

TRANSCRIPTION FROM AUDIO RECORDING

Start @ 8:15 pm, Tuesday, December 03, 2024

AFR Fact Finding Hearing

moderated by Sean Beller, Governor, American First Republic

NOT FOR PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION

(personal notes and sometimes unladylike commentary of the transcriber, Cristina, all noted in colored font)

It is suggested that the reader utilize the “read aloud” function of Word, set at a faster than normal speed to review this transcript.

- Participants:
- Sean Beller, AFR
- Doug Manke, AFR
- Mark McQueen, AFR
- Doc Anthony, NC Assembly
- Dave Roberts, Ohio
- Amanda Quimper, Ohio
- Danielle Boxler-Maxwell, Ohio
- Lisa Hester, Ohio
- Brian Stanis, Ohio
- Laura Farrell, Ohio
- Sherri Pivonka, Ohio
- Flo Vaughan, Ohio
- Samantha Stringer, Ohio
- Charmaine Bassett, Ohio
- Ann Marie Fuhrmann, Ohio
- Ross Marley, OH Assembly
- Michael Taylor, OH Assembly
- Cristina Marni, OH Assembly
- Kim Ferrari, OH Assembly
- Keith Mauger, OH Assembly
- Kat Oscker, OH Assembly
- Judy Fountain, OH Assembly
- Dan Tutolo, OH Assembly
- Rob Lee, MICHIGAN Assembly
- Others, AFR, listening as witness – Graham Bradford Lascsak

=====

**Mark McQueen:** “Good evening, ladies and gentlemen, this is Interim Chief Magistrate (for the American First Republic) Mark McQueen. I will be overseeing this meeting. I would like everyone that’s on this call to please act with the utmost respect and dignity towards other members. We will have no shouting over each other. We will have no argument. This is a fact finding proceeding and from that point forward, I hope everyone is understanding of this. I do not wish to mute people but if you continually talk over others, or interrupt, or things of that nature you will be muted. Like I said, this is a fact

finding proceeding. We are looking for facts and if there's two opposing sides of a story, somebody is lying. It's that simple. With that being said, Doug (Manke), I yield to you."

**Doug Manke:** "You pretty much said everything I was going to say, so I yield. Thank you."

**Mark:** "Very good. Governor, do you have anything to say?"

**Sean Beller:** "The main goal tonight, and as in every night in a true Republic is to find peace, find equality for the people in compact and never hold them at peril. Actions of officers that are unbecoming of an officer IS holding the people at peril. There's a lot of progress that should be taking place. Name calling, mud-slinging, defamation <indiscernible> be outside of this communication platform, some things that are spoken that are true and fact may not be quite pretty all the time and that's taken into consideration. I know that there's some here that have an opening statement. Mark, if you want to lead it from here, you are neutral. You've never met Dave, you've never met Amanda, you've never met Cristina Marni. I think that it actually would be better if there is somebody that's absolutely neutral. At this point, I'm going to hand it over to Mark. I yield."

[3:00 minute mark] **Mark:** "Thank you, Governor. Dave, from Ohio. I understand you have an opening statement you'd like to present."

**Amanda Quimper:** <indiscernible> one second. (Dave is in the room with Amanda at her house, showing up as her on the telegram chat screen.)

**Mark:** "Amanda, I have one question: Is Dave present with you? In your presence?"

**Mark:** "Hello?"

<7 second silence>

**Amanda:** "okay, where, uh, they, whu, they're saying it needs a code. Is there a code to get in this group? Cuz there's somebody trying to get in here, that can't get in here?"

**Dave Roberts:** <in the background> "I don't have you listed in my add members"

<5 second silence>

? Male: "I can get an invite link and put it in the chat here."

**Sean:** "There was a question, Amanda. Are you and Dave actually physically in the same location?"

**Amanda:** "Yes. Yes, right now, we are. Yes. We're just having issues, with, uh, getting Charmaine on the call."

<Dave's phone in the background message incoming tone – repeatedly>

? Female voice: "uh, okay..."

**Dave:** "oh, wait.... Okay..."

**Amanda:** "So here, Dave. Do you want me to send you the link Sean gave me?"

**Dave:** "Yeah."

[4:00 minute mark] **Amanda:** "Okay <keyboard clicking> Alright. Here it is. I was trying, I don't have Charmaine's information so <indiscernible> that. So.."

**Mark:** "Amanda, please mute when you're not addressing the proceedings." [4:12 minute]

<silence>

[4:57 minute mark] **Sean:** "The invite link is there for anybody that tries to use it should get in."

[5:07 minute mark] **Amanda:** "It's still saying that she needs the code."

[5:15 minute mark] **Amanda:** "Is there a code?"

**Charmaine:** "Alright so only members of Lorain County Committee of Safety can go in the..."

**Amanda:** “No.”

**Dave:** “No.”

**Judy Fountain:** “She just has to click on the link at the bottom of the message she sent to everybody.”

<? Voices in the background “why does he need Charmaine on the line?”>

**Sean:** “We’ve never had a problem with that before. Tell her to try to paste that in her google, her google or whatever search browser she uses and see if it comes, if it lets her come, brings her in that way, please.”

**Danielle Boxler Maxwell:** “I think she’s looking at the wrong link.”

**Judy:** “She just has to click the link for this meeting at the bottom of the message she (Amanda) sent out earlier today, the second time, after... she comes in here, she clicks on it one more time after the first time and it lets her in.”

**Doug:** “So, Sean or Mark. Whoever speaks tonight, for Ohio do we need to know whether or whether or not they are a signer of the Bilateral Social Compact and/or (have an oath to) OSJA?”

<indiscernible male voices> don’t know if that matters or not – yes –

**Sean:** “Absolutely. Yes, when you introduce yourself to speak for the first time, please state your name, your (County and great state and whether you are a covenant signatory of OSJA or whether you’re a (Bilateral Social) Compact autographer of Ohio, please.”

<4 minute silence>

**Sean:** “After opening statements are made, I do have a particular question for Amanda being the current acting moderator, about OSJA there was a meeting tonight and I’m wondering how they (the OSJA meeting participants not on this call) reacted to the possibility of a (re-run of the 9/20) election in tonight’s meeting, if she (Amanda) is allowed to speak on it or is willing? That’s the first question.”

<indiscernible female voices and significant feedback>

**Mark:** “Okay, so, <feedback> Dave. If Dave, if you are present, the floor is yours. You have two minutes to respond before we give an open mic.”

**Amanda:** “Okay, um, alright.”

**Dave Roberts:** “Alright, yeah, uh, uh, this is an opening statement.”

**Amanda:** “Is he allowed to make an opening statement?”

**Dave:** “There’s, uh, is, is that what you’re exp, saying, er, uh, I, uh, that I have two minutes to make an opening statement?”

**Mark:** “Yes sir. I understand you have an **opening statement** that you’d like to bring forth?”

**Dave:** “**Well, I, uh, after talking with Sean,** I don’t feel that statement is necessary. Uh, we want to come in peace and love and we want to bring forth a resolution that Sean proposed and uh, I’m on board with that and um, uh, so we positively move forward.”

[10:15 minute mark] **Mark:** “Very good. Is there anyone else in the audience that would like (to make) an opening statement?”

<significant feedback>

**Mark:** “If there’s not, Governor, the floor is yours.”

**Cristina: “May I?”**

<overtalking voices>

**Dave:** “Wait a minute.”

**Amanda:** “Hold ON.”

*Cristina: “May I?”*

[10:40 minute mark] **Sean:** “Before you do, I would like to say something I think that should be answered in and before any possibility of messing that up...”

**Mark:** “The floor is yours, Governor.”

[10:54 minute mark] **Sean:** “I, I requested Amanda take it to the OSJA (membership) that’s sitting, uh, under her Moderatorship and that they decide whether or not a (re-running of the) election would be something that can be done by paper ballot and so before we go into to much of it there may not, you know, in debate a lot of times motions go right through the sky and I would rather see what the chances are of having PEACE, if this can be done, so, **AMANDA**, the floor is Yours,

[11:34 minute mark] **Sean:**”...if, if it’s alright and let us know what OSJA has to say, please.”

<feedback, Dave can be heard on a phone call in the background, indiscernible>

**Amanda:** “Yes, I’m sorry, I’m, there’s a lady (Charmaine) uh, on speakerphone here cuz she’s can’t get on so I apologize. I, um, yeah, I did NOT, we did not have time to bring all that up tonight. I would prefer that You, Sean,

<feedback, Amanda, Dave, Charmaine on speakerphone all talking at the same time – indiscernible>

**Amanda:** “Charmaine. Shhh. I’m sorry, um, I wou

<feedback, Charmaine continuing to talk in the background – indiscernible>

**Amanda:** “I would prefer that you bring it to the floor, here, and explain your reasoning for that and, ummmm, I, I think I think everybody should hear you out I think it wa, req, uh, comes better from uh, the way you stated it to me today **I I completely agree [12:28 minute mark]** with that so go ahead. “

<feedback – Charmaine continuing to talk in the background – indiscernible.>

**Sean:** “ Well, um, the way it appears to me is there’s multiple people that believe that it would be the same outcome anyway. So if you’re gonna, uh, likely to have the same outcome anyway, why not just go ahead and do what’s necessary and have peace. It’s, uh, there are several here that are requesting a re-run of the election by paper ballot. It’s better than name calling or mud slinging in the Jural Assembly and a jury trial. Wouldn’t you think that (a re-run of the election using paper ballots) is better than THAT? There are none of us who haven’t fallen short of the Glory (of our Creator). I mean, all of us are short of that Glory. Aint none of us God. None of us walks on water. So there’s a high danger here that multiple people could face some kind of serious repercussions. It’s easy to have peace. So why not give two weeks, or thirty days notice of a re-running of the election without even having cause other than the fact that there were no paper ballots used...”

[12:46 minute mark] **Sean:** “Then, at that point, have the election end this as well. If this is agreed to, I want everybody here, uh, I would like to think that everybody here would be honorable and whoever it is that IS justly elected would receive all property of OSJA including any **minutes**...

(Sean is restating here a false accusation of theft of private property made against me by Dave Roberts through Danielle Boxler Maxwell because I refuse to provide them the ability to read and alter my minutes without my consent. The minutes from 9/20/2024 have been posted on the authorized website for the last month. Danielle and all others have been advised same repeatedly. They complain that the minutes are not SOLELY posted on Telegram so she or Dave can re-write them and delete the original which my hand swears to... Further, the 9/20/2024 meeting was a PUBLIC meeting, so no privacy could be claimed so no theft accusation can be valid.)

because they’re really supposed **be in public publication of record in order to hold any force or effect in law**, let me put it that way. Minutes are supposed to be approved (for factual accuracy) by the attendees and placed in a public publication of record in order to hold force or truth, uh, force or effect in law. That’s the way that’s supposed, for, that’s why the power of public publication is what it is. Without rebuttal, it stands as truth and fact thirty days domestically, 90 days internationally. That’s the first question that I would like everybody to ask themselves tonight,

[14:55 minute mark] **Sean:** I was hoping that Amada might have been able to get it on the table for a vote. I yield with that and back to you **AMANDA**.”

[15:04 minute mark] <feedback – Charmaine talking in the background – indiscernible

[15:12 minute mark] (voice coming from Amanda’s account) “This is **Dave Roberts**. uh, oh, I uh, I agree with what Sean just spoke of, uh, I just wanted to add something uh, I, uh, clarity, uh, all of us I think should have clarity. Are we nominating uh, Amanda for moderator? Or, is there more than one person that wants to stand up as Moderator besides Amanda? Are we voting just for Amanda as the new Moderator or is somebody else putting their hat in the ring? I need clarity on that before **we** can move forward...”

[15:47 minute mark] **Dave**: “I rest.”

**Amanda**: “May I? Question, ah, bes, uh, sor, I ran out for a qui”

**Dave**: “Hang on a second, Charmaine wants to say something. Alright, go ahead, Charmaine...”

[16:02 minute mark] **Charmaine**: “Alright, well, what I’m confused about with what you just said it sounds like that everything that’s happened up to present gets, is put on hold until we decide whether it should be listened to or not but that that’s contradictory to what the Jural Assemblies are all about and the only question here right now is why have not the previous minutes been posted?! So why are we going at this backwards instead of forwards when we just need those minutes...”

(Seriously? These folks are ACTUALLY pretending that the WHOLE problem in their universe is that the 9/20 minutes aren’t posted TO TELEGRAM. That’s the problem. The publicly posted LOCATION of the minutes being the authorized Assembly website and not in the location Dave has complete control over. Not the insurrection. Not the public defamation of character and slanderous libel remarks against me, Ross, Kat....)

