cristina issues a proper Point of Order for Clarification and testifies to every aspect of the out-of-order motion (actually a Notice of Harm from Lisa). All points are fabricated accusations and willful inaccuracies. Cristina focuses on detailing specifically how Dave Roberts had consulted together with her many times early this year during an internal conflict about how an *independent* Board of Review would be required if any such incident arises in the future; a group outside of Ohio, all sworn to the same Jural Covenant of Office as the papered members of OSJA. At that time (February 2024), Dave had specified the desire to first look at sourcing members from the Buncombe County, North Carolina Assembly. Cristina clarifies that she meticulously followed this procedure and guaranteed that no one outside THE OTHER STATES ASSEMBLIES DAVE ROBERTS HIMSELF HAD SPUN UP with the same Jural Covenant of Office Oath would see anything considered a private recording or document of the Ohio State Jural Assembly.

The 9/20/2024 elections meeting was a public meeting, none of the accusations of sharing private information have merit. Cristina yields the floor.

[8:02 pm] Amanda has the floor for three minutes. Amanda contradicts last week's insistence by herself, Danielle and Lisa on the AFR Fact Finding Hearing Call that the people present on that call were "fully and fairly representative of the entire general Ohio State Jural Assembly." Amanda claims invalidity of the December 3, 2024 vote until after the entire Assembly can vote on it. Amanda claims there were assembly members who revived the harm after voting to hold harmless, who violated the bylaws by failing to follow a procedure, implying the Hold Harmless Agreement was voided by Kat and Cristina (Cristina reminds all again, she voted against Hold Harmless and her reasons for doing so).

Ross asks for Amanda to cite the exact location in the Bylaws that were violated in the objection process. Amanda claims that mediations are required; grievances were agreed to be brought to the floor of the general assembly before being taken to an anonymous Board of Review. Ross

clarifies that what she just stated is not in the Bylaws nor was mediation ever implied or indicated in the Code of Conduct/Dispute Resolution process that was discussed for several months almost a year ago.

Amanda repeats her claim that whatever agreements were made last week are invalid as they were made “in the spirit of peace” and alternately “under duress” and “without the fair representation of the state of Ohio general assembly.” Ross asks Amanda why none of these statements were made last week. Dave Roberts replies that it doesn’t matter because that fact finding hearing was unlawful. Danielle accuses Ross of not bringing the objection to the 9/20/2024 election until two months later. Ross points out that Amanda still has the floor. Amanda asks Ross to repeat the question. He asks why she didn’t bring any of these violations of due process points forward when we were all on the call for over two hours with the AFR last Tuesday night (12/03/2024). Dave Roberts interrupts to advise that he has had a phone call with Sean Beller since last Tuesday night’s call and “Sean is on our side.” Amanda states, “He (Sean Beller) asked us to stay in Peace so everything that was said and done last Tuesday night was under duress and unlawful.” Amanda added “Sean insisted that we come to a quick resolution so we don’t have to kick anybody out.”

Ross asks Amanda why she came to tonight’s meeting if it wasn’t to plan a paper ballot election as she stated last week she wanted.

Amanda, “To make new this Assembly and work together as a team. So, that’s what we agreed (with Sean Beller) to do right before the call (12/3/24 Fact Finding Hearing) and we (Dave and Amanda) changed our whole direction [of] (intent for) that meeting because we (Dave and Amanda) thought that was the right thing to do.”

Ross then points out that Amanda suggested (and all agreed) that \*due to his duress during the September 20, 2024 election meeting\* “Ross should moderate tonight’s planning call on paper ballots **and** moderate the election on 12/17/2024.”

Amanda then calls that statement “Ross’ CLAIM”(duress) Ross states the recording (of December 3, 2024 live chat on AFR) supports those exact words (“Ross should moderate the paper ballot planning meeting on 12/10/24 and the election on 12/17/24”) being spoken by Amanda. Lisa interjects that we should all vote on the recording, “if Ross is claiming he was under duress, we should all have access to the recording to vote on it.”

**This must be a reference to the audio recording of December 3, 2024 Fact Finding Hearing conducted by Mark McQueen because the recording of the September 20, 2024 election has been posted multiple times for all to view as early as three hours after the meeting ended.**

Ross indicates Amanda's three minutes is up and we will move on to the next man or woman who has productive remarks to make regarding planning a paper ballot election. Dave asks for the floor. Ross indicates he is waiting for Amanda to respond to the question. She is silent.

Dave has the floor for three minutes. Dave unlawfully calls for a vote on whether or not to do paper ballots. Ross indicates that as Moderator, it is his responsibility to call for votes, NOT Dave or anyone else. Dave talks over Ross, and demands to be heard out and he repeats his call for a vote on whether or not to use paper ballots. Ross restates that this is a planning meeting and no votes will be taken tonight.

Lisa states "we" don't accept you as moderator. Ross restates his request that if you are not here to plan a consensus paper ballot procedure, kindly stop disrupting our conversation and leave the meeting. Dave raises his voice to say "you wanted to know about paper ballots, you wanted a solution. I'm going to give you the solution. We're going to have a vote. How many want paper ballots on this Assembly? **This is the lawful assembly of the whole.**"

Ross takes back the floor and redirects this into a discussion of possible reworking of the written bylaws, NOT A VOTE. Dave and others continue to talk over Ross. Dave states repeatedly, "You want a paper ballot. THAT'S NOT GONNA HAPPEN." Ross continues to request a discussion on proposals for a lawful remedy to the situation. Dave demands a vote RIGHT NOW to eliminate the language on paper ballots in the Bylaws. He claims that is the will of the Assembly that there be a vote right now on whether or not to have paper ballot elections. This back and forth continues (4+ minutes). Dave repeatedly unlawfully calls for a vote.

Charmaine takes the floor. Dave calls for a vote again. Ross again refuses to take any votes that would change the Bylaws or alter the processes of the Assembly without proper notice to the statewide Assembly and ample time for consideration before that vote is brought to the floor.

Charmaine restates her earlier argument. Dave demands a vote again. Ross, again explains the Bylaws, the 2021 waiver to the Bylaws and the broken honor system by Dave's insurrection.

Charmaine repeats her earlier argument: we've always done it that way so that part of the Bylaws has been made void and cannot be returned to without a vote of every papered member in the Assembly to the affirmative. Charmaine proceeds to swear and then talk over Ross repeatedly disingenuously apologizing as he is trying to yield the floor to the next speaker who has not spoken yet.

Charmaine repeatedly emphasizes that the AFR has no authority, none of the independent Jural Covenant of Office oathbound Board of Review members have any idea how an Assembly is supposed to work so there is no way anything they say ought to be held with any regard at all. "It means NOTHING." Ross clarifies and Charmaine specifies that it is not her intent or desire to throw out the Bylaws.

[8:14 pm] Sam, Danielle and Charmaine all state their belief that repeated actions (of any kind) on the part of assembly members constitute amendments to the Bylaws. Charmaine summarizes that her conclusion is "only common sense." "Whenever you have twelve people, that's a flipping grand jury, my brother. We gotta grand jury here tonight. WE get to decide."

**TRY THAT WITH THE DE FACTO – I don't like that speed limit and neither does anyone else!**

Charmaine then claims that a Common Law Grand Jury can "go outside any laws if they feel like it." (Dave's star student and #1 supporter) Then she claims that those on tonight's call ARE a Grand Jury. Anybody that doesn't like it can come in later and they can rebut the decision of this Grand Jury through an affidavit. We can bypass any Bylaw, any Compact, any law under anybody except God because we decide it, as a whole and if somebody doesn't like it they can step up later and they can rebut it but for right now, as it stands, Charmaine repeats this several times in a row until her three minutes is up.

Ross repeats that the WAIVER of the Bylaws is not the rule unless the Assembly as a whole has a proper vote <Dave Roberts, TAKE THE VOTE!> to change the bylaws. Ross also points out that there is no grand jury until there is a Jury Foreman who selects anonymous jurists, a Grand Jury Commissioner, twenty-four jurists and seven alternates. Charmaine repeats herself again. Dave again demands a vote for or against paper ballots.

Charmaine goes on to state that four years of permitting a waiver has established such a strong precedent that, “THE BYLAWS ARE OBSOLETE.” Dave, “That’s Right. Take the Vote!”

Ross repeats the reason for allowing a Waiver to paper ballots was there was such a small group of men and women gathered in someone’s living room four years ago in 2020, were mutually trustworthy enough that there was no concern about compliance with the honor system. Since the unruly conduct during the September 20, 2024 meeting, there has been an objection requiring the Assembly to revert back to the written policies/procedures in the Bylaws. The September 2021 election and the September 2022 election was also held amongst few enough participants that honor and decorum were still not a concern. The September 2023 election had twelve members and only in the six months between March and September 2023 did it become apparent that certain necessary procedures were lacking – that of verification of papered membership in good standing for elected officers (Tim Pickett could not have been papered as a violent felon without the majority vote by the statewide assembly, and, his membership paperwork was never archived with the state – an absolute requirement to be considered “in good standing”). During the 9/20/2024 election there were Forty-one participants (41) and the honor system was not complied with from five minutes into the meeting – non-members were speaking and directing the meeting even during the business discussion.

Significant very loud crosstalk. See recording.

[8:18:44 pm] Michael has the floor for three minutes. Dave interrupts to call for a vote on the motion on the floor to change the Bylaws to no paper ballots. Ross restates that he is not taking any motions or votes. This is a planning meeting. Lisa (or Sam?), “You’re not in Charge of this meeting!” Much arguing back and forth. Indiscernible. See recording. Dave again states that the objection to the insurrection of 9/20/2024 was not presented lawfully.

Michael finally takes the floor at [8:19:52 pm] Michael directs the Assembly back to our God given rights and responsibilities and how we are failing in that assignment because we have no signed copies of the original founding documents to comply with (Dave initially threatens and blames Ross but when Ross produces evidence of his efforts to get those documents from Lizzie, Dave then blames the first OSJA Scribe Lizzie, members in the chat speculate Dave retained all those signed copies.) Discussion is had about the 2 year time period between Lizzie’s resignation and her eventual relinquishing of ‘state archives’ which had no membership documents, no founding paperwork and

only spiral bound notebooks with scribbles and doodles. Dave interrupts Michael to demand a vote on paper ballots be taken.

Michael yields. Dave again demands Ross take a vote. Ross reminds Dave of the mutually agreed upon nature of this meeting. To plan an agreeable process for paper ballot elections. Dave interrupts, “I didn’t ask you that. Are you gonna take a vote on whether we’re gonna do ballots or not. Cuz you’re not gonna get what you want.”

[8:24:22 pm] Ross (to Dave), “Did you come on this meeting to plan for paper ballots or did you come on this meeting to obfuscate and usurp {overtalking}” Dave: “I came here under the Jural Assembly’s right as a majority to take a vote, and a vote was put on the floor and you’re ignoring the vote.”

It is unclear whether Dave is referring to Lisa’s initial reading of her Notice of Harm (she called it a Motion to Censure Ross as Pro Tem Moderator for actions that had no basis in fact) which she had insisted repeatedly be put to a vote, which Danielle seconded and Dave demanded a vote on at least six times previously (prior to Cristina’s clarifying statement), or if the demand for vote he refers to here is the improper demand by Danielle that the people on tonight’s call be considered fully representative of the statewide assembly who have the right to demand instant alteration of the authorized Bylaws and eliminate the language requiring paper ballots.

[8:24 pm] Ross, as Moderator, attempts to redirect the gathering to the agreed upon purpose of the meeting. Dave talks over him stating, “I don’t care what your agenda is, that’s not your job as Moderator. Your job is to facilitate the movement of the meeting. That’s all it is. Not to control it, not to dictate, not to dominate”

This is a Direct contradiction to statements made by Tim Pickett, fully backed and supported by Dave Roberts acting as “continental marshal” between October 1, 2023 and January 23, 2024. Please refer to the meeting minutes and Zoom call recordings.

Ross: “Dave you clearly did not come on this call to peacefully seek an agreeable method to conduct paper ballot elections.” Dave: “And you’re doing all of that.”

Danielle references the 12/03 live chat where she agreed to meet with Ross and come up with a conclusive process, agreeable to the Assembly, for paper ballot elections. Ross points out that the meeting was to be this call, that all who wanted to participate in ensuring the process was agreeable to



the statewide Assembly were going to have a free and equal voice. Danielle reminds everyone that she decided that it would only be her and Ross meeting, in person, to define the paper ballot process and that was her peaceful resolution which Ross fouled [by insisting that other assembly members had a right to be heard on the matter.] Danielle then makes inflammatory remarks about Kat (Lorain) for statements she made on a social media page not connected to OSJA. Ross attempts to prevent Danielle from making inflammatory rhetorical statements about another member of the Assembly in this public setting. Danielle yells over Ross, scolding him like a child for interrupting. She repeats her directive that the meeting **she** agreed to was only going to be a private meeting between her and Ross where they would determine the way the Assembly is going to do paper ballots from now on. AND she specifically said, well, we've never done paper ballots SO we're gonna do the, we're gonna do the Zoom call like we typically have and we're gonna make everybody validate themselves in person on a Zoom call. If they cannot be there for the Zoom call, they are allowed to mail in their notarized signature and their vote to their county (except on the 12/3 call she insisted on those mailed in ballots be sent to her house at 3993 Erhart Road, Medina, Ohio 44256.) So that when the County joins the Zoom call you can't just dismiss Medina, Ross. They can go in and they can say Danielle, yea Nominates Dave. Lisa, Dave. Laura, Dave. Julie, Dave. **Do ya Get Its, Rosh?** Cuz sometime sha win, sometimes You Don't and you just have to TAKE IT {incoherent mumble}

Ross: "What, what I don't get..."

Danielle: "Aaand yer talkin."

Ross: "Well, No, you asked me a question so I wanted to answer you; you said, "Do I get it? I'm still hung up at the part where I reached out to you (the next day)

Danielle: "I know, you keep, you sa

Ross: "to discuss our meeting and then you resp... can I..."

Danielle: "keep going back to we're having a planning meeting."

Ross: "I, uh, I allowed you to speak, can I..."

Danielle: "that That wasn't part of the meeting til aft"

Ross "Will you allow me to

Danielle: "outta, I'd respect should you should Stop saying it"

Ross: “Respond? I reached out to y”

Danielle: “I would like you to [slurring] respond by acknowle

Ross: “Will you allow me

Danielle: “You’re aski

Ross: “You just want to talk over me

Danielle: “ashking everone on thish call

Ross: “and not dialogue”

Danielle: “**Thish ih shomething that was NOT discussed on 12/13!**”

Ross: “okay. Today is 12/10. I’m not sure what you’re talking about, but in review: I reached out to y...”

Dave: “Adjourn the meeting!”

Ross: “No, I need

Dave, overtalking, {indiscernible}

Ross: “Somebody has made a false accusation, and I’m going to make sure I get to rebut the stateme...”

Dave: “Yeah, well you Can’t.”

Many voices overtalking – {indiscernible}

Lisa: (mockingly) “But it’s not about the paper ballots!”