[16:33 minute mark] **Charmaine**: “posted so that everybody has a clear picture. I mean, that’s the simplest answer as far as I’m concerned. I, I, I leave this conversation right now.”

? Female (Sam?): “May I?”

Mark or Doug? “Is she a signatory?”

Cristina: “no.” (referring to Sam) – this comment was not heard by the participants in the meeting

Danielle: “She IS.”

Dave: “She’s a signater. She’s, uh, of the (Bilateral) Social Compact.” (referring to Charmaine.)

(Danielle?): “May I?”

Mark: Responding, indiscernible, being talked over by Danielle

Danielle: “I agree with Charmaine,

Sean: “Please, uh, who was that speaking there now? Was that Amanda?”

Danielle: “No, I’m Danielle.

Sean: “Uh, ohh. Okay”

Danielle: <continuing to talk over Sean> “I’m the CURRENT SCRIBE. Okay..

Sean: “Alright, so are you <being talked over by Danielle> a (OSJA jural oath) Covenant signatory and or (Bilateral Social Compact?”

Danielle: “Yes. Yes. Yes. I, myself, you know, they’re, the **minutes**, I’ve asked for, **Four Or FIVE times!** I have not gotten them. **Not a Big Deal**, I listened to the recording...”

(Which did not pick up ¾ of the conversation in the room because there were so many people shouting over each other chaotically on the Zoom call-in lines...)

Danielle: ”The recording however IS out there. So I actually Listened to it, seeing as how I’M THE SCRIBE, I scribed. So \*I\* know what the votes are. I did contact (Kim Wilhelm) the Emergency Bailiff of that night which was Kim Wilhelm eh, so I KNOW the votes that were taken for AMANDA and

[18:00 minute mark] Ross was nominated and BUT he's been holding the office for three years and <indiscernible>

Said he would gladly second anybody that stepped up to do the moderator position. It's all on, it's ALL ON the recording. You guys can listen to the recording if you want to and so then

Cristina: "I object"

Sean: "the same thing we had said last time when we're done speaking, please say I yield and then the next may speak forward [18:33 minute mark]

(Except I had asked for the floor at the 10 minute 30 second mark and was refused multiple times.)

Sean: "I yield."

Danielle: "Okay, I, I did not, I did not, s, I was not done. So... I have the votes for ru, uh, all of them. I took the minutes for the meeting

(No, that's not what those words MEAN. You can transcribe and summarize a recording with your commentary but as far as "Taking The Minutes" that can ONLY be something done by an elected scribe. Whether that person is elected on an emergency basis at the beginning of a meeting so it can be considered official or whether that person is the standing elected officer accountable for recording the minutes, MINUTES can only be Taken by the elected for that meeting scribe. Just because you come along after the fact, this does not grant you the Title nor does it confer lawful effect upon whatever you write up.)

**Danielle:** "based off the recording but I don't know what uh, I would like to see the original minutes from "the person that was actually the scribe" of the meeting to **kind of** compare what I hear, what did you hear cuz I heard over Zoom. She (what, you're not capable of saying my name?) was IN THE ROOM so but I did get Kim Wilhelm to send me over the attendance so I got the attendance there were thirty four members there, they were all papered, Forty-one were there, seven were not papered thirty four were papered <coughing> so I have the information so I'm kinda confused as to why we gotta go back three months for all of this when we have <clears throat> been making MAJOR Progress. I yield."

Cristina: "May I?"

Mark: "Go ahe

Sean: "Mark?"

Mark: "Go ahead, Cristina."

Cristina: "Ross has not been moderator for three years. Ross was rightfully nominated and elected in a proper election Once as moderator. He served as pro tem moderator twice when two previous moderators stepped out in the middle of their term. I yield."

Mark: "Okay, the thing we're having issues with here is that the Bylaws state paper ballots and that was not done. My recommendation would be to go back and re-do (the election) and do paper ballots and if it turns out the same way, so be it. If it turns out different, so be it. But to me, I think the paper ballot is the issue. It was not done properly or procedurally correctly. So that's what I'm seeing here."

Dave: "May I?"

Mark: "Go ahead."

Dave: "Uh, this is Dave Roberts, um, the ih, I, it says in the Bylaws paper ballot. BUT the first time that we did a, uh, State Assembly uh, elections, uh, we waived that. Uh, and I'll tell you where we were at at the time when we did that we were at uh, Lucy's, uh, er, um, Lizzie's house in Fairlawn, Ohio in Summit County and we had fifty-some people in present from All over the state at that meeting of elections and, uh, we waived the paper ballot. And that's been the (sp) precedent ever since. And yeah, we can go back to the Bylaws and you can cross t's and dot I's BUT THERE'S BEEN A PRECEDENT SET in the assembly from the first election after uh, **Robin**, who **was the founding, uh, person of our Jural Assembly**. State Jural Assembly. And she held office for a year and a half and then she resigned and then we elected a new, uh, a new Moderator, uh, at that point, and, uh, and the paper ballot was waived at that point in time. NOW, I'm not sayin yea or nay **I don't really care** if you want,,,"

[22:21 minute mark] **Dave:** “paper ballots, we can Do paper **ballots** (OH, THANKS FOR GIVING YOUR PERMISSION FOR THIS, DAVE.) BUT the issue is the logistics and we’re uh, have to do something through the mail, uh, or, through email or uh, not everybody’s got the technology to scan a paper ballot and email it in uh, I mean, we’d have to sit down, uh, we’d have to uh, I would suggest ahh, uh, appointing a Chair and somebody come up with a solution uh, if we’re gonna do paper ballots, I mean, that’s up to the Assembly, that’s that’s what THEY have to elect to do, uhm, the tech, the logistics of that has to be covered. Now, I rest.”

[23:03 minute mark] **Mark:** “Correct.

**SEAN:** “I like to say somethi”

**Mark:** “How long ago was that election that you waived the, uh, paper ballots?”

**Dave:** “Uhh, it was September 20, 2021.”

(So 9/20/24 was the third election where paper ballots were not used.)

**Mark:** “Okay. Uh, is there a reason why the Bylaws were not amended?”

**Dave:** “Well.....”

**Mark:** “Why did they not get

**Dave:** “No, I mean, uh, we we need to uh, cre, to create, uh, a Chair and have the Bylaws uh, reviewed, because

**Mark:** “Right.”

**Dave:** “the, uh, Board, the Board of Review (section) is VAGUE and it needs to be expanded and drawn into more detail uh, there’s uh, aspects of the Bylaws that need to be added that’s not there, uh, but it’s

**Mark:** <indiscernible>

**Dave:** “it’s a learning process.

**Mark:** “Sure...”

**Dave:** “Now, as we know, uh, learn, then we make adjustments. So that

**Mark:** <indiscernible>

**Dave:** <indiscernible>

[23:56 minute mark] **Mark:** “Yeah. Right. Okay.”

**Sean:** “I’ve been trying to say something for a moment. May I?

**Mark:** “Go ahead, Governor.”

**Sean:** “Although the Bylaws may have been waived at that election, today there stands a rebuttal within the thirty days (contest to that waiver which challenges the election). And not only a rebuttal but to make the rebuttal valid, there’s remedy that’s been offered. That changes it (permanently cancels the waiver). It only takes one of the people in compact with a rebuttal and remedy. Just follow the Bylaws, which are not to be Changed until after the new election. It’s real simple it’s a real simple thing having to mail them in if they can’t be physically present; show up in a Zoom call as well and say yes, that’s my ballot. Can’t be denied who it’s come from. Nobody can deny that then. There’s no argument. The election was held within the restraints of the Bylaws of the OSJA. I’m going to say something again here: when or if a doctrine of any kind is permitted to be disregarded the annihilation of the state government is the definite result. And a centralized government upon those ruins will be the inevitable consequence. At this point, there’s rebuttal. There’s a clean, simple remedy. And if it was the same thing in the AFR, it would have to be honored. By even one. Only one in compact. That’s all I’ve got to say on that. I yield.”

[26:00 minute mark]

Dave: “May I? “

Mark: “Go ahead, Dave.”

Dave: “Alright. This is Dave Roberts. Uh, you know, I agree, Sean, uh, that, uh, if, a paper ballots can solve the problem, uh, uh, yeah, I don’t have any recourse. But, the problem is at the time that THEY were gonna take the vote, they were not gonna do paper ballots now all of a sudden they’re demanding a paper ballot. That’s All I’m Sayin. So I rest I agree with you that we can do paper ballots not an argument, I’m just saying They’re Changing their standards because they lost.

[26:37 minute mark] Dave: “So I rest.”

Graham: “So what are the Rules of Order that are adopted to the Articles association here?”

Sam: “Can you repeat that question <echoing several times>

Graham: “What parliamentary procedure or parliamentary authority i.e., Rules of Order were cited within the articles of association? The Bylaws are supplementary to Parliamentary Procedure.”

Dave: “Alright, the best I could do with that is that we Quasi-follow, not to the letter, cuz otherwise we’d never get anything done, uh, Robert’s Rules of Parliamentary Procedure and we do a shortcut version of that procedure.”

[27:24 minute mark] Dave: “But we strictly follow um, Robert’s Rules of Parliamentary Procedure.”

Graham: “So if the Robert’s Rules of Order was cited as the parliamentary procedure, that is a 750 page manual and unless you’ve excluded areas of Roberts’ Rules of Order within the Bylaws, then the entire work of Roberts’ Rules of Order APPLIES. Which is not a problem because Sean basically pulled the tape on that straight. Whenever a member objects to something that has become a tradition, because there are no waivers in Roberts’ Rules of Order, you’d have to go through a suspension of the rules in order to not use a paper ballot. So, since that was not the procedure, then Any member that objects the fact that there was a waiver or any other type of, uh, activity that excluded the paper ballots on a particular situation it does not, I heard someone say, BUT that does not set a precedent the objection causes that action that was utilized to fall to the ground. So with an objection, like this on the table there is no election that took place as of that objection. I yield.”

[29:27 minute mark]

Dave: “Okay, I agree but uh, THEY, the objectors did not do anything until after 60 days uh, as far as I know, unless they came to you guys long before you guys came to me

YEP.

Dave: “...and Amanda, uh, there was as far as I know no hide nor hair from them for uh, <feedback> November...”

Graham: “Yeah, there’s no, there’s not gonna be a time limit that is applicable to that. It, it could be YEARS down the road and somebody could say, wait a minute, the objection, the Bylaws do not identify a waiver and the paper ballot was the identification, et cetera, et cetera, and so that just means that the election DIDN’T HAPPEN.”

[30:24 minute mark]

Danielle: “May I?”

Doug: “May I, real quick? Everybody that speaks up please let us know if you are a signator of, uh, on OSJA or the (Bilateral Social) Compact, please.”

Danielle: “I am a signater of OSJA and the Compact. Uh, Danielle Maxwell from Medina County in the CoS. I am really confused as to what this whole argument is about even because its, it did come up sixty days after but because if you listen to the recording of the actual meeting on 9/20, there was no objection

(Except in my half of the room where multiple people were asking why Dave was speaking at all during the meeting and as he continuously prevented others from speaking more and more people were threatening to quit.)

[31:09 minute mark]

Danielle: “Right then AaaaaND Ross even said, “I will second the motion for anyone else that stands up as Moderator.” SO! Considering we did not vote a scribe that night, we did not vote in a bailiff that night,



(Because all the potential candidates saw what the Medina/Lorain Zoom participants and DAVE were doing and nobody wanted any part of it. See section of the official 9/20 minutes where Keith declines the nomination.)

Danielle: “the only position that we are talking about is the Moderator AND! Ross on the recording said, “I will second the motion for anyone else that uh, eh, volunteers to be nominated or is nominated as our monitor. Moderator sorry AND! Then I said, “I nominate Amanda and Laura seconded or Laura s, laura s I don’t remember I guess, I <feedback, overtalking voices, indiscernible> point this is that <overtalking voices, indiscernible> We are only talking about one position and no one else even stood up for this so I don’t understand why we’re gonna go through all of the voting again”

Laura: <interrupting> “Danielle, <indiscernible>

Ross: “May I?”

[32:25 minute mark]

Laura: <continuing to talk> “if I may, my name is Laura from Medina and I signed Ohio State Social Compact, I signed Medina Social Compact and I am a

(Since when does Medina have a BLSC? Why was this not copied to the State archives? I knew Lorain did theirs the week before the state had the signing party... Summit County needs to get our ass in gear and recognize the State CoS as the legislative body for Ohio. See Buncombe County and NC State paperwork Anthony provided us.)