Ross: I’ve muted the two lines who have repeatedly been interrupting the meeting, talking over people because you refuse to allow others to speak. Now, I will speak. Danielle, I reached out to you the day after our AFR meeting. You told me you needed to talk to Amanda and you did not want to talk and discuss the details of planning a paper ballot election process. Before you called me back, you resigned. Then the following day, you went on the AFR [Telegram chat] and you put forth all of these ideas for paper ballot election procedures AS IF this was the result of our meeting and you were advising the assembly of the agreed upon process [during the NINE HOURS she posting inflammatory

rhetoric against members of OSJA]. Danielle did not want to participate in any meeting paper-ballot-oriented, so because this meeting was already scheduled, I moved forward as Amanda had requested and all had voted in favor of last Tuesday evening.

Ross: I'm grateful for those who have chimed in. I'm not grateful for those who have chosen to attend this meeting with the goal of divisiveness and continuing the disruptions initiated on September 20, 2024 in order to prevent a simple planning meeting from achieving a productive outcome. The floor is open to the next man or woman who wishes to address the topic of planning a paper ballot election.

Dave: "Move to Adjourn. This is going nowhere."

Ross: "I am not going to adjourn this meeting until I'm sure every man and woman has had a chance to speak about the process to use paper ballots."

Female voice: "I second the motion to adjourn." Overtalking voices – indiscernible.

**Ross: If you don't want to participate in a paper ballot election, why are you here?**

Much overtalk – indiscernible

Sam: to object to the paper ballots

Ross: This is not an official meeting. We are here to talk about and plan for paper ballots.

Much overtalk – indiscernible

Ross: We are here to come up with ideas and talk about what might be possible. I know the de facto says "with God all things are possible"

Danielle: "ohhh (incoherent) things are possib (indiscernible)"

Ross: Why is it that you've all decided that you'd come here to usurp and obfuscate and not plan a paper ballot (process)?

Female voice: "We don't want you." Multiple other voices – indiscernible

Ross: Show up at the official meeting. Send notices. Do everything responsibility.

Multiple female voices from the Medina group telephone – oh, like You did for DAVE?

Ross: The fact that meeting has a concerted effort by many of you to prevent a planning meeting from occurring, I find that to be harm and trespass.

Dave: “Adjourn the meeting.”

Ross: “I will not adjourn this meeting until everyo

Multiple female voices overtalking on Medina line – indiscernible

Charmaine has the floor. “Here’s the thing, Ross, that I think you’re kinda missing. And, again, I think that you fight for the right, er, uh, good reasons, but most often are misdirected, especially in this scenario. This is, as YOU want to call it, Nobody Else, So you’re the only one out of everybody on this call,

Cristina Objects

Charmaine: minus a couple people, I liked that guy (Michael?) a couple times ago

Ross: Let her speak

Charmaine: because he said he wanted to take a look at what the Bylaws are, Okay, but we never HAVE, so when it comes to this we have set a precedent, **What I see happening, Ross, that I WISH you could Grasp BECAUSE I DON’T REALLY want TO GET RID OF YOU....** I just don’t want you in the position that you’re in because you’re abusing your power, and that should be obvious to you at this point, **[GASLIGHTING FOR DAVE]** (repeats the same argument she has made four times already on this call). Charmaine yields the floor.

Ross reminds everyone yet again that as her last act as moderator, Amanda gave Ross the responsibility to hold this paper ballot planning meeting and to moderate the election. He then asks Charmaine how it is that she believes this is Ross’ doing or how he could possibly be guilty of abusing any power.

[8:31:50 pm] Amanda responds by demanding Ross state what HIS plan is for paper ballot election process “...because I resigned so that everyone could enjoy their holiday and not have it ruined by being forced to deal with all this drama [being pushed to do a paper ballot election.]” Amanda then demands that “...this all stop because the drama is out of hand,” she then calls for a vote on changing the Bylaws to eliminate paper ballots. Ross asks how does writing your name on a piece of paper and putting it in a mailbox ruin the Assembly’s holiday.

Snickers, cross talk, arguing by many voices – indiscernible.

Amanda: There's no time to get anything in the mail, everybody is rushing around, the post offices are packed with people, the mail is slow, and a week from today isn't enough time to notify the Assembly. Amanda argues for delay

[8:32:45 pm] "We don't really ha, have ta, get a chance to, have time to share with the Assembly what our plans are so there is time to share our plans with the Assembly and ask their permission before moving forward. "LIKE IT'S SUPPOSED TO BE DONE IN THE BYLAWS IN THE FIRST PLACE BUT IT WAS WENT AROUND. THAT'S NOT RIGHT. IT'S NOT FAIR."

These are all exactly the same arguments Kat, Cristina, Kim, Keith, Michael and Ross presented to Amanda, Danielle, Lisa and Dave last week on the AFR live chat where Amanda and her 'side' initially demanded 90 days before an election, Sean Beller stated that was far too long, this has already been going on for almost 90 days; he suggested 30 days (January 3<sup>rd</sup>), Ross had suggested December 29<sup>th</sup> and Danielle led the discussion from the Medina Cos demanding that the election take place on December 17<sup>th</sup>.

[8:33:09 pm] Ross points out that there is a clear effort to prevent a successful outcome to tonight's meeting. That the resignation of Danielle and the...

Dave Roberts: "It doesn't matter what you [consider]. It's what the people want!"

Ross: "... and then your resignation, along with the continued comments (on Telegram and) on this call that have had nothing to do with planning [and were clearly destructive to the Assembly and it's reputation] all done here in an unofficial meeting. You're all treating this like this is an official business meeting, when it is NOT

Amanda: "Okay, so What's Your PLAN?"

Ross: "My plan was to hear from the men and women of the Assembly and settle on a process that is satisfactory to all. So, for all those who have not yet spoken, I'm going to call on you one by one, and I want to hear you out."

[8:33:33 pm] Merida, do you have anything to say about paper ballot planning? "I say nay on paper ballots, and I did speak on the chat." Ross invites her back next week to participate in the official Business meeting, then he inquires about whether she showed up to help plan the process for

paper ballot elections. Merida: “I showed up because it was a meeting.” (note: the Zoom link was only available after reading through the posted agenda titled “Lawful Election Paper Ballot Planning.”)

[8:34:20] Julie A. interrupts Ross: “Ross, this is Julie. Ross, Ross. From a legal point, you cannot ask somebody that question. That came on the meeting. You can not ask that question, okay. So you need to read your rules of regular; you can not ask somebody why they showed up to the meeting. They were invited, this is an official meeting, it started with a prayer, it started with Bivens, it started with reading. This is an official OSJA meeting. I’m sorry but you are incorrect and wrong.”

[Bivens?] He can ask, they needed to declare themselves at the beginning of the meeting. He has promised NOT TO KICK THEM OUT but they all needed to have declared themselves up front.

Ross: “If this were an official meeting, there would have to be a scribe taking minutes. We would read back the minutes from the previous meeting; we would be talking about the minutes of the last meeting. We didn’t do any of that.

Overtalking female voices – indiscernible

Ross: I’m going to keep going through participants on the call who have not had a chance to talk [crosstalk from Medina open line 4 or more participants] yet. Ann Marie, I’ll ask you next, are you on this call to hear what men and women are talking about to see if we can reach the target (of planning paper ballot election process) “I came because there was a meeting. My feeling is I don’t think we should have paper ballots; and that’s how I voted at the AFR meeting. I might’ve not’ve been the majority but I said I don’t believe we should have paper ballots. We haven’t had them, and I don’t have the Bylaws to see what is correct or not. It would be Nice, since YOU have the Bylaws if you could share with us on the screen [Ross: “Sure.”] exactly where it says that the ballots need to be written.” (note: the Zoom link was only available after reading through the posted agenda titled “Lawful Election Paper Ballot Planning.”) Dave interrupts – indiscernible.