Laura: “papered member of the Medina County Committee of Safety [within OSJA]. I am the Current Moderator, right now, which we are all supposed to take turns (according to Dave). I was the one that nominated Mandi. For moderator. And Lisa was the one that seconded it. I just wanted to clarify that. Thank you. I yield.”

Ross: “May I?”

[33 minute mark]

Mark: “Go ahead, Ross.”

Ross: “Okay, uh, Danielle, thank you for bringing up that point and also Amanda, thank you for bringing up that point. And Sean, thank you for this forum. It’s very important. Mark, thank you for hearing us out. On September 20<sup>th</sup>, Dave interrupted our meeting. And no trespass had occurred. When Dave basically began to take over the meeting, many of us were under duress at that point. This was one of the reasons why nobody wanted to be a Bailiff, or nobody wanted to be Scribe (or any other elected or appointed position!), in my opinion. When I said that I would support Amanda as moderator, I wanted to give her a chance because I know she’s able to be moderator of the Lorain County Committee of Safety and Dave wasn’t there running the meetings with her... the reason we didn’t respond immediately with objections was because every meeting that has been held since the election Dave has been in the room with Amanda as if Dave has continued the interruption and is running those meetings with Amanda. Amanda, you don’t need to answer this question. The rhetorical question remains: why is it that you need Dave Roberts, who’s not a papered member of OSJA, in the room with you to run the (Weekly State Business Zoom call) meeting? <feedback, multiple overtalking voices, indiscernible> I have been under duress because of what we saw happening. I was on the call tonight and I am under duress as I saw the, the lack of following of Robert’s Rules (of Order for Parliamentary Procedure) in the meeting tonight. I know it’s recorded and I know it will be posted and this forum is NOT about how we’re conducting our meetings. This forum is about a paper ballot. I go back. I am willing to be Moderator, (especially) now that I see what has happened, in fact, to echo what was stated earlier, OSJA has elected me Moderator ONCE, and that was in September 2022. It was the only time during (regular) State elections where I was elected Moderator The other times I was asked to step in and take over in early 2022 for a member who became ill (Rachel, who had been elected September 2021 at Lizzy’s house after Robin opted out of continuing as Moderator after 18 months); I was asked to step in and take over. I WAS pro tem for a member who resigned (Tim Pickett, February 2024). I support the paper ballot. I want to undo what I saw happen with the attempt of Dave to monopolize our assembly. Again, as I asked him on that first meeting, after the 20<sup>th</sup> (September 24, 2024), I asked Dave, point blank, is this meeting simply a promotion for R USA? Dave denied that it was, yet the evidence is out there that every meeting seems to be more and more promotions of R USA. I’ve heard Dave say to me that I just don’t get it, that we vote and it, suddenly it has to be His way and not the way of the People of Ohio. So, Sean and Mark, thanks for allowing me to go long...”

[36:49 minute mark] Ross: "I support a paper ballot election. I will put, uh, accept a nomination to be Moderator if nominated. I'm not sure how it would be appropriate that we have mail-in ballots. I believe that, eh, I know that the Bylaws state, "paper ballot," (but) nowhere do the Bylaws state that you can mail 'em to an address or you can uh, verify on Zoom or anything like that, just as Dave had stated, in 2021 fifty people were at Lizzie's house in Fairlawn, Ohio in Summit County. They were all **on the honor system**. They all voted without papers because they were all in the room **on the same page**. We Are All On The Same Page in that it is our duty to abolish the tyranny that is evident. I don't think the honor system is gonna work at this time based on evidence we've seen since this September therefore I support a paper ballot of men and women in a room, in a large room, who write the name of their choice for Moderator. I also, I also think it's important that we talk about Bailiff and Scribe; however, Moderator is the most important and that is the topic of tonight's discussion. I yield."

[38:21 minute mark]

Sean: "Okay. Since you brought my name in, I'm gonna go ahead and have to ask a couple direct questions of Mr. Marley. The first question, sir: You say that the Bylaws does not say that you can, but do they say that you can NOT mail it in and verify it in live testimony online for Zoom? The same Zoom?"

Ross: <talking over> "No it does not."

Sean: "Do they say that you can NOT?"

Sean: "In that case, they stand as they are, it could be done either way and there's people that would have to drive three hours and upward to be present. Uh, what's the farthest county away from you, sir?"

Ross: "The farthest county from me?"

Sean: "Yes, sir."

Ross: "Perhaps somewhere near Cincinnati, Hamilton (County)."

Sean: "Let's use that for an example. Now, the election's gonna be held in Hamilton, Cincinnati. When you COULD mail it in and show up in live testimony in Zoom recording, "Yes, that's my ballot." I don't think that's **fair (only allowing live and no mail in)** to those. Some may not even have a vehicle to go the next county over, even. Since the Bylaws do not state that it cannot be done that way; it's verified in live time, in recording. I see no reason why it (**this live stream verification of mailed-in ballots**) should not be (**considered by all as valid and**) honorable. At all. I see no reason for that. You got the wet-ink signature ballot in front of you, you got live witness testimony in a recording, "Yes, that's mine, that's my signature." I don't see why that cannot be honored, sir. I would ask that you think on that one a little bit. Everybody should; but at any rate, I yield with that <indiscernible> Marley, that's all."

[40:24 minute mark] Ross: "Again, my response would be that I agree. If the Bylaws state that, or do not state that that's permissible, I believe that the election should be open to all men and women, (**even**) those who are limited with travel and I know we did elect an emergency bailiff in Kim Wilhelm who is a signer on the Bilateral Social Compact and is a papered member with OSJA. I would say that

[41:00 minute mark] Ross: "I wouldn't have any issues I will say this now: I have no issues if Kim Wilhelm wants to be in receipt of votes uh, for, uh, a re-(run of the )election. In other words, pick up right where we left off. We began that meeting by electing her as emergency Bailiff. That's when I saw an interruption from Dave Roberts, and I believe that a paper ballot moving forward, men and women would be able to vote (**freely vote their conscience without interference**) without being under duress. I thank you for my opportunity to respond, Sean."

Sam: "Um, can I speak?"

<pause>

Dave Roberts: (**directing the meeting, indirectly condescending & scolding Mark McQueen/Sean Beller**) "There's a motion on the floor to speak! <indiscernible> need <indiscernible> recognition."

Sean: "Yes, ma'am. Please state what document you may be signatory to and what great state you're from."

Sam: "Cuyahoga Ohio CoS. I, uh, watched the video of, all three videos of the election (**except there were two?**) um, No One was under duress, in fact, Ross was the moderator that night, um, there, I, I believe that everyone should, that's present

on this call, should watch that video and then state um, again, how they’re feeling, they were feeling that night. That is um, it’s very <tsk> it’s the opposite of what took place and you can see it, in real time, on the video.”

Sean: “When you’re done (speaking) please say, ‘I yield’.”

Sam: “I yield.”

Cristina: “May I?”

Mark: “Go ahead, Cristina.”

Cristina: “Two quick things. The Bilateral Social Compact very specifically states and prohibits mail-in ballots. I feel it would be better if we had every papered member in the state able to vote in a fair fashion…”

Sean: “You broke up.”

Cristina: “Sorry?”

Sean: “You’re all broke up please start over.”

Cristina: “I see there are several people who have their mic’s open, it was probably that. Um, so, I feel that whatever we can do to make sure that as many people as possible are able to cast their fair vote is the best way to go about it although the Bilateral Social Compact does prohibit mail in ballots. The second thing that I wanted to say, I don’t think it’s fair or appropriate for anyone who wasn’t in the room to make blanket statements about how the people in the room felt. I yield.”

Kat: “Is anyone else having a hard time hearing?”

Female: “Yeah, I was.”

Sean: “I was. That’s why I asked her to start over. Cristina, please try to start over and keep your phone in one position, speak slowly and clearly please because everybody was having, uh, you were breaking up. It’s not a downgrade or anything, it’s just what it is.”

Cristina: “My apologies. Is this better? <I did nothing different.> “

Female: “Yes.”

Sean: “Yes.”

Cristina: “I agree that any method that we can come up with that allows everyone who’s papered in OSJA to have their fair vote, is appropriate, although the Bilateral Social Compact prohibits mail in votes. The second point that I wanted to make is: It is Not appropriate for anyone who was not in the room to make a blanket statement about how people in the room may have been feeling. In fact, I don’t feel that it’s appropriate for anyone who was halfway across the room from me during that election to say how I may or may not have been feeling because there was so much cross talk

<feedback – indiscernible>

Cristina: “and the recording on the laptop that was on the other side of the room did not pick up what was being said or done on my half of the room. I yield.”

[45:23 minute mark]

Lisa: “May I? <echoing back> may I? May I?”

Mark: “Go ahead, Sherri.” (this, because the caller is represented through the account named “Sherri Pivonka”)

Lisa Hester: “Yeah, hi. There’s three of us here. My name is Lisa Hester and I am a uh, papered member in Medina and Social uh, Bilateral Compact as well for Medina and the state [this is not true. The autograph of Lisa Hester does not appear on the BLSC in reciprocity with AFR.] and uh, I am the acting Bailiff for the state right now. <increasing volume> I wanted to just make a note, I (found?) that uh, with the OSJA for three years now and through all my training (What Training? She was the one who rejected being taught common law, jural procedure and Robert’s rules of Order! Her excuse ‘Medina doesn’t want or need all That!’) WITH Cristina, Ross, Sparrow, Dave it’s Always been never mentioned about a Bilateral Law of voting by paper. We even asked about voting by Zoom for people who couldn’t not make uh, meetings and that was absolutely told to us that it was okay. Never was it mentioned about paper ballots. I feel like this is

such a dramatic, Ugh, agenda right now that they're stretching all of this and grabbing at these Bylaws that was WAIVED we weren't even told about

[46:40 minute mark] (so you're claiming that our collective ignorance of the rules justifies permanent and utter disregard for those rules? Put the shoe on the other foot – if you had an objection to a situation and discovered that there had been a rule put in place to prevent that situation, would you agree to allow the other side to claim that ignorance of that law justifies breaking it?)

Lisa: "about that that they changed it where you didn't have to do mail in ballots anymore

(How many white claws IN are you, Lisa? None of this has been said.)

Lisa: "Uh, Ross and Cristina, Sparrow, Dave, they've all been there to train us (Correct. We also provided copies of all state documents to YOU as Moderator, Lisa. Clearly your obligation to read and understand them and educate your own County Committee of Safety on them was your failing.) and this was told, uh quit a few times, So, I AM CONFUSED about this why this is being done like this so...

[47:00 minute mark]

Lisa: "I yield. Thank you."

Danielle: "May I?"

Sean: "Yes."

Danielle: "At the 9/20 meeting, uh, this is Danielle that is the scribe for OSJA now. Also a papered member for Ohio and Medina. Medina County. Ih, uh, at the 9/20 meeting, Ross indicated if you were at the meeting or listening to the recording you can vote to accept or abstain so if you could ih, uh, if you could watch the recording and vote to accept meeting minutes then you should be able to look at the recording and have an opinion of how the voting went

(Fundamental refusal to comprehend the actual objection to the election.)

Danielle: "Now it was clear in that recording

(That Dave Roberts wasn't a member, had no recognized authority nor just cause of harm or trespass justifying his interjecting himself in order to significantly alter the progress of the meeting agenda AND the election.)

Danielle: "that Ross was like, yeah, I will stand up and I will vote. Tha, that is not a person in duress

(Except that psychologically it IS the most typical display in males of one who was abused as a child and copes with attacks by both feigning compliance and masking vulnerability. Abusers frequently both refuse to acknowledge AND deny this reality so as to avoid being confronted with the consequences IN OTHERS of how their abuse has harmed and permanently altered trauma responses in their victims.)

Danielle: "I'm sorry, Ross, I love you but I don't buy it. <indiscernible> Not At All. So, we've known each other for five years

(Have you? You met before Ross joined OSJA? How about these overly familiar highly manipulative terms of endearment stop. It's a controlling emotional abuse tactic that I will END for you if you ever get within arms length of me again. Truly. I'm not afraid to catch a charge; are you afraid to go to the hospital? Keep my husband's name out of your mouth.)

Danielle: "Four years, whatever. I came to, im, down to ohio and signed the Compact int, th, April 30, 2022

(That's 2.5 years, genius. And I was the one holding the clip board for you. Me, Cristina.)

Danielle: "So, I know a little

(very little, apparently.)

Danielle: "bit about YOU. I've seen you; I've been around you. DON'T. Don't pull the wool over my eyes. I yield."

Ross: "may I?"

MUCH Louder Female voice: “**MAY I?** Adrienne from Medina County. Papered Mender memberer in Medina and the state of Ohio was it <increasing volume even more> NOT announced on all the “one” chat rooms that there was gonna be a meeting and we could attend by Zoom and vote it was grodcasted[sic] to ALL the committees of safety (by Dave Roberts) I yield.”