Ross: “Let me share the screen.” Crosstalk between Medina multi-person telephone and Dave – indiscernible.

Ross: “That’s a valid question, Ann Marie. Thank you for that.” (screen sharing of the Bylaws section on paper ballot requirement).

Danielle: “NO. Also! May I I have a, uh, I wanna ask a question to you Ross. If you were saying that the 9/20 minutes, uh, if that’s invalid because it’s, it isn’t paper, then, eh, every votes taken prior is invalid. Period. PERIOOOOOD!”

Multiple other female voices **overtalking** – who’s, he’s - there’s never been a moderator – absolutely – never ben a – yep never ben a moder – right - that’s right”

Danielle: “... mean’s you are NOT the moderator right now.” Other female voices overtalking – absolutely.

Lisa: “Here here!”

Unidentifiable female voice “you can’t have it both ways, pick one!”

Ross apologizes for missing all the crosstalk by those who did not have the floor. He redirects the attention of the meeting towards the response to Ann Marie’s request to see the section of the Bylaws that state paper ballots are required. He then asks all who do not have the floor to kindly mute so there is no feedback or other interference for those still trying to get their turn to speak.

Danielle: “Ross, you need to STOP. Because, uh nobody, uh, is (slurring) even listening to you because shoe, you are just, uh, it’s not goin mah way so

Ross: “Do you want to plan here or

Danielle: “You’re like a four-year-old

[8:37:08 pm]

Ross: “Are you, uh

Danielle: “Having a temper tantrum

Ross: “Uh..... I, uh, I don’t think I’ve, uh Displayed that, but you’re entitled to your opinion.

Okay, so, Ann Marie, can you see the screen?

Ann Marie: “Yes.

Ross: “Okay. Fantastic. So the screen says: ‘Election of officers shall take place annually in September by paper ballot by the members in good standing.’ (Still showing on the screen):

To hold and office in the State Jural Assembly, the member must be eighteen (18) years of age or older and a member in good standing for six (6) months, must not be serving as a de facto or corporate elected or public official, cannot be holding a title of nobility nor be an attorney holding membership of the BAR; must autograph, under oath, the OSJA Jural Covenant of Office, Declaration of Independence and Declaration of Unalienable Rights, circ. 2020 in purple ink.

The listed offices are to be filled by members that meet the requirements to hold office under these bylaws annually in September. The only exception is the Grand Jury Commissioner who is to hold office for a three year term and Chief Justice, who has a one year overlap into the next Chief Justice' two year term."

Ross: "That's the first sentence in the Bylaws on this matter, do you want me to continue? "

Lisa, Danielle, Laura, Charmaine: "no. I guess you're not a moderator, so no."

Michael: "Ross' point was that he had, that we had no objections before (the 9/20 insurrection) so, when someone objected this year, then that's where the, uh, Review Board read, uh, it read

Charmaine: "Also,

Dave: "The problem is

Michael: "Read our Bylaws and

Dave: "that they didn't take it to a lawful review board

Michael: "indicated that the waiver was no longer

Indiscernible – multiple female voices overtalking

Michael: "no longer in effect (once the objection had been made)

Dave: "They didn't take it to a lawful review board."

Michael: "its in the social covenant (Compact)"

Dave: "outside jurisdiction



Indiscernible – multiple female voices overtalking

Michael: “Bilateral Social Compact

Indiscernible female voice “that’s awfully Convenient”

Dave: “of OSJA before they had exercised all the remedies

Female voice “This is terrible

Dave: “inside the OSJA and that violates.”

Michael: “Is there something like that in the Bylaws regarding that, Dave? Or Ross?”

**[8:38:42] Dave: “THAT’S JUST STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE OF ALL ASSEMBLIES.”**

Ross posts **Bilateral Social Compact on the screen**. “Uh, So, Dave, you and I signed THIS document. You and I are not in Any Other Compact or Covenant agreement, uh, at the time of duress, uh, (referring to the 9/20 election Dave insurrected) the time we went to the AFR. You name appears here (First signature on the BLSC), my name appears here (several pages down) You and I are In Compact WITH THE AFR. We went to the AFR so that we could talk to other sworn assembly membe”

Dave: “ As I explained to Sean (Beller) on

**Ross: “ So You’re Saying that this DOESN’T COUNT? You’re saying that your signature and my signature here mean nothi**

**[8:39:30 pm] Dave: “I’m saying that ABSOLUTELY does not count. As I made it REAL CLEAR to Sean, uh, the business of the State of Ohio, inside the business of the State of Ohio and we are NOT in fellowship with them UNLESS WE NEED THEM. Okay? We don’t need them. Not YET. And you violated**

Ross: indiscipherable

Dave: “that when you, ALL of **you** violated all of that when you jumped over there and asked them, which they did not even follow due process

Interjection here: Due Process, defined: [https://www.law.cornell.edu/wex/due\\_process](https://www.law.cornell.edu/wex/due_process)

Summarized from Black's Law, and extrapolated from the Fifth Amendment, due process is individually defined, on a case-by-case basis but essentially involves being noticed that one is under investigation, what the accusation is, opportunity to tell ones own side of the story, be present for a discussion of factual evidence, the completion of a fair hearing and investigation of the evidence by unbiased reviewers prior to any potential action is taken against the accused.

Importantly, note that everything Ross was accused of amounts to administrative errors (going outside an unwritten, uncoded, presumed "standard operating procedure for all assemblies" which is undocumented anywhere in Any assembly paperwork.) Whereas the insurrection, usurpation of power and fraudulent control over sovereign men and women within the Assembly - which Dave Roberts was not on 9/20/2024 nor should now be a member of (holding oaths to Freemasons, US Marshal, and R USA) – are all, in fact, criminal acts.

[8:39:50 pm} Dave: "They did not even quantify, they did not even quantify your claims. I ha, I've already ha, I've already had this conversation with SEAN, SEVERAL TIMES. You've, you did not, they did not quantify

Interjection – Definition of quantifying a claim: To "quantify a claim" means to put a specific numerical value on a claim, essentially measuring the extent of a loss or damage by providing concrete data and calculations, usually expressed in monetary terms, to support the claim being made; this is particularly important in legal situations where a party needs to demonstrate the precise amount of compensation they are seeking due to a perceived wrongdoing. Key points about quantifying a claim: **Provides concrete evidence:** Instead of making vague statements about damages, quantifying a claim involves using specific data like invoices, receipts, lost profits, or expert analysis to prove the exact amount of loss incurred. **Important in legal proceedings:** Courts typically require claimants to quantify their damages to ensure a fair assessment of the case and determine an appropriate compensation amount. **Can involve complex calculations:** Depending on the nature of the claim, quantifying might require specialized expertise, such as a forensic accountant, to accurately calculate economic losses.

Example:

If someone is making a personal injury claim due to a car accident, quantifying their claim would involve calculating the cost of medical bills, lost wages, and other tangible losses associated with the injury, providing detailed documentation to support the figures.

Dave: “they did not quantify your claim. So they did not have any validity

Indiscernible female voices overtalking

[8:40:10 pm] as to whether your claim was lawful [indiscernible]

Ross: “Okay, Dave...

Dave: “And they should have done that, and if they did, they would have sent you back to OSJA. Sean realizes that already.”

Ross: “I did not Get that. I’m not sure what you’re referring to. I did not see that in writing. I did not hear that from Sean, so I’m not going to accept your statement as true”

Dave: “That’s okay.”

Ross: “I asked you point blank in the meeting two weeks ago, and again last week I asked you point blank : What trespass did you witness that gave you any jurisdiction to interrupt our election (9/20/24) meeting AND YOU COULD NOT ANSWER.

Dave: “You got my answer.”

Ross: “You could not answer that question, Dave.”

[8:40:45 pm] Dave: “You got my answer. Hey, don’t play,

Ross: “I yield the floor back to you to answer that question, Dave.”

(overtalking Ross – indiscernible) Dave: “..don’t play politics here, ”

Ross: “back to other members then. You’ve had your time. We’re not going to get anywhere

Dave: “Oh! What an entrapment! What An Entrapement!”

Ross: “This is not an entrapment

Multiple female voices overtalking

[8:41:00 pm] Charmaine: “What about the fact that he’s just a member of the Jural Assembly? He has a right to an opinion and his voice. Why are you making it more complicated than that, Ross? That’s not cool.”

Multiple overtalking female voices

Ross: “Where do I have authority over Dave if he interrupts a meeting and he’s not a member of

Charmaine: “Ross, uh, where

Other female voices overtalking

Where do you have the – the meeting – he IS

Charmaine: “He IS a member of the Jural Assembly! Just like we all are!”

Amanda: “You gave him the floor.”

Ross: “In September he wasn’t a member, two weeks ago, he wasn’t a member,

**INTERJECTION: last week he wasn’t a member – He Became A Member YESTERDAY.**

[8:41:29 PM] Ross: “I’m going to continue to go around the room. This is a planning meeting and again, I

Danielle: “Thish ish a planning meeding that Rosh saysh is a planning meeding. Thu resht of us are all here da datermin whether ur nah wur goin forwur witha witha papur baluts! An

Ross: “I need to allow other members who have not spoken yet

Danielle: “An mose of us of already sed don’t wanna have

Ross: indiscernible – trying to yield the floor to members who have not yet spoken

Danielle: “Ross, I am so done with you. I am SOOOO Done with you

Ross: “Okay, we’re going to move to other members who

Danielle: “You are so Non re rez rezpreezenative

Ross: “You had your chance to

Danielle: “of a County C C Cos Assembl

Ross: “chance to speak

Danielle: “Sessemblies of the Shtate of Ohio. You are Absolutely the Opposite! You, you Actually just blew (boot?) people off if they don’t have the same opinion as you!”

Lisa: “Dictatorship!”

Multiple female voices overtalking

[8:42:11 pm] Danielle: “You are UNREAL!”

Flo: “Sounds like a dictatorship from the Left” (presumably a reference to the de facto)

[8:42:15 pm] Sam: “That’s Exactly Right!”

Flo: “That’s what I’m hearing. Shame on you, Ross!”

Ross: “Why are you not allowing other members speak when it’s not

[8:42:23 pm] Flo: Because YOU are being abusi

Sam: “You cannot talk over all of us

Laura: “You’re not allowing anybody to speak”

Sam: “You’re Not God!”

[8:42:30 pm} Ross: “I am trying to

Flo: “(indiscernible) Even Cuyahoga is trying to get out of it because of you, Ross! I’m

Multiple female voices overtalking

Sam: “Exactly! You’re Censored!”

Flo: “I’m trying to get things Going and you’re RUINING EVERYTHING!”

Ross: “Whoa. I’m

Flo: “I am not [indiscernible] this is turning into a dictatorship!

Ross: indiscernible

Flo: “I don’t even know who you ARE anymore!

Ross: “moving forward. I am try

Flo: “keep Cuyahoga away from YOU

Multiple female voices overtalking

Ross: “Flo, I did not give you the floor. Danielle, I did not give you the floor. Julie, I did not give you the floor.

Multiple female voices overtalking

Ross: “I would like to give Todd Phillips the floor. Will you please yield the floor to Todd Philli

Flo: “I’ve yielded. I yield this meeting!”

Ross: “Todd, the floor is yours.”

Multiple females chuckling and overtalking

[8:42:55 pm] Ross: “Todd, again, I haven’t heard from you. I saw in the chat you typed that you weren’t happy about paper ballots. The floor is yours; can I get your opinion? Did you come here to plan a paper ballot election?”

Todd: “Well, I really wasn’t, uh, I knew there was a meeting today, you know, and normally I work so I can’t come to every meeting, so, uh, as far as the paper ballots go, you know

Multiple female voices overtalking

Todd: “we have [indiscernible] address here, how can we, you know,

Multiple female voices overtalking

Todd: “approve each [indiscernible]

Multiple female voices overtalking “okay – we gotta go? We can’t listen to

Todd: “ballot without

Multiple female voices overtalking

Ross: “So, uh, again, Todd, God doesn’t put before us anything that we can’t handle. I, uh, I expected men and women to show up to, to perhaps, uh, look at the target and see, see if we, uh, we could come up with something.

[8: 43:55 pm] Uh, again, ALL of your participation is welcome, if you choose. If you want to remain “in the gallery”, that’s fine, if you want to leave the meeting, fine, your opinions are valid and I do thank you (all) for your opinion(s).

I’m going to go on to (yield the floor to) another member, unless you have something more to say, Todd?”

Todd: “Could you not hear me? Or No?”

Ross: “uh, I heard that you said that you come onto the meetings and that you see a challenge in, uh, paper ballots being validated, and lawful. Did I hear you clearly?”

Todd: “Um, pretty much. That’s what I put in the chat.”

Ross: “Uh, uh, okay, uh, again, Todd, your voice is just as important as anybody else in this Assembly in this planning meeting and I thank you for sharing.”

[8:44:30 pm] Ross: “Alright. I’m going to go to Kim Ferrari. Kim, you have not made any comments in this meeting and again, I’d like to just go through and (let everyone) talk to see a) did you come here, uh, to the planning meeting so that you could help plan a paper ballot election that was lawful?”

Kim: “Well, I think the meeting, uh, only being in the Assembly for six or seven months, um, and I signed a paper that, uh, the Bylaws supposedly state that there is supposed to be a paper ballot voting. I am a little confused why there isn’t paper ballot voting in the first place. (exasperated exhalation), so, this being, um, a revelation to me to know that, um, this wasn’t done in the first place is kind of confusing to me. So I would like to know that whatever I signed to be valid is valid. So if It’s supposed to be paper, then it should be paper. I guess I would object to the fact that I signed something that I didn’t know in the first place wasn’t being done. I say it should be paper ballot voting as the Bylaws state.”

Ross: “Thank you Kim. Your perspective is welcome as an equal voice in this Assembly. You asked a rhetorical question. I don’t have the answer but I’ve got a hunch that over at Lizzy’s house (when the original waiver to paper ballots was enacted) it was convenient to do the waiver and go instead with the honor system. That *convenience*

Overtalking female voices

Ross: “.... So I’m going to move on to our next, uh,

Julie : “Ross, I have a clarification to ask you. You just said ‘At Lizzy’s house...’ but earlier you said you never went there to get, uh, there and got anything, have you, were, uh, you weren’t allowed?

Ross: [trying to respond]

Julie : “and then CRISTINA wrote that she went

Ross: [trying to respond]

Julie : “went but that **WE** got the paperwork. So I’m **VERY**

Ross: [trying to respond]

Julie : “**VERY** CONFUSED.”

[8:46:35 pm] Ross: “Okay. Julie. I’m glad you’ve given me an opportunity to clarify. Lizzy was the elected Scribe for the Summit County CoS. Lizzy was

Julie: “Yep.”