Mark: “Go ahead, Ross.”

Ross: “Okay. <stammering – indicative of extreme stress and duress> I love all of you

(Again, a stereotypical factor of the psychological trauma response *feigning* compliance and agreement with the abuse so as to avoid further assault.)

Ross: “...that we’ve worked together, grown together and, you know, this is a BUSINESS discussion. I’m glad Danielle recognizes this is not a personal discussion. I want to dive into something here with Medina County. Danielle, just deny... I want to confirm: Danielle, Medina county, Adrienne, Medina county, uh, Sherri, Lisa, uh, I don’t know if there’s three of you Lisa, Laura, and Sherri, all three of you (are from) Medina county, I’m just checking Flo may or may not have been with (the participants currently seated at the same location in) Medina and also Kim Ferrari from Medina, uh, Leah, from Medina, so we have many Medina county members on this particular call tonight so, something happened with Medina...

[50 minute mark]

Ross: “you guys didn’t meet for a while (almost two years). And I’m drawing a blank here, because I don’t know what happened (to cause you all to stop meeting and then suddenly start back up again after 2 years *sine die*) when Dave Roberts showed up (recently) at Leisure Lane and met with a lot of you. Dave was not alone. (There was a female member of the R USA Funding Team with him – conveniently nobody recalls her name anymore) Dave wanted you (all members of the Medina CoS) all you wonderful men and women of Medina county to *reassemble (in order to fill seats within the R USA organization on behalf of the entire state of Ohio)* to come back together. Dave was not alone with his presentation. Can somebody tell us who was with Dave because I’m under the impression that this was a group from R USA with Dave and I’m under an impression that Dave wanted to spin you back up as a R USA Committee of Safety and not an OS

<feedback – overtalking voices – indiscernible in the background>

Ross: “JA Committee of Safety. Can somebody please clarify from Medina county

<feedback - overtalking voices – indiscernible in the background>

Ross: “...who spoke and what they told you?”

Lisa: “Yes, I c

Dave: <overtalking> “Ross I ca..

Ross: “NO. I want to hear from Medina county, Dave.”

Dave: “I KNOW you do but I want, I wanna make something clear to you. NOBODY was spinnin anything up R USA. I don’t understand what you guys are comin from with R USA. You STILL have yet never clarified what your issue is

(Never clarified? Are you joking?! We’ve been asking the same questions since JUNE and not once have we gotten a straight, verifiable answer from you or ANYONE associated with R USA about *any* of their claims of legitimacy. Tell us who funds them. Prove they’re recognized by The Hague. Prove their connection to and having been approved by ‘the white hats’. Show us how they exist to achieve positive ends and not just waiting like jackals in the wings hoping for our current frame of existence to explode so they can take over – as if they aren’t among THOUSANDS of organizations doing the same thing. What makes them special, different, morally/ethically superior?)

Dave: “with R USA. YET. You have yet. I’ve asked you multiple times and I need to clear the record. That meeting that you stated was after the election

(Ross made no such statement. We were told by Medina CoS that the meeting he referred to happened sometime between July and August as Dave was still on his whirlwind tour with “the entourage” from R USA funding team.)

Dave: "...uh, I beg to differ with you. That was Before the election. It was before September 10<sup>th</sup> because you asked me not to attend the state meeting (on September 10<sup>th</sup>) because you bad-mouthed me

(As a non-member with no recognized authority OVER any man or woman, what right did you have to expect no discussion of your actions/motives in a business meeting if those actions/motives affect the general assembly? What right did you believe you had to attend a private business meeting of an assembly THAT YOU ARE NOT A MEMBER OF, Dave?)

[51:47 minute mark]

Dave: "in the meeting! So let, I want THAT on the record! So, go ahead Lisa."

Lisa: "YES. This is LISA from Medina, again. Um, yes, we took a hiatus. We, uh, <multiple voices in the background – indiscernible> had "a guest speaker," CRISTINA, uh, come in, and by this time <multiple voices continuing to talk in the background – indiscernible> she was trying to train us on something but it went into personal

( I was REQUESTED to come in and discuss common law Notices and how to retain your sovereignty in a de facto setting. How can one teach this without using examples? Why would one accept the teaching of someone who had not personally experienced successful practice of these techniques?)

Lisa: "items with her and her arrest and what not so it's another story. <multiple voices in the background – indiscernible> UHHH, But, **we were already getting fragmented** (thanks for admitting I really had nothing to do with Medina CoS' dissolution, why then bring me into this?) into different uh, areas (there were several members of the Medina CoS who were running for local de facto Council seats and one gentleman in particular was driving the rest of the group hard to vote in the direction \*he\* wanted...) uh, people wanted to do different things. We decided to take a hiatus (go sine die) I have always been in contact with Dave. Here and there, through this hiatus. Aaaand there was a point in time for months that we didn't talk. He called me back and, uh, this year, and asked, Hey, would you want to cu, uh, start Medina up, you know, get it rolling again and I said, you know, it has been a good (long) time you know, that we, eh, basically I said yes. Lemme call everybody and lemme start this ball rolling and THAT'S how it started. With the Medina county Committee of Safety starting up NOTHING at that time was talked about R USA

(Side stepped the question completely. Ross asked who Dave brought with him from R USA and whether or not there was any call to action on behalf of THAT organization to the members of Medina County CoS OSJA.)

Lisa: "...not even mentioned an inkling we started meeting, uh, very casually to see who wanted to do this again. Aaaand we had tw, uh, three meetings? Before we, uh, you know, were going to start back up and then when we were starting back up and we were going to do elections for Ourselves, is when I had a conversation with Ross and at that time there had been some uh, personal encounters with Cristina

(Specifics and factual evidence or don't bring it up. The meeting where they were all drunk and heckling me, that Lisa categorized as me straying from the topic to share my personal success in retaining sovereignty in a de facto court situation was where I stated openly that although I had been invited to speak, I would not accept further invitations by this group whose pattern of behavior was to embrace overconsumption of alcohol at all of their business meetings. My openly stated boundary based on self-respect is what Lisa is about to call 'irreconcilable personality conflict'. She may also be alluding to the peeping tom house-bugging by my former landlord, a brand new member of the Medina CoS, Brian Stanis. Evidently he has kept private and confidential my Notice of Trespass Harm to him from April, 2024.)

Lisa: "<heavy sigh> on a uh, individual, outside of Medina county uh, of safety, uh, with s, several people (again, specifics and factual evidence or don't bring it up... even then, what does this have to do with the question, "Who did Dave bring with him from R USA and were they there to recruit OSJA members into R USA?") in our group. And so we and it was we didn't want to get fragmented

(But didn't you just say you were ALREADY fragmented?)

Lisa: "um, so I'll just keep it short about That... people didn't feel comfortable around Cristina

(What does this have to do with who from R USA Dave brought to your meeting to recruit you?)



Lisa: "...because of everything that went down in our last meeting together with her so I asked Ross at that time that we actually wanted HIM to continue, Dave to continue uh, education with us but we did not want Cristina in Medina uh, meetings <clearing throats in the background> at all anymore aaaand uhh, <voices in the background – indiscernible> AND at that time then I told Ross that WE wanted to do our own elections that evening we wanted it to be organic and we wanted and we knew how to do it

(Are you saying that Ross implied that you didn't know how to do it? Another falsehood to throw shade on Ross, implying he was somehow trying to interfere or control the county CoS. In point of fact, you elected someone as your new moderator in that meeting who had only been a papered member for ONE MEETING the previous week!)

Lisa: "...so we did it OUR WAY. Aaaaand we proceeded on (to verbally assault your brand new moderator and her invited guest speaker the very next week, such that both women left the meeting in hysterics, unable to drive out of their shock and trauma because you manufactured this crisis. Then the next week after that, two weeks after you 'did your OWN election your OWN way' you kicked out the brand new moderator for no just cause whatsoever.) Aaand after Thaaaaat, Dave presented, uhhhh, you know, educ, more education **with people** (yes! You're almost there! Which people were those that he brought into the meeting to 'educate' the Medina CoS?) AND YOU AND CRISTINA CAME! Uh, prior to our election (Wut?) to talk about R USA (are you SURE?) as well!! So I dunno why you're bringing it up like THIS like it's That was **NEVER** Never the start of Medina going back up

(That's not what Ross said nor what he asked you.)

Lisa: "again after our hia, hiatus. It was ALL about OSJA from the get-go. I <overtalking female voices> yield."

Ross: "So, uh, who

Female: "May I?"

Ross: "Who did you elect as your moderator when you did the elections yourselves?"

<Overtalking male voice – okay, hold on, now, indiscernible>

Lisa: "Kim

Mark: "Hold on you guys. Hold on. Hold on a second. Who on this call is in association with the R USA as well as the OSJA? <pause> ...Anyone."

[55:23 minute mark]

Mark: "I take it that's a (collective) No? So there's No One that's..."

Dave: "<indiscernible> clarity, I was nominated as Postmaster General for R USA, but I have not

(...completed their vetting process because they insisted on paper evidence proof that you had rescinded your oaths to both the Continental Marshal and the Freemasons, this, according to Sandy Miarecki on September 8, 2024. Recording available.)

Dave: "...accept the position and I doubt if I will. I rest."

Mark: "Okay. Anyone else have any dealings with R USA? Hold any offices, any oaths, or anything to R USA?"  
<indiscernible overtalking female voices>

(angrily) Adrienne: "ADRIENNE FROM MEDINA!

Mark: "okay, okay Okay."

Adrienne: <continuing to speak> "I was nominated

Mark: "one at a time."

Adrienne: <still speaking> "as uh, Apologies."

Mark: <indiscernible> " go ahead."

Adrienne: "Okay. I was nominated as Senator. I declined the position

(That's not what their website says; it shows you as Senator in Ohio.)

Adrienne: "I am no longer <takes deep breath> I, I the only thing I do is I will listen to some of their conversations but I, uh, am not an interim Senator."

Mark: "alright."

Adrienne: "Thank you. I yield."

<multiple female voices overtalking – feedback – indiscernible>

Doug: "<indiscernible> for Dave. To respond to his."

Mark: "Okay, go ahead Doug."

Doug: "Dave, why does, there's a poster of you and (R USA) Governor of Michigan which says that you ARE Postmaster, General."

Dave: "YEAH. It's, they're, eh, it, it's all over R USA promotes me as Postmaster General but I have not accepted. I have not entered I've not been, I've been vetted, but I've never given an oath I've never taken an oath so, uhhh, that's that's on

Doug: "Okay, but

Dave: <indiscernible>

Doug: "them putting stuff on

Dave: <continuing to talk – indiscernible>

Doug: <indiscernible>

Dave: <indiscernible> invited to speak

Sean: "Please, Doug, let him finish and when he says he's uh, I yield, then respond, please."

[57:09 minute mark]

Dave: "I, uh, um, I get invited to speak all over the United States. I just got back from Virginia

(Speaking in front of the R USA assembly – recordings of the livestream available for a fee to Dave.)

Dave: "uh, spending a weekend down there uh, teaching eight (R USA) states how to stand up committees of safety. Uh, so, I'm

(what does this have to do with the question you were asked: Why is there a poster advertising that you are the Postmaster General if you say you haven't accepted the position?)

Dave: "I'm very busy all over the United States helping to grow assemblies across the United States WHICH IN TURN is going to create Bilateral Social Compacts

(Again, what does this have to do with ANYTHING we are discussing tonight?)

Dave: "and the Bilateral Social Compacts are NOT gonna be approved by, uh, R USA. They don't want (them) it, Okay? This is what I've been trying to do with Ohio is Get Some COOPERATION so that we can create the government We want not the government that (James Buchanan) Geiger wants. We don't want to build a government in his image. We want to build a government for the people, by the people, OF THE PEOPLE AND I COULD, ***I COULD STAND TO HAVE A LITTLE BIT OF COOPERATION FROM OSJA BUT INSTEAD ALL I'VE HAD IS OPPOSITION AND UH, WALL BUILDING AND UH, DIVIDE AND SEPARATION AND UH BITCHING BUT NO COOPERATION!*** So, with that, I Rest."

Cristina: "May I?"

Female: "May I?"

Doug: "Okay, what I, what I'm saying is that the are putting you out there on posters as Postmaster General. (if this is false,) then you should have them take you off as that if you haven't accepted it."



Sean: “Now, Doug would you please introduce yourself and what doctrine you are a signatory on?”

Doug: “I am of the Michigan Compact and Ombudsman of Michigan and signator of the Articles of Confederation AFR national Bilateral Social Compact. I yield.”