Ross: “...scribe of the State CoS. Lizzy resigned both positions simultaneously

Multiple female voices overtalking

Ross: “and she had a lot of records for, um, Summit County and she had a lot of records for The State. Fortunately, when she resigned for Summit County, she turned over (all of) the records for Summit County and she said that she needed to turn over the records for the State ‘at a later time’. I was moderator for the State at that time, so I was tasked with trying to obtain those from her, seeing that I was in the same county with her.

Lizzy was ill one day and I called her (not knowing she was ill) and she took my call. Perhaps I should have recognized she was ill and I should have said I’ll call back later (but I didn’t realize she was ill) but I said, ‘Lizzy if you’re able to just set the items out on your front porch (I can pick them up ‘no contact’) Now, let me go back. Summit County (CoS had been meeting) at her home, uh, many times. In fact the first meeting I moderated in Summit county was at (Lizzy’s) home. There were a (good) number of meetings at her home and when she did resign we ended up meeting at other places. So I had returned to, uh, offered to return to her home (to retrieve the State archives) and I said you could just leave (the documents) on the porch if you’d like. She said yeah, sure, I’ll do it (that way). Well, the man who lived with her (her husband) who was also a Summit CoS member called me back



and said, she was sick and you took advantage of her by asking her a yes/no question and if you call her again, I'll call the police on you. I said (to her husband) very well. I don't want to upset anybody. I don't want to trespass. I had no idea she was going to take it that way. So I wrote a few letters to Lizzy (asking for the State archives to be turned over). I can show you those. I've got copies

[8:48:30 pm] Julie: "NO. I have no desire to see (that evidence).

Ross: "... with proof of delivery. That's okay."

Julie: "...those letters. I'm just [multiple overtalking voices]

Ross: "You asked me a question. I thank you for letting me (finish answering). And at one point, uh,

Multiple overtalking female voices

Ross: "Cristina, as our Public Affairs chairperson, reached out to Lizzy, woman-to-woman, and said Lizzy, can I get those (records)? Lizzy said sure. So Cristina went and Cristina, as she just posted in the chat (here in this meeting), "Got a package of" (*spiral bound notebooks containing only scribbles and doodles with no content or substance whatsoever for any minutes, documentation or anything vaguely resembling 'archival records'*) that were the total accumulation of what Lizzy claimed were the full and complete archives for OSJA.

Ross: "We (the entire state Assembly) expected that Lizzy had all the original (autographed state covenant, settlement, all membership) paperwork for all men and women, such as Michael Taylor. Instead, we were all shocked to find that Lizzy didn't have any of that pape

Julie: "Okay. Good enough there. Thank you Ro

Ross: "paperwork she ha

Julie: " Ross. I appreciate your answeri

Ross: "had claimed to

Julie: "answering. I yield to anybody else that wants to speak on the floor."

Ross: "alright. Very good. Let's go over to Amy and Ron down in Franklin County. Amy and Ron, this is a planning meeting for paper ballots. Was it your intention to come here and try and aim for that target to see if we could (all) figure something out (together)?"

[8:49:30 pm] Amy; “As a member for only a couple months, all I have experienced is chaos. I’ve experienced, um, fighting and feuding and nothing accomplished. (Amy and Ron first’ gathering with OSJA was in the RUSA Funding Team Meet & Greet) So when, Ron and I, we thought the same way, when Ron and I went on September <clears throat> to Delaware, and there was an option to...

MEETING RECORDINGS AND MINUTES CAN CONFIRM THAT PRIOR TO THE SEPTEMBER 20, 2024 ELECTION THERE WAS NO CHAOS, THERE WERE SEVERAL PEACEFUL PETITION FOR REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES SUCCESSES THAT HAD JUST BEEN ACCOMPLISHED WHICH WERE SPOKEN ABOUT IN SEVERAL MEETINGS PRIOR TO AND INCLUDING THE 9/20 ELECTION MEETING – IT WAS NOT UNTIL THE INSURRECTIONIST AGITATOR INFLAMMATORY LANGUAGE AND BEHAVIORS OF THE ELECTION MEETING ITSELF THAT CHAOS BROKE OUT. AMY IS TALKING ABOUT DAVE ROBERTS’ INFLAMMATORY AND DIVISIVE LANGUAGE PRECEDING 9/20 WHERE HE WAS STAGING HIS COUP.

Amy: “...maybe get new blood and, uh, move forward and make something actually transpire instead of having egos over mission At that point, I felt that it was important that we voted for new blood. I felt like my vote at that time was valid; it seemed like it was , uh, how everybody WAS COMFORTABLE voting, so, um, my knowledge was, uh, I just know that, uh, I, uh, it, uh, my, uh, I did not recognize that, uh, if there were paper ballots, uh, I won’t, uh, I will accept that, um, responsibility for not knowing that, um <clears throat> um, and then, uh, and moving forward, I just feel like, it’s just, it’s very heartbreaking that we’re adults on this call and we can’t move forward. There’s a lot of personality (issues) and I think that alone yields, uh, remedy. Uh, I think, uh, its, uh, uh, it, I think this’s, uh, it’s very, it’s a, it’s a, a very well reflection of, of the, um, the uh, state of affairs, uh, and um, in, uh, in ‘The Corporation’ (referring to the de facto) right now and I think we’re above that. I think we need to, um, listen to the majority of the People

NOTE THERE ARE TWENTY SEVEN PEOPLE ON TONIGHT’S CALL OUT OF OVER 300 STATEWIDE MEMBERSHIP. AMY IS CLAIMING THAT THESE 27 PEOPLE FAIRLY REPRESENT THE ENTIRE POPULACE OF THE STATE OF OHIO.

Amy: “um, I am on this call to figure out how we can do that. Uh, I felt like in September the votes for, uh, were casted, uh, and I felt they were fair. I didn’t feel like there was anything... but, again, *‘Chaos from the people who didn’t like the vote’*

*AMY, AND ANYONE ELSE READING THIS NOTE SHEET AFTER THE FACT, PLEASE, GO WATCH THE RECORDING & READ THE OFFICIAL MINUTES OF THE 9/20 ELECTION, TWO MEETINGS PRIOR AND TWO MEETINGS AFTER. THE GROUP PROPOGATING CHAOS IS SELF-EVIDENT. DAVE ROBERTS WAS UNLAWFULLY MADE A MEMBER OF OSJA ON DECEMBER 9<sup>TH</sup>. HE PUSHED FOR THIS SO THAT HIS ACTIONS BEFORE THE LORAIN COUNTY BOARD OF HEALTH AND PROSECUTOR COULD BE VIEWED AS REPRESENTATIVE OF THE OHIO STATE JURAL ASSEMBLY MEMBERS POSITIONS – HE FRAUDULENTLY REPRESENTED HIMSELF IN JUNE AS A FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIAL, THREATENED PUBLIC OFFICIALS WITH MILITIA OVERTAKING THEM TO REMOVE THEM BODILY FROM THEIR OFFICES AND HE DID IT ALL WHILE FALSELY HAVING AMANDA QUIMPER REPRESENT HIM AS A MEMBER OF OUR PEACEFUL ASSEMBLY WHOSE MISSION IS TO LAWFULLY, UNDER THE CONSTITUTION, PETITION FOR REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES.*

[8:51:41 pm] Amy: “<clears throat> and, um, I wanna move forward. I wanna, I went, I, I joined this, we joined this Assembly for action not chaos. I have enough, I mean, I have, I have enough responsibilities and chaos on other ends that I, that I can’t, um, mitigate. Um, its out of my control. So. I think its, I think we’re (OSJA) fragile right now. I think if we can’t find a way, I think good honest, well (sic) intended people may, may **break away**

*In a legal context, "break off" generally means to abruptly end or terminate a relationship, agreement, or negotiation, often implying a decisive action to separate from something, while "break away" signifies escaping or forcefully leaving a situation, often with an emphasis on physical detachment or sudden movement to get free from someone or something.*

[8:52:12 pm] Amy: “and that will be, that will be, um, very sad for this Assembly because I believe that, um, that God has brought us together and we need to, um, we need to figure that out; I believe that if the majority of the people don’t want paper ballots then we don’t have paper ballots and if that’s the (sic) precedence, then that’s the (sic) precedence. Um, I, I don’t really like how this meeting’s been conducted and I do, I do, I believe, I came on this call because it was a Zoom meeting. So, I, I didn’t, uh, I did believe that this was a meeting. So, with that I yield.”