Sean: “That being said, he has a direct reason he has a direct reason for this is he’s bringing rebuttal against any type of publication concerning Michigan because the People of Michigan have never authorized it. (the claim that the man pictured with ‘Dave Roberts, Postmaster General’ is R USA Governor of Michigan) He has direct cause for bringing this forward and (sic)(making his public) objection (rebuttal) to it. I yield.”

Mark: “Cristina, go ahead.”

Cristina: <indiscernible>

Dave: “uh, I’m sorry, did

Mark: “Stand by Dave.

Dave: <still talking>

Mark: “DAVE STAND BY.”

Dave: “Okay.”

Mark: “Cristina go ahead.”

Cristina: “Thank you. I wanted to

Mark: “Go ahead Cristina.”

Cristina: “I wanted to respond to the attacks against my character and statements made by multiple people from the Medina county committee of safety. The did ask me to come and speak on common law. That was what I walked into the room expecting to do. When I arrived at that meeting, in the same way that they conducted themselves during the September 20<sup>th</sup> election, those members of the Medina county committee of safety had at least two empty White Claw (alcoholic beverages) in front of (each of) them when I arrived in the building and the continued to drink alcohol (throughout the ‘Business’ meeting). I expressed to them (all, during and after the fact) that I did not appreciate the disrespect and that I would not continue in this sort of meeting if they were going to consume alcohol. I didn’t (nor do I) feel it’s appropriate and they responded uhh, the way they just stated they responded. I yield.”

[1:00:33 minute mark] <female voice “let somebody else...> “LAURA FROM MEDINA. <feedback – multiple voices overtalking – indiscernible> THANK YOU. MY NAME IS LAURA I’M WITH MEDINA I’M SIGNED ON ALL OF IT. AGAIN. NO ONE HAS EVER SAID ANYTHING AT ANY MEETING SINCE THE BEGINNING OF ANYONE BRINGING ALCOHOL

(Does anyone HAVE to TELL you that acting as governing representatives for your community means you should anticipate that the members of your community would naturally assume and desire that you be SOBER in mind, body and action WHILE you are representing them????? Wow.)

Laura: “I AM A SOVEREIGN. WOMAN ON THE LAND. I ANSWER TO GOD.

(Titus 2, my dear. Titus 2.)

Laura: “I AM IN CONTROL OF MY OWN SELF. I HAVE NEVER BEEN ARRESTED. I AM NOT A FELON. I AM, I’VE NEVER BEEN EVICTED FOR NON-PAYMENT OF ANY SORT

(It seems like she is trying to imply that I am guilty of all of those things. I wonder who is telling these lies about me behind my back. Still, though, what does this have to do with my right to establish that SELF RESPECTING boundary?)

Laura: “SO IF I CHOOSE TO HAVE AN ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE DURING A MEETING CONDUCTED IN (sic – during) MY FREE TIME WHICH I CHOOOOOOOSE TO SIGN UP FOR, BECAUSE \*I\* believe in what we’re doing, THAT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH ANYTHING HAPPENING. I YIELD BACK.”

(Methinks the lady doth protest too much.)

Kat: “May I? This is Kat from Lorain county. I am a papered member (of OSJA) and I believe that there are very much deeper issues with all of this.

[1:01:43 minute mark] Kat: “This is the hidden hand behind Amanda with Dave in the room, each time. This is what many of us are concerned about, because isn’t that what we have in our (de facto) government now, the hidden hand operating from behind the scenes of whatever (nefarious) agenda wants, of these people that are being puppeted. It’s the perception, if not the actual acts. I had (made a motion) in one of the meetings to (ask the Assembly to confer upon) Dave emeritus (honor status) and I had been shouted over; I was told in another meeting that I wasn’t allowed to speak because it wasn’t on the agenda, submit it (to be considered for addition to the agenda), et cetera. The meetings are being (completely) monopolized by Dave Roberts who doesn’t have (sic - an oath) with all of us and I think that we should make him Emeritus and thank him for his input et cetera but it’s time that OSJA either move on (from being force-fed baby birds to Dave Robert’s messiah complex) or maybe dissolve. I yield.”

Danielle: “May I? This is Danielle from Medina county again. Yes, I’m papered both in Medina and the state. Of Ohio (different papers? That’s a new procedure…) Okay, first of all, my first response is to Cristina

(Shocker. Bring it.)

[1:03:06 minute mark] Danielle: “Thi, thi, the issue lies with the toxicity level that is going on

(Do Tell!)

Danielle: “If you look at one People’s BUSINESS chat and the DOCUMENTS room, YOU have done Nothin but post things

(How about specific examples and facts or zip it.)

Danielle: “the last two three weeks talk about toxicity WE are an organization

(Wrong. OSJA is a private membership association. You are clearly something else altogether.)

Danielle: “(sic) that are trying to gather momentum and gather people to want to change and to want to build things YOU are doing everything in your power

(Oh. My dear. You CLEARLY have no idea what it is ‘IN MY POWER’ to do…)

Danielle: “to destroy and dis, jis, jus tear things apart <gasps> AND, thu, thi, uh, a, yu, I Do go fer the paper ballots. That’s FINE. We’ll do it. That’s Fine. We’ll figure out a common ni denominator tu to who we’re gunna mail ‘em all to an then we’ll do Zoom meeting to do that. As far as Kat’s

[1:04:00 minute mark] Danielle: “cu, cu, cunsern uh of Dave didn’t lemme talk an I wanned tu du, eh, you barged into the meeting

(She was the invited speaker of your elected moderator, Kim. Kat made no mention whatsoever of Dave being at the Medina meeting where she was scheduled to speak on lawful versus legal. She arrived 20 minutes before the official meeting was set to begin.)

Danielle: “You were ten minutes late. You sed this iz Kat from LURAIN you ba ga dra en you thou eh we were jus like whu waiting for you to arrive en no we (who is we? It was Kim’s responsibility as the elected moderator to do that.) had posted an agenda three days prior that’s how you get things Done. You put things out there three days prior, get (sic – give?) people chance ta maneuver en figure out what they’re gunna report in, en that, then, yu, thu Give Credit. Everybody came. The thu meetings have improved significantly in attendance since September so I don’t want to, uh ee, Kristene you are amazing lee detail oriened but I, I I would prefer you to try to use it in a positive way instead of

(Because protecting you all from your own dumb asses isn’t positive. Uhhh… kay? Thanks, Dad.)

[1:05:00 minute mark] Danielle: “di, duh, destructv way everybody has strngths en weaknesses. Find out what yer strengths are

***LOL!***

Danielle: “instead of sitting around pointing out everybody else’s weaknesses. (See definition for narcissistic projection.) That is not moving Ohio forward. I yield.”

Mark: “Okay, it seems as though we’re getting off track here a little bit folks, with all this back and forth. I think the Governor of the AFR has brought forth a recommendation that everyone seems to feel comfortable with. I would say at this point

[1:05:35 minute mark – 1:06:50 LEFT ON THE RECORDING] Mark: “That you are to move forward with that recommendation.”

<feedback and multiple voices overtalking in the background>

Mark: “(There is) no need for the back and forth or anything like that. No need for that.”

Dave: “May I?”

Ross: “May I?”

Mark: “Dave, go ahead.”

Dave: “Okay. I second that motion

(Which motion was that?)

Dave: “and I feel we’ve made, uh, need to make a motion forward that we accept that

(you’re not a member, Dave. You have no standing to make, second or suggest motions. What the F?)

Dave: “and take a vote and end this call cuz we’re goin nowhere at this point.”

Mark: “Exactly.”

Dave: “I rest.”

Mark: “Ro,

Cristina: “May I?”

Mark: “Ross go ahead.”

<feedback>

Ross: “We’re here to discuss a vote OSJA members will vote once we wrap this up. I’ve got a specific question: Dave Roberts, you Are a Continental Marshal. What jurisdiction do you have at our election? Your oath says you have jurisdiction, "in all matters, of all estates and states, nations, countries where oppression, tyranny, suffrage, peonage, barratry, piracy, slavery of any type, involuntary servitude, anti-trust, monopolizing, terrorism, exploitation, blackmail, extortion, wrongful detentions and internments for profit have been alleged or charged.” Dave Roberts, are any of those issues the case with our pending paper (ballot re-run) election? If not, there’s no reason for you to be in attendance. I yield.”

[1:07:19 minute mark]

Dave: “Uh, May I?”

Mark: “Go ahead, Dave.”

Dave: “I saw a Wrong that was developing in the election, uh, you guys were *orchestrated*, as soon as **you** moved to Moderator

(WE were orchestrated??? What meeting were You at? Again, narcissistic projection at it’s highest level.

YOUR interference began when you stated that you wouldn’t permit a vote on my position because, “it’s appointed and I will eliminate it as soon as I decide it’s no longer needed!” This effectively silenced me and stunned everyone else into at least temporary silence as you then ORDERED Ross to move to vote for Moderator.)

Dave: “you said, Now we’re gonna elect moderator, you didn’t even get done and *Cristina’s* sez <in a nasty mocking tone> “I nominate Ross.” And I’m like well you ben at it for three and a half years it’s time for somebody new

(such gracious tone and demeanor you now falsely attribute to your own past malicious actions...)

Dave: “So I s, I ah asked for the floor (Lie) and you granted it to me (Lie) and then I stated that Ross had done a fantastic job (Lie. No such words were uttered.) you had done and but it’s time for new blood.

(the patronizing tone he is using here causes the blood to fairly boil for those of us who recall his screaming curses scolding all of us in that meeting. He’s really banking on none of these people having watched the actual video.)

Dave: “that’s All I did. I didn’t do anything else after that (Lie. He interrupted during the vote for scribe and bailiff as well.)

[1:08:05 minute mark] Dave: “I did nothing after that. Okay? And then I stepped back. I rest.”

Cristina: “May I?”

Mark: “Go ahead, Cristina.”

**Cristina: “The video shows differently. I’m sorry. The video shows differently. I yield.”**

<long pause>

**Sean: “Alright guys.** Uh, I’m... this is kinda just goin

[1:08:30 minute mark - 1:03:55 REMAINING]

Sean: “..back and forth about things that can be remembered in the future and dealt with better experiences; at any rate, I’m not hearing any objection to the paper ballot election (re-run) so I’m going to just go ahead and try to put this out there right now. Re-election – thirty days from today. I need to hear if there is an objection. If there’s no objection, in this room, then (in) OSJA’s next meeting you need to take another vote there as well, (which meeting? The one held by Dave or the one held by Ross?) if there’s no objection that prevents this,

<feedback, multiple overtalking voices, indiscernible – female voice challenges “...object. Who are YOU?!” Then silence.>

Sherri Pivonka: <interrupting> “May I?”

Sean: “Yes (yes, yes, yes – echoing on multiple devices with open mics in the same room as Sherri).”

Sherri: “I do object. This is Sherri Medina. Papered in, signed in, all legit. All over the board. Um, I think maybe if we can request to get the minutes originally from the State and to watch the

(It’s been 90 days. The Zoom recording(s) has been posted since the night of the meeting. The minutes have been publicly posted on the authorized website for weeks.)

Sherri: “...video Danielle had spoke of, and THEN make a decision afterwards. I yield back.”

[1:09:44 minute mark]

Sean: “I would like to ask who it is that asked who I am.” <from the Medina group>

[1:10:05 minute mark]

Lisa: “Did you jus, I’m sorry, Sean, are you talkin about the person who just spoke?”

Sean: “I heard somebody, somewhere, it was a lady that said, “I object, Who are YOU.”

Lisa: “No, no, no.

Sean: <being overtalked by Lisa – indiscernible>

Lisa: “No. No. I’m sorry, excuse me, Sean,

<multiple overtalking female voices in the background – indiscernible>

Lisa: “When, cuz there’s three of us here

(Excellent example of why multiple people accessing from a single microphone is NOT ACCEPTABLE.)

Lisa: “she said, I object. And I said, who are you? Tell who you are. That’s, I was...”

(except that’s not what we all heard. Nice try.)

Sean: “Oh,

Lisa: <continuing to talk over Sean – indiscernible>

Sean: “Thank you...”

Lisa: “Ya, I’m sorry. Just to clarify. Yes. I yield. Sorry.”

Sean: “I will go ahead and

<multiple female voices overtalking – feedback – indiscernible>

Sean: “I will go ahead and say a few things about myself. If you don’t mind. I’m a very honorable man. I’m married, its been over ten years. I started the AFR with the presence of three others, two (acting as) witnesses and two standing in testimony, (for each other) and vice versa for the other two. I was first <indiscernible> multiple years where I stood up and I was treated like I was less than Earth by those who called themselves General Postmasters and Postmaster General. As I had watched throughout this Earth I’ve watched multiple Social Contracts and in these social contracts they’re in person they can be sanctioned by the legislation that they’re appearing for, or the authority that they’re appearing under. None of them have compact. I’m looking at Russell Jay Gould, I’m looking at Keith Livingway, I’m looking at Anna Von Reitz, I’m looking at David Straight. All of those leads you back into a **social CONTRACT which means dominion has legislation maintains dominion over the population, without the population’s expressed consent**. There was a reason that the founding fathers demanded (the term) EXPRESSED (be included in the language of the original governing documents of America). There’s a reason that they said the (social) compact was **indispensable**. I’ve learned the hard way what that is and why it’s indispensable. I hope that that helps to answer some questions. The work that’s been done in the American First Republic is a perfect role model. Every Nation=State needs to do the exact same thing and we’re running out of time because the weapons that are being used against this population would take me longer to state than what I just did. With that I

<echoing feedback from multiple microphones open>

Sean: “I, I yield. I’m not God himself but I don’t mind being his tool. I yield.”

[1:12:33 minute mark]

<feedback – multiple microphone echoing – indiscernible>

Doug: “I’d like to say

<female voices overtalking – indiscernible>

? Female: “May I?”

<echoing feedback – multiple overtalking female voices – indiscernible>

?Female: “...finish with my first question Please!

<female voice in the background, OK?>

Dave: “Go ahead Laura.”

Sherri: “This is Sherri. Permission...”

Dave: “Err, Sherri.”

Sherri: “Thank you for explaining that. I appreciate that. Um, again, I just want to reject the paper ballots until we get the ORIGINAL papers (huh??) from the State that is missing and the video that Danielle spoke of that she listened and watched so we have our own opinions to move forward

(Dave, did you forget to give these ding dong’s access to the one People’s Recording and Documents Rooms?)

Sherri: “before we can even agree to a mail-in vote. I appreciate, I yield back.”

Amanda: “May I?”

Amanda: “May I?”

Sean: “Yes.”

Amanda: “I second that, and uh, you said sumpin bout thirty days fer a vote um because of the holidays we only have meetings every two weeks right now, um, I would recommend sixty days, at least the, cur, fer this matter.”

<feedback – multiple phone indicators going off in the background somewhere – indiscernible>

Sean: “The problem is this: as it was said by Mister Graham Lascsik, which is a scholar, okay, I could go into detail and explain where his education came from, which is more than mine. He withstood two years, was threatened and placed in a mental psychiatric evaluation (*sic – observation facility*), threatened with torture by drugs. He went through this trial and tribulation, and in the end, they gave him his child back. It started because he went to pick his son up off the street. He did not have custodial parental rights. The child told him, if you don’t come and get me, I’ll never tell you where I ran to again. If you take me straight back to my mom, I Will run again and I will not tell you where I am. He brought him home and went to jail for it. Graham Lascsik; I’ve watched this man studied alongside of John Mark Adams and if you look through the American First Republic newspaper you’re going to find John Mark Adams as one of these Affidavits that was brought against the social contract of Keith Livingway and his fraud. Over a long period of, long train of abuses watching people exiled without procedural due process by a simple administrative act, and watching states placed in trust by a simple administrative act, that gave us the duty, under the Law of Nations to alter the compact and to alter, then abolish what was the government. We didn’t just throw away the entire government. We altered first, then we cut out the part that was bad. These are things, over a long period of time you learn who somebody is. And Mr. Lascick, the way he stated that, I do know his education... at this point,

[1:15:53 minute mark]

Sean: “It’s as if there Was no election. Period. All works by the OSJA (*since and including September 20, 2024*) have no force or effect in law until you complete these (*paper ballot process*) elections, without rebuttal, without objection. I highly recommend, and I pray, that OSJA will come to the point < feedback – that?> all these elections and conduct this business As Soon As Possible. I would say NO MORE, er, No LESS than seven days.

[1:16:21 minute mark]

Sean: “This Is An Emergency Issue! There’s been a bunch of going around the State, the state itself is what’s suffering right now. So I beg you (*all, OSJA members,*) that you reconsider the demand that was placed on the table right there (*by Sherri and seconded by Amanda*) and come to a humble agreement that whoever may have any doctrine that belongs to OSJA, now that this agreement was made for the re(*running of the election by paper ballots*) election would happily hand them over to the Newly, LAWFULLY elected officers. And anybody who don’t hand ‘em over, that does have possession is guilty of a felony

(*Felony? That’s a grave accusation. I’d like to see the supporting documentation for that specific label.*)

Sean: “That is theft. If you have property and there is a standing elected officer (*Ross*) without any rebuttal or objection, well, now you’re guilty of theft. So have the election, have the chance for people to hand it over, may not even want to know who they (*sic – were*) was. They may want it to be entirely anonymous.

[1:17:39 minute mark]

Sean: “As long as you (*the general assembly of OSJA*) got it, you know? Let’s have some <indiscernible> people. Let’s forgive our trespassers as we’ve been forgiven. I yield with that.”

Lisa: “MAY I PLEASE! MAY I. THIS IS LISA. From Medina. I DO NOT AGREE FOR IT TO BE UNANIMOUS

<overtalking female voice in the background, correcting her – “Anonymous”>

Lisa: “ANONYMOUS!!! <laughing>

<female voices in the background, laughing>

Lisa: "... I'm tire... <laughing> uhh, anonymous, because um, Hey! What have we been dealing with for the past, oh, almost Eight Years?!

(WUT?)

<Laura, in the background, indiscernible, NOT laughing>

Lisa: "uh <indiscernible – multiple female voices overtalking –Lisa in the background: "election fraud"> ELECTION FRAUD! <multiple female voices in the background continue to laugh> I just want everything to be upstanding

(Your gaslighting projections will be your downfall, Lisa.)

Lisa: "and everybody putcher name on it so We KNOW there are trust issues here

(You said a Mouthful THERE, cupcake!)

[1:18:21 minute mark]

<multiple voices overtalking – Laura, "WHO VOTED FOR WHO?" - indiscernible>

(So, let's get this **straight**. Laura is accusing ME ('the State' who has 'stolen' the minutes?) of ELECTION FRAUD? For not posting the meeting minutes on the Telegram page even though they've been posted on the authorized website... and the fact that there were no paper ballots as called for in the Bylaws is irrelevant? The mental gymnastics here are absolutely mind boggling.)

Lisa: "with people out there right now that, uh,

<feedback – multiple overtalking female voices – indiscernible>

[1:17:45 minute mark]

Lisa: "yeah, just tr, trust issues. So I think we need to not have it that way but have names on the ballot. Yes."

(WTF?)

Sean: "Ma'am, that's not what I was

Lisa: <talking over Sean – indiscernible>

Sean: "Talking about. I was talking about the doctrine that is

<multiple overtalking female voices?

Sean: "being requested.

<multiple overtalking female voices – feedback – indiscernible>

Sean: "I'm talking about the MINUTES and any of the historic doctrines of OSJA

(the autographed copies of the State Covenant Documents that Dave has but has not provided to the official State archives? The membership documents of "2/3 of our assembly members"???)

[1:18:47 minute mark]

Sean: "and Ohio. I'm not talking about anonymous VOTES. By no means. I absolutely

<overtalking female voices from Medina – indiscernible>

Lisa: <overtalking> "I'm SO sorry!"

Sean: <indiscernible> "I yield."

Lisa: <continuing to overtalk Sean> "...absolutely sorry if I misunderstood, I thought that that's what WE heard here. So I

<Laura and other female voices overtalking – indiscernible>



Lisa: "...pologize I'm not tryin to

<multiple overtalking female voices – indiscernible>

? Female: "May I? May I?"

<indiscernible female in the background – "...the website... then put up.... OSJA">

? Female: "I would I would

<indiscernible female in the background continuing to talk>

? Female: "like

Dave: <female voice in the background.... "somewhere else...">

? Female: "Can we have one person

<multiple voices overtalking – indiscernible>

? Male: <indiscernible>

? Female: "talk at a time?"

Mark: "...stand by for just One moment, Please. Stand By."

Dave: "Okay."

Mark: "Doug Manke. Did you have something to say, back about FIVE minutes ago?"

Doug: "Yeah. I just wanted to say that we all have a goal; and that's to bring our country back to what it once was. I think that we all tend to lose sight of that

[1:19:45 minute mark]

Doug: "I thank you, Sean, for helping me bring Michigan into Compact and I want everybody to remember why they started this. You all had a goal, to be free again. Fighting amongst yourselves is not going to help. It can put us ultimately right back to where we are already at. I just want you to think about your goal and don't lose sight of that. With that, I yield."

Danielle: "May I? I'm jus, I'm gonna throw this out there, it's a dangerous thing to throw out there but,

[1:20:44 minute mark]

Danielle: "I know Kim Wilhelm was nominated as the Emergency bailiff I don't know if she is willing she's not on this call I don't think to nominate her to be the person that we'd mail in all the ballots to. I am willing I am currently The (State) Scribe for OSJA I would be willing for everybody ta email 'em to me en me put the tallies up there en I will present them at the next 12/17 OSJA meeting."

Cristina: "Objection."

Mark: "Go ahead, Cristina."

Cristina: "I believe that it was very accurately stated by several of the ladies in Medina that there are trust issues. I concur. I reciprocate. I feel that there should be a third party, Not currently seated, not currently attending the State meetings, someone who we All agree that we can trust who will absolutely under no circumstances be swayed or biased. I would suggest that we have, uh, there's a pastor who lives in the Columbus area who has property in Vinton County (Stephen Fabyan) who MAY be willing to do this for all of us, although I haven't spoken to him in almost a year and I know that most of you know who I'm tal

<overtalking female voice in the background – indiscernible>

Cristina: "talking about. I feel that

Danielle: "MAY I."



Cristina: “I feel that a pastor could be trusted.

Danielle: <talking over Cristina> “I feel as though by, uh, if you mailed them to me (but you just said EMAIL after mailing them to Kim Wilhelm) and then I I opened up the meeting read the prior minutes for this meeting and I, I s, said Cristina voted for blue and then You would get on Zoom and (and how many ballots would mysteriously go missing, Danielle? How many ballots would get mailed in by people whose membership paperwork was never given to the state but instead “held for safekeeping” by Dave until he needed to stuff the ballot box?) say I agree that it was my vote. I, I’m NOT gonna just tally votes n say this is who won and this is not. I’m gunna validate one-on-one, if you send in a vote you better be on that 12/17 min meeting so that I can validate on the Zoom call.”

Laura: “I’ll second that.” (was there a Motion?)

Sean: “And at the same time, if you did cast a ballot and it’s not found, that, uh, the election would have to be postponed to a date when it could be resent. I don’t think anybody here wants this delay.

<echoing feedback – interrupting Sean – indiscernible>

Dave: “I, I <indiscernible>

Sean: <continuing to speak> <indiscernible> “two-way verification, too. I yield.”

Dave: <continuing to interrupt and talk over Sean – indiscernible> “...agree with, uh, Danielle’s proposal. I

(DAVE YOU’RE NOT A MEMBER. YOU DON’T HAVE A VOTE, YOU DON’T GET TO OFFER YOUR OPINION. THAT’S THE MENTAL ERROR THAT STARTED ALL THIS.)

Dave: “think it’s Sound! There shouldn’t be ANY objection! If you’re on the Zoom and you identify the ballot, then the ballots counted and we could even erect a whiteboard and, uh, put the count. Yea, Nay, substa, uh, Abstain. It’s That Simple. And, eh, wu, at the cou, eh, at the end, uuh, the majority wins and it’s that simple. I rest.”

Sean: “I would agree. So I yield.”

Amanda: “May I?”

[1:24:11 minute mark]

Sean: “Yes, Ma’am <echoing, yes, ma’am>

Amanda: “Uumm, following this, uh election, per say, if the, uh, Ohio State Jural Assembly votes on having a re-(run of the invalid) election, at their next meeting

(Preventing Danielle’s plan of counting the votes in the next meeting, since the general assembly needs to vote to move forward with the re-run or not at that meeting...)

Amanda: “which we will gladly hold, um, I ask that we hold harmless all events in the past and let it <indiscernible> so we can move on and fight for The Republic (R USA???) umm, and

[1:24:43 minute mark]

Amanda: “um, I think we needa drop it. You know. All this you know pointing fingers n everything else n yer doin this n yer doin that er whatnot so n wut yer not doin er wut Ever. You know? So. That’s my suggestion. I yield.”