[8:52:43 pm] Ross: "Thank you. Uh, Ron, you're welcome to comment if you choose. Amy, your candor is

Female voice overtalking – okay, so you can leave if you want.

Ross: "...appreciated."

Multiple female voices overtalking – leave if you want. I'm sorry. [indiscernible] do you want to put the volume up on yours?"

Ross: "Samsung 23, can you identify yourself please?"

Ron: "Ron Young, Franklin County.

Female voices overtalking

Ross: "Go ahead, Ron."

Ron: (Amy: "He wants to know if you want to comment.") "No thank you."

Ross: "Okay. Thank you. Uh, Samsung 23, you've joined the meeting after we've taken roll call. Can you identify yourself, please?"

Samsung 23: "This is Lisa from Medina. "

Ross: "Hi Lisa. Again, did you call as a gallery, uh, to listen to the men and women who have not spoken yet?"

Lisa: "I think we went over this already."

Ross: "uh, oka..

Lisa: "Did we Not?"

Ross: "I just asked you a yes or no question. There are men and women who have not yet spok..

Lisa: "I've come to join this meeting to discuss whatever needs to be discussed within the OSJA."

Ross: "Okay. Debi, the floor is yours. Debi, again, I'd like to hear, did you come on this call to discuss paper ballot planning or are you on this call as, uh, just to listen to what people say , or was there another reason you came on the call?"

[8:54:08 pm] Debi: “Okay, um, so, uh, I, what, er, when I saw you were, uh, your email, it looked like an agenda of a normal OSJA meeting and I knew that there was so much chaos and problems and other things in the last couple of months that I felt that I should, you know, have some, um, you know, be here in some support of some way to learn more and, I mean, I can state, er, uh, you know, from the heart, that I am really disappointed in how all of this has unfolded in the last couple months and I, you know, wanted my, I mean, I just, you know, I wanted to learn more, and I, I, I mean, it’s very disheartening because we (Terry and Debi Balmert) joined this to make a difference. We joined this because, to form a (new, non de facto) Republic and become a united nation and somehow we’re all lettin all this evilness come in and, you know, throw whatever, you know, fights and whatever, anything between and try to keep us from our mission and it’s just, it’s really really frustrating to, you know, to witness this, to be a part of this, eh, and, I know many people who have said they’re ready to throw in the towel; maybe that’s what you guys (referring to Ross and those who desire following the Bylaws) want, I don’t know, but I, I, I didn’t wanna do that but it’s its so frustrating and all of us don’t have this kind of free time to do this and, but, we’re trying to figure out a way to do it so that we can make a difference in the world and, and, at least in The United States of America, um, and I don’t know if Terry has anything to say but I’m gonna think of something else after he says something. Do you wanna say anything?”

[8:55:44 pm] Terry Balmert: “This Don’t Sound NO DIFFERENT than the (de facto) Government we’re living under. Everybody’s bickers among themselves and there’s no leadership. Everybody is about control, oh, Oh, What do I want! What, what, no, what, what’s the team want? Eh, uh, I, Gimme a Fucking break!”

Ross: “uh, hey

Terry: “Oh SORRY! I said, “**FUCK!**”

Ross: “Terry, I respect that you’re passionate here, and I know that (when) you set your mind to do something , whether it’s to split wood or bring in the, you know, have chickens layin eggs...

Terry: “No! Don’t! You’re the Problem!”

Ross: “It gets done. And I respe

[8:56:38 pm] Terry: [indiscernible] “...moderator has stepped in <voice cracking> and stepped on my toes!”

Ross: “uh... ?

Terry: “I thought this was three minutes of my time to express myself, but I’m, I have this DICTATOR tellin me what I’m sposta do!”

Ross: “The floor is YOURS.”

Terry: <shouting> “You Aint No Diffrent than the government I hafta live under! Which means **I have no reason to belong to this Assembly.**” [8:57:04 pm]

Multiple female voices overtalking – single shaky voice – Right.

Terry: “There’s No Difference.” (unidentifiable man’s voice whispering to Terry – I yield. You need to say I yield.) [8:57:14 pm]

Ross: “It sounded like you said you yield?”

Terry: <shouting louder> “I YIELD!!!!”

Ross: “Oh, uh, Thank you Terry. I thank you for your words

Unidentifiable voice – Oh COME ON!

Ross: “I thank you for being honest with everybody.

Sam: “You know what? I motion to adjourn the meeting.

Ross: “Uh, Sam, Donna has not had a chance to speak. Donna, you joined us late. Can you state your county, please?”

**[8:57:50 pm] Donna: “I’m Donna from Lorain County. Hello. I’m a fairly new member, and if this is the way you’re gonna run this, it’s wrong and I’m embarrassed to say I’m a part of this and I really thought it was gonna go and make some changes, help everybody out and live the way America is supposed to be, um, again, I, being a new member, I didn’t hear (any) other meetings like the September 19 or 20 whatever you’re talking about so I, I, I don’t know anything about that or how it was run, but, right now I’m pretty frustrated and embarrassed to be a part of this. I yield.”**

Ross: “Thank you, thank you very much Donna. We still have a few more to speak. I just want to state, uh, you know, it’s been brought up that we can compare OSJA, you know, Ohio, uh, eighty-eight

counties and we can look at the nation, fifty states, you know, if you talk to somebody in New York right now, chances are... or talk to someone in California right now, chances are they're going to tell you that Donald Trump belongs in prison, chances are they're going to tell you that Kamala Harris should be president of the United States, chances are they're going to tell you that California and New York should be able to dictate to the other forty-eight states

Overtalking female voices

Ross: "That they should run and so I just want to make sure

Female voice – Stay on topic

Ross: "OSJA Does Not reflect that. Now, I'm going to open the floor to the 614 number, is that Doc and Tammy Reichert down in Licking/Franklin County. The floor is yours."

[8:59:33] Overtalking female voice – what does it all have to do with what this meeting right now!

Ross: "Uh, this is an unofficial planning

Same unidentifiable female voice, continuing to overtalk – NOTHING

Dave Roberts, overtalking, indiscernible

Ross: "Because last week we met for two hours on a call with Amanda and Amanda said that I would run (this) paper

Sam: "She Was Under Duress!"

Ross: "..ballot planning meeting

Sam: "We were all under duress.

Ross: "meeting to plan paper ballots

Sam: "And we all had a meeting, just a regular meeting, and brought things (Lisa's notice of harm, false accusations labeled as 'charges of crimes') to your attention numerous times and you have continued to Ignore Us!"

Ross: [trying to respond]

Sam: "You are Out of Order"

Ross: “This is

Sam: “You are Out of Order.”

Ross: [trying to respond]

[9:00:10 pm] Sam: “People do not want to be part of this group because of You and Your Actions!”