Sean: “I would ag

Danielle: <interrupting Sean, indiscernible>

Sean: “Agree with that. Anybody that, uh, if there’s no objection to the re-(run of the invalid) election (by paper ballot) part of that

(IF WE CONSENT TO HOLD DAVE AND HIS HITLER YOUTH HARMLESS FROM THE INSURRECTION AND ALL ACTIVITIES ASSOCIATED WITH IT, WE WILL BE PERCEIVED BY THE ALPHABET AGENCIES AS CONDONING ALL OF HIS WRONGDOINGS AND WE PUT EVERY PAPERED OSJA MEMBER IN OHIO DIRECTLY IN THE PATH OF HOMELAND SECURITY KICKING THEIR DOORS IN WHEN DAVE FINALLY GETS

HELD ACCOUNTABLE FOR IMPERSONATING A FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER AND  
THREATENING PUBLIC OFFICIALS WITH HIM SENDING THE MILITIA TO BODILY REMOVE THEM FROM  
THEIR OFFICES. Full Stop.)

Sean: "...deal is that you're agreeing to hold harmless any previous actions. That would again have to be approved (by  
general assembly vote) by OSJA (members) that may not be present tonight, in one of their own meetings, **This is actually  
NOT a forum in which I can hold OSJA accountable,**

[1:26:35 minute mark]

Sean: "...in particular. That being said, the reason I asked for the vote here is because I would Love to walk away from this  
tonight knowing that everybody left in peace and in a humble manner, Honorably. I yield."

Danielle: "I only have one question, and this is.... May I?

(Awesome! That's your question. You're done now, finally, right?)

Danielle: "I have one question. Ross mentioned that OSJA members. I just wanna clarify that we are talkin about any....  
Signed.... CoS.... Member.... of any.... County.... In.... Ohio.

(Again, Dave admitted he's been withholding membership documents from Ross/the state archives since Tim  
Pickett was Moderator in November 2023.)

Ross: "Correct.

Danielle: <interrupting Ross> "Okay."

Ross: <continuing to speak> "That's what I meant."

Danielle: "Okay. Okay. Okay. I jes wanned uh make sure!"

(Members are not considered to be 'in good standing' unless they've been papered, with their paperwork On File  
in the State archives – FOR SIX MONTHS. Need to re-arrange the wording of THAT sentence in the Bylaws. Otherwise  
we're going to get a blizzard of back dated membership documents all signed in by Dave, who is not a member so he is not  
authorized to swear anyone in.)

Ross: "There should be, sorry, May I? There should be a location

[1:26:24 minute mark]

Ross: "...as before, we met in Delaware, Ohio, a little bit north of Columbus. We should all, by the next meeting... I know  
Sean wants the vote completed by the next meeting but it is my opinion that there are some who would wish to travel and  
meet in person and I suggest Sunday, December 29<sup>th</sup> as a day, (because most) members are not employed on that day or  
have other obligations. We could, perhaps, bring in Kim Wilhelm and see if we can meet again at that church. Again, it is a  
Sunday and this is simply speculation but I think, Amanda, by the time the next OSJA meeting occurs, two weeks from  
tonight, we should have this (procedural discussion) wrapped up and confirmed about an in-person meeting and we should  
also have confirmed what we all agree here tonight that a verification on Zoom for the paper ballots that is mailed at a  
confirmed address that we confirm at our next State meeting. I think all that should be on the agenda, and I think it is an  
emergency as has been mentioned on this call. Amanda, do you think that you can get to that point where

<female voice in the background – not Amanda – no.>

Ross: "we can all have an agreement of a place and a time so that we can have an in-person vote? I yield."

[1:28:13 minute mark]

Danielle: "May I? I, I agree with Sean, let's go with December 17<sup>th</sup> as the next meeting on the calendar I already na, ahh, I  
already SAID I would take the votes myself

(And I objected to that.)

Danielle: “I will post, uh, the nominations uh, eh, ii, if we leave this ru, re, meeting today we’ll say Ross is one of the nominatas an so is Amana and I will say THAT’S THE VOTE. en, pose ti oud onta one pepls Bizness n wll pudit out there en then wull DO the vote on the 17<sup>th</sup>...

Ross: “May I?

Danielle: <still talking> I don’t WANT to wait

Ross: <continuing to speak> “Danielle. Danielle. May I?

Danielle: <STILL talking> “we already waded

Ross: “Danielle, kindly yield?”

Danielle: <continuing to ramble> “I’m still, I’m still TALKING...

Sean: <interrupting both> “she has not yielded the floor.”

Danielle: <overtalking everyone to continue repeating herself> “...<indiscernible> thu meeding RIGHT. I WANNED thu Meeding minits from NINE TWENNY! ID IS NOW DECEMBER THIRD I STILL DO NOT HAVE THEM

(Check the authorized website; they’ve BEEN posted publicly.)

Danielle: “...I DON’T WANT TO WAIT UNTIL THE TWENTY NINTH OF THE MONTH. THAT IS CONSIDERED A HOLIDAY

(By who? What holiday is it?)

Danielle: “fer people. I don’t wanna wait that long.”

Danielle: “December seventeenth I’m with Sean, let’s get this done with. The State Doesn’t Wait Until We Have uh, d, wi, th, this is LONG ENOUGH. DECEMBER OCTOBER NOVEMBER DECEMBER LET’S MOVE. I YIELD!”

? Female #1: “May I? <echoing>

? Female #2: “May I?”

Sean: “Amand

? Female #2: “This is L

Sean: “a was First.”

Amanda: “Okay.

Sean: “And then it can be

Amanda: <Sean echoing - indiscernible> “I second that, you know, we Do need the minutes it’s really an interference of the business of the assembly to not have those meeting uh, minutes uh.... I yield.”

[1:30:00 minute mark]

Sean: “Alright, Sherri...”

Sherri Pivonka: <overtalking Sean> “May I NOW!”

Mark: “Sherri.”

“Sherri”: “THANK You! THIS IS LAURA FROM MEDINA SIGNED IN, EVERYTHING! I just wanna clarify that ALL THIS, forgive my language, but, FUCKERY right now, <FEEDBACK – OVERTALKING VOICES> over voting for a Temporary position that we are ALL supposed to learn

(According ONLY to Dave Roberts, who is not a member of the Assembly, who has no authority, standing, and certainly not sovereignty OVER our private membership association or ANY of it’s members...)

Laura: “Every Aspect Of our Ohio Jural Assembly

<feedback, overtalking voices>

Laura: “<indiscernible> we are FIGHTING over

Mark: “YOU WILL RESPECT THIS FORUM

Laura: <interrupting, overtalking Mark> “Oh, I do respect it I

Mark: “YOU WILL NOT USE THAT KIND OF LANGUAGE HERE IN ANY MANNER IN ANY FORM.

Laura: <continuing to overtalk Mark> “<indiscernible>

Mark: “DO YOU UNDERSTAND?”

Laura: “SURE!”

Mark: “Yes, thank you.”

Laura: “Yes, I ‘*apologize*’ I’m a little bit fired up I’ve been sitting here for **two plus hours!!** now

(And the rest of us haven’t?)

Laura: “listening to all of this that we’ve been dealing with this now for Months I ‘*sincerely*’ apologize I have not a white collar worker (Neither is Sean, ffs!)

(Ah, socioeconomic guilt. Second only to white guilt in terms of entitlement through embraced false victim narrative....)

Laura: “I am boots-on-the-ground

(you’re a bartender at a country club, ffs.)

Laura: “Mom trying to protect everyone that I love with what we’re doing and we are arguing over who’s moderator who’s bailiff who’s scribe this time. We’re all supposed to take turns. So the fact that we’re fighting over this

(We aren’t fighting over who is in what chair. We are fighting over the fact that an outsider came in with the predetermined goal of encouraging a group who NEVER attended a single state meeting prior to the election causing utter chaos so that outsider could STOP the election and FORCE it in an unnatural direction to achieve results that served DAVE’s plot to make himself moderator (See 12/17/2024 meeting recording and minutes) and he was ONLY able to do that because we had failed to follow the Bylaws established procedure.)

Laura: “one little whatever it is, quite frankly, I’m a little bit Done

(LAURA FARRELL QUILTS OSJA HERE AT THE 1:31:13 MINUTE MARK]

Laura: “Thank you. I YIELD!”

? Dave? “May I?” <echoing in the background>

<feedback – multiple voices overtalking – indiscernible>

Amy: “May I?”

Mark: “Ohio – Amy.”

Amy: “Thank you. I am Ohio – Amy, I am papered with OSJA and I object to the December 29<sup>th</sup>. Um, I believe um, as uh, *respectfully* Sean has suggested, we need to take this as an emergency I think Ohio is um, the one that is um, actually, uh, you know, being, uh, what’s the word I’m trying to use, um, it is the one that’s being injured in this. We need to move forward we need to do this as expediently as possible and um, I just suggest that we do that as you suggested uh, at after uh um, wha, ju, whadyou say, the seventeenth? Meeting, two weeks from now. I yield.”

Michael Taylor: “May I? I think that the 17<sup>th</sup>, there should be a great Press to notify the members that have not been participating (regularly on weekly state calls). Most of the people that came to the meeting (in Delaware) on (September) the 20<sup>th</sup>, who I have not seen before and I was not aware of them (the Zoom participants) I don’t know how they were participating (in OSJA) before (that election meeting,) and it seemed to me like there was quite a bit of motivation to

emphasize and recruit a certain cadre of people, of certain mindset (that of the Medina County ladies) that would go with the R USA group or others. I think that there are things that we need to be doing and that is education of the law and other means by which we can protect ourselves and our families and uphold what is just and true. I believe that the group that is pushing for going with R USA is misguided.

[1:33:22 minute mark] I think that needs to be panned out. By choosing a date that is sooner (than December 29<sup>th</sup>), the people that have not been participating will not be notified (with sufficient opportunity to adjust existing plans). How are they going to respond? Are they going to be contacted by phone? How are we going to reach out and notify people that don't know what's going on? I yield."

Adrienne: "May I Adrienne from Medina papered um, the one People (BUSINESS?) chat room (on Telegram?) is our (officially voted-on social media communication platform) vehicle for ALL communication (wrong. Email is primary, Telegram is secondary.) (where in our documentation does it say this?) and like I stated earlier, the first election was "advertised" throughout (throughout what?) We can't control people that are papered members in the OSJ that refuse to participate and the other thing who are the people that think we're ALL for R USA?!

[1:34:24 minute mark]

Adrienne: "R USA is another venue from education

(WHAT?! Dave says they're The True Government, haven't you been listening?!)

Adrienne: "I don't understand from fa, uz, ca, fr, from what I can see it, there're three or four people thur a sussed that, uh, uh, wurrr, ah, all lu, moving towards RUSA ayund uhhh,, the majority doesn't feel

(Ooops, there you go again, an individual speaking for the whole. Tsk tsk.)

Adrienne: "that way. I yield."

Kat: "May I? That's because when I went to the Medina County meeting...

<overtalking voices>

Kat: "This is Kat. I went to the Medina County meeting where I (was made aware upon arrival that as an invited guest of the elected Moderator, I) was not welcome, was <indiscernible> (verbally assaulted) by Lisa and Flo, (until both I and the then-moderator, Kim, had to leave the gathering, both so hysterical we each had to phone our counselor(s) for support prior to being safe to drive ourselves home...) <indiscernible> at the end of the meeting because I was trying to (factually) explain to everyone why (the subject of trusting) R USA (and the multiple verifications that the organization has zero credibility) had even come up. We were all invited to a meeting by Dave Roberts to meet with/to hear out (the) RUSA (funding team in June, 2024 in Delaware, Ohio with Eric Dingus, Marc Cifelli and others) it turned out that (at least) one of those people, in that meeting (representing) RUSA was a (verifiable through multiple state and federal court proceedings records) a (convicted) con man. There was money that was misappropriated (not the Tennessee matter!); there was a lot of talk about this and that but we were invited to that (meeting) by Dave Roberts

(Which demonstrates his lack of discretion, his lack of care and concern for the innocent members of The Ohio State Jural Assembly, abuse of his self-designated power and authority, and the repeated undue influence he exercises over the sovereign men and women of the assembly.)

Kat: "...who Claims to be a Continental Marshal. He also claims the titles from R USA of <indiscernible> (Chief Continental Marshal over the United States of America) as well as The Postmaster, General. There's so much... I don't even know what the word is, there's so much trash that came through all of that. I wasn't being heard. I haven't been heard. I have not been heard out about this. We haven't gotten to the end of it. This is why the whole (line of) question(s) about R USA has come up. R USA is a top-down organization. There documents are redacted, and the haven't Really existed as an organization since 2012. Yet they've been pushed (hard) for several months by Dave Roberts.

[1:36:23 minute mark]

Kat: "And with that <overtalking female voices – indiscernible> those on this call know. Thank you, and I yield."

Lisa: "May I?"

? Female: “May I?”

Lisa: “May I? Lisa. ”

<overtalking male voices>

Lisa: <still talking> “Kat,

Mark: “No. Wait a minute. Wait just a second, girls. As I see it, this R USA organization has no standing within OSJA oper

<overtalking female voice ‘CORRECT!’>

Mark: <continuing to speak> “Okay.”

<overtalking voices – feedback – indiscernible>

Lisa: “YES. This is Lisa

<significant feedback, echoing and multiple overtalking voices, maybe a television in the background on someone’s open mic – Indiscernible>

Lisa: “from Medina. EXCUSE ME! I’m Talking.

<feedback, overtalking voices – indiscernible>

Lisa: “Uh, Kat, I want to just clarify that you came to our Medina me

<feedback, overtalking voices in the background>

Lisa: “meeting UNINVITED! (wrong. Your actual elected Moderator Kim had invited her to speak on legal versus lawful.)  
Aaand <indiscernible> Medina business... Excuse Me? I’m sorry. We had Medina, STRICTLY business, aahhh, you came aggressively

(While Lisa had invited Dave Roberts to speak on the same topic over the top of Kim’s invited speaker – Dave was at that meeting.)

Lisa: “saying that you had ‘things to tell us’ that was personal to you that happened in Lorain

(Do you mean where the Elyria Chronicle newspaper reported that OSJA was a domestic terrorist organization based on it’s “member” Dave Roberts having shown up pretending to be a federal law enforcement officer, wearing a fake badge, and threatened to bring in the militia to removed the Lorain County Board of Health officers AND THE PROSECUTOR from their offices by force? THAT “personal to Kat” information? Wow. Okay. Get your door kicked in defending Dave’s honor from Homeland Security then. Don’t say we didn’t try to warn you. Also, don’t call us for help.)

Lisa: “I told you this isn’t the time and place We did not get aggressive

(So when Both Kim and Kat called me in hysterics after you and Flo verbally assaulted them and sent them both running from the building in tears, they were hallucinating? Is that the story you’re telling because there’s more who you believe will lie for you than the two who are telling the truth? Got it.)

[1:37:26 minute mark]

Lisa: “YOU were aggressive with Us. I Did ask you to leave, kindly. Nicely. Three times. Which you refused. So I just wanted THAT on record

(This is not an officially recorded meeting by anyone. Sean, Mark and the AFR agreed to host this mediation in an attempt to eliminate the controversy and restore honor and ALL YOU WANT TO DO is promulgate and inflame every aspect of the chaotic conflict you and the Medina/Lorain/Cuyahoga members serving Dave Roberts have been working at since August 2024. Disgusting.)

Lisa: “that That’s Exactly how it happened (so you’re claiming this is your sworn testimony, under penalty of perjury?)  
AND RUSA Yes, I agree with you Mark, We (who? The Medina CoS? Or Dave’s followers?) Don’t Even SPEAK of RUSA. **WE** are busy trying to get momentum with OSJA within our own county and with the State

(Just with not any of YOU who have been diligently attending the state meetings for almost four years...)

Lisa: "WE DON'T TALK ABOUT RUSA!! It's not even a part of us

[1:37:53 minute mark]

(OOOPS Again!! See recordings and transcripts of meetings from November 5, 2024 where the last 15 minutes of the meeting are a promotion for RUSA by Dave, the November 19, 2024 where 60% of the meeting was handed over to Tom Bushman, RUSA Governor of Ohio.)

Lisa: "And I Yield. THANK YOU!"

Mark: "Very good."

Sean: "I would like to state something. Please."

Mark: "Go ahead, Governor."

Sean: "I'd like to, yes, thank you sir. I'd like to invite Doc Anthony (Pena) to speak on this. We need numbers.

Lisa: <interrupting – Thank You.>

Sean: "Okay. Um, I'm not gonna just sit here quiet and listen as the first man that ever came to me and got in compact signed outside of the AFR. Dave Roberts has worked his ass off, EXCUSE, Ahh, again, I'm gonna have to just calm down for a minute. I yield."

(So, Sean interprets our questioning RUSA as challenging Dave. Hmm. Wonder how that bit of mental gymnastics happened.)

Danielle: "just so, fer, ahh, uh

Male voice "May I?"

<overtalking voices – indiscernible>

[1:38:37 minute mark]

Danielle: "d, uh, th, This is, MAY I, Danielle. From Medina. I jus wanna clarify, th, uh, th, they keep mentioning RUSA. WE don't mention *RUSA* any more than we don't mention crypto an lobster an silver an gold an republic an articles of confderashun that's what we get together about.

(WHAT?)

Danielle: "We talk about a LOT of different

(Nope. We've all seen ALL OF THE recordings of your meetings. You complain about the 9/20 minutes, Dave blames Ross and Cristina for every single failing of the Assemblies around the country and especially the problems HE created in Ohio, you flail around like the assembly didn't exist before you came along and Dave pushes people toward Tom Bushman and RUSA. SEE RECORDINGS AND MINUTES OF YOUR MEETINGS, DANIELLE.)

Danielle: "of topics. What YOU, eh, uh, wha, what rascinades with you is wut you walk away with bud whi Never pushing one thing en were never sayin that were gunna move towards integrate THAT were non doin thad we talk abouta lodda things. En, en tha is called free will free mind your guts tellin me I shud folla this, I shud folla that. Thas wud im doin. I yield."

Michael: "May I?"

Sean: "Would you please allow DOC on this for a second? I've called him and asked him because I can't stay calm enough to speak on it. Doc could you please

<overtalking male voices>

Sean: "speak concerning this?"

Mark: "Mister Anthony, you have the floor."



Anthony Pena: “Hello, my name is Anthony Pena. I am the Committee of Safety delegate for North Carolina as well as Communication Secretary for Buncombe County, Asheville Assembly dot net. We kind of, uh, on the R USA side it’s been refreshing to hear from other people that it’s not a take-over thing where you’re looking to stack no RUSA onto OSJA, that’s very refreshing. I just wanted to present on how R USA can be an asset as we’re moving forward with that organization in a different capacity

[1:40:27 minute mark]

Anthony: “because what Dave (**claims to he**) has presented to RUSA is an ability to, in essence is a paradigm shift for the entire organization. Whereas they were looking at the Northwest Ordinance and signing up of individual members in order to seat an interim government that ultimately they would be able to Dictate, what they had found after, and, you know, they had a membership north of 22 Million (in 2012), countrywide, according to the North Carolina R USA governor that I’ve spoken with, so, (**they claim to have a**) tremendous reach and a tremendous number of individuals who have been motivated to restore the republic (**Yes, but how many are currently active? This is information that can be found By their Webmaster in their website ‘back office’ VERY EASILY. They’re not sharing that information. Why?)** for a number of years (**since 2011**) for over a decade. But they haven’t really embraced the Assembly (**bottom-up, power comes from The People in the County Committees of Safety**) model, until Dave (**have they embraced it though? Dave told me they told him to go home when he was pushing our Assembly bottom-up model.**) got them to buy in.

(This is where I desperately need you to get third-party, verifiable confirmation proof from R USA, Anthony. I don’t believe they have, since Dave is still complaining that Tom Bushman won’t give him information on members of County assemblies in Ohio who have reached out through R USA to join on the local level...)

Anthony: “...essentially, you know, he said, he (**Dave**) says where one county is settled, if its (**the population of that county is**) north of 35,000 (**population**), then you’d (**R USA**) be able, Dave’s basically, once the county is settled, then you’re able to count the entire population of the county towards the population of the state (**But Where Did He Get That “fact” from?**) and it was under that philosophy that we were able to position the People of North Carolina in Compact to R USA and

(So NC is in reciprocal recognition w R USA??? Document published somewhere?)

Anthony: “In essence, dictate (**that**) we Are The People, if you’re going to be INTERIM, that’s great be interim but realize that we’re The People that you are interim FOR so this is how The People have decided to move forward with modifying their government; here is the Compact that has been recognized by AFR; this is the Compact that **stands (in fact and law)**; this is the Compact that should go to the rest of the counties (in North Carolina, whether R USA or not) and we’re in the process right now of working with R USA to spread the compact as well as the documents that we have as a template for those other counties because **ultimately it’s The People of those Counties that need to determine what it is that they’re going to do and then the State will either recognize it or it won’t.**

[1:42:33 minute mark] (**THIS IS A GOOD MODEL FOR BRINGING OSJA BACK TOGETHER ONCE DAVE GETS ROPED UP – THE COUNTIES WHO HAVE DECIDED THEY’RE RUSA WILL SIMPLY NOT BE RECOGNIZED BY THE STATE AS BEING PART OF OUR LAWFUL JURAL ASSEMBLY. SIMPLE.**)

Anthony: “So, it’s like, no one at the State (**level of the Assembly**) can dictate to any county what it is that they have to do, but we can provide them the tools and the resources that we have created over the past two and a half years of kind of just going out in the dark and pulling from a number of different organizations so that the counties can (**get lawfully settled as de jured**) and as the counties are (**settled**) then we’re that much closer to (**being fully**) de jure(d). We, (**in Buncombe County, North Carolina**) have a one hundred member assembly here in the county, but, you know, we, we’re looking at going to over forty (**counties with R USA**) overnight.

(Are those counties settled? Or did R USA just appoint anybody who signed up to be the County Clerk then sign others up as they came through the door for whatever seat or title was next on the list, as their website instructs and as they tried to do on Ohio?)

Anthony: “this idea of R USA is not a competitor (**Or you just haven’t dug deep enough to see it yet?**) They’re not, they’re not, they’re not in the mix. They’re, they have the same goal and end as we do



(To see the entire current government and financial system collapse then step in and replace the people in all the de facto positions with R USA appointees in the same positions??? I think we are reading from different books in that regard.)

[1:43:22 minute mark]

Anthony: “which is a reestablished republic. They have people. They have resources that we could take advantage of as a People in Compact because it is only BY compact that a People can exist, according to The Law of Nations, and if RUSA wants to claim the Constitution, then they’re bound by The Law of Nations. So, I just kind of wanted to put that out there. Don’t look at them as a, a rival... you know, person that’s taking away Counties or looking to to change anyone, to change anything but I will say, if they are requiring you to change the form that The People are defined in (their demand that we eliminate all Nation=State language in our founding documents), as you have already signed a Compact, just flat out

(Yep. We did that. That’s why Dave is trying to rescind the Compact.)

Anthony: “reject it. Say no, because the Compact already says this... they’ll have to, or at least they Should acquiesce to what it is that OSJA has determined because you are the Lawful People that R USA is trying to re-establish. Does this make sense? I yield.”

Dave: “Uh, may I?”

[1:44:25 minute mark]

<feedback – echoing – indiscernible>

Dave: “This is Dave. Oh, uh

<echoing feedback – overtalking voices – indiscernible>

Dave: “Anthony, thank you. You, you’re you’re right on uh, the, uh, paperwork in the beginning was changed

So he just admitted to the altering of our founding documents which he had mandi publish on her website pretending to be OSJA on May 21, 2024 without the knowledge or consent of the general assembly!

Dave: “...to accommodate uh, Geiger, and since then I’ve changed it all back

Oh, so, you admit you made OSJA into a RUSA organization and published that fact on May 21, 2024 on ohiojuralassembly.org without permission but it’s all peachy now because you reversed that? And we’re supposed to be OKAY with this because you claim you put it back? No apology, no explanation, just forget that crime because it was YOU and nobody gets to question your actions?

Dave: “And, uh, we’re moving forward with

? Female: “May I?”

Dave: “No, hang on a second! So we’re uh, moving forward with nat

<overtalking female>

Dave: “...ion state in the paperwork as its stated and uh, RUSA has come to me and uh, demanded what I was doing and I told ‘em I said there only uh, claim is that the reason they didn’t like nation states is because 12 years ago they had a conflict

(Dave, why would you think we should CARE why RUSA demanded that we change our paperwork? You didn’t just agree, you DID it without the knowledge or consent of the People. This was a crime. Just because you claim there was a good reason and you claim you reversed it, does not obviate your responsibility to answer for this crime.)

Dave: “...because a bunch of states wanted *independence*. That means

(are you seriously going to explain to us what the word independence means... ??)

Dave: “they wanted to, uh, coin their own money,

(the Constitution states that the only lawful currency is the coins minted by the several states...)

Dave: “uh, have their own right to marquis, have the right to uh, go into international markets ekstra and I explained to RUSA that if WE honor the enumerated rights under the Constitution, THEY shouldn’t have any issue

(Note