Ross: “Sam, Sam, I hear

Sam: “It is Nine O’Clock at night! People Do Not have time for this!”

Flo: “Sam’s a hundred percent right! This needs to Stop! I keep saying- that I got Cuyahoga! You’ve been after – Dave asked me to help him, I helped him. We got it up and running, we’re staying positive and THIS is not going to help. I have young blood! Thirty-three year old men. I have several. One ‘s coming Tomorrow Night! I don’t want any part of this! WE, uh, again, I been beggin the whole time. Guys, stop. It just has to STOP. [9:00:47 pm] Ross, I have Always Adored you! I <voice cracking> Dont know who ya are any more! You’re not Listening to the voices Around You. It’s Not That They HATE you! You’re Doing Something WRONG! YOU’RE NOT LISTENIN TO THE PEOPLE! WE THE PEOPLE!! I’m moving forward! I got [indiscernible] goin out ta thing and Imma head of it! Of us all patriots. They, they ask us, they know I’m in this this this whole thing! They know I stepped forward! I’ve worked hard over the last six years to give em a the reputation that I have. I’ve come aboard for all the right reasons! Now, I’ve Always Adored You! I love everybody around me! This is poisonous. People are LEAVING. I am trying to block Cuyahoga because I don’t want them leaving.

Multiple female voices – indiscernible

Flo: “We are all above this, Ross! Including You! For what <voice cracking> ever reason, you’re not listening! You Need To STOP! Because Right Now, YOU SEEM TO BE THE PROBLEM!

**Gaslighting always requires the 4am soundbite and ad nauseum repetition**

Flo: “And I’m Sorry those are Hard Words for Your Ears but Can’t You HEAR <voice cracking> everybody on here saying this!!!??”

Ross: [nods in response]



Flo: “Stop Repeating yourself and <voice cracking> LISTEN! Open up your heart, whatever’s goin on in your head

Multiple female voices overtalking – did you take accurate notes – multiple indiscernible – yes, please

Flo: “PLEASE!”

Ross: “Thank you, Flo

Multiple overtalking female voices

Ross: “We’re going to open the floor to members who have not yet spoken. Again, Doc Reichert, Tammy Reichert, The floor is yours.”

[9:02:04 pm] Flo: “He’s not listening!”

Doc: “Uh, yeah, can you hear me?”

Ross: “Yes, we can hear you.”

Doc: “First of all I just want to pretty much echo everything Amy Young said. We (Tammy and John Reichert) have been involved in this for three plus years and I’m pretty appalled at where it’s at right now. I never thought it would be at this spot. My one question, first of all we’re not for paper ballots. We’re against that. My question is, ‘If a waiver is in place, when does it expire?’ I yield.”

Ross: “We all had this discussion last week, and (determined that by all standards of lawful procedure) the waiver stands until there is an objection by a member.

**There was such an objection, there was factual evidence (public documents and recordings) presented in support of that objection, so the objection was found to have merit beyond mere personal preference or interpersonal conflict.**

At the point the objection was found to have merit, the procedure must revert to what is written in the bylaws, unless or until the Bylaws themselves have been lawfully amended by full consideration and majority vote of the statewide Assembly.

Female voice overtalking – Are we gonna do, gonna Do Christine?

Ross: “...if the bylaws are not accurate in the opinion of the men and women on this call, well then that, again, that’s when we do (a procedurally appropriate) amendment. So, the rhetorical question

here, Doc, is how easy is it to amend the Constitution of the United States? It's not easy, but it's been done. It's been done a number of times. Based on the statements on this call, if an amendment needs to come forth,

Danielle: "It's an EMERGENCY"

Ross: "Then if we're smarter than what was written by the Founders (of OSJA) then we're able to make the amendment."

Danielle: "ROSS!"

Ross: "Hold on, I want to make sure

Danielle: " MAY I!!!!"

Ross: "sure Doc has his three minutes..."

[9:04:08 PM] Danielle: "THIS IS NINE O'CLOCK AT NIGHT AND NO ONE ELSE WANTS

Ross: "Doc has the floor."

Danielle: "TO STAY ON THIS PHONE FOR TWO HOURS!"

Ross: "Please, Doc has the floor."

Danielle: "HOW MANY PEOPLE! HOW, UH, I MAKE A MOTION

Ross: "Danielle, you know, Doc has the flo..."

Danielle: "HOW MANY DIFFERENT COUNTIES **WANT TO LEAVE** OHIO

Ross: "We are trying to

Danielle: "...STATE JURAL ASSEMBLY! PLEASE VO

Ross: "We can bring this up in the next regular busine

Danielle: "VOTE RIGHT NOW!"

**Sam Strong (Cuyahoga County) : "Aye"**

**Many overlapping female voices : Julie, Medina, "Aye"**

**Lisa, Medina, "Aye"**

Danielle, Medina, “Aye”

Flo, Cuyahoga/Medina, “Aye” [9:04:33 pm]

Julie, Medina, “nobody is going to be present for your next meeting”

Ross: “Doc and Tammy, I want to thank you for

Danielle: “Good Luck, ROSS, you have failed Ohio

Ross: “Thank you for not talking over me. Thank you, Doc and Tammy

Sam: “Like You’ve Been Talking over US and just ignoring everything!”

Ross: “Doc and Tammy Have The FLOOR.

Multiple overtalking female voices

Ross: “Can they be allowed to speak? I allowed you to speak

[9:05:00 pm] Ross: “Again, Doc and Tammy, if you have any more follow up comments to

Doc: “No, We yield.”

Ross: “Thank you very much. Jean, I haven’t gotten to you; as I said, all men and women on this call are free and equal to speak. Would you like to say anything at this time, Jean?”

**[9:05:18 pm] Jean: “ Well, I have to tell you. I, I’m, I’m just speechless to hear how this is all progressing. Or rather, not progressing. This is my Very First Ohio State Jural Assembly meeting and to hear this, I’m embarrassed. So that’s what I have to say. I yield and I, you don’t have to thank me, you’ve been going through trying to be so polite to everybody , thanking everybody all the time (but) you know what, you’re not listening to anybody. That’s what’s so upsetting. So I yield.**

Ross: “I heard what you said, Jean. “

Jean: “You didn’t.”

Dave Roberts: “Are We DONE?!”

Sam: “I hope so!!”

Ross: “The Next meeting will be at 7pm on December 17, 2024 Paper Ballot Election. I will send a Zoom link. I look forward to anyone who has agenda items to discuss getting in touch to have those added to the Agenda ahead of time.”

Danielle: “Can I bring something to the floor? May I speak

Ross: “In the Next meeting. This Meeting Was JUST to plan paper ballots. That was the ONLY purpose of this planning meeting.”

Danielle: “So this meeting was just For YOU? (meaning Ross) Not Anybody ELSE?”

Lisa: indecipherable – “...Being Ross – MESSIAH COMPLEX’

Sam: “I don’t think ANYONE will be in attendance at your next meeting because it’s ridiculous.”

[9:07:00 pm] Ross: “I’ll end the recording here. The next meeting is 7 o’clock on the 17<sup>th</sup> of December.”

\*\*\*\*\*

The foregoing is the best of my knowledge and capacity for most accurate transcription including interjectory clarifications where lawful terminology, references to other meetings and statements are necessary.

I grant my permission for these personal notes to be utilized as factual evidence of the record of events and will consider corrections where typos, misattributions or incorrect spelling has occurred. Those amended sections will be included POST SCRIPT in alternate color and font after this forty-first page of this document created by my own hand, finalized this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of December in the year of our Lord 2024 at 4:20pm.

*Cristina Marni Delia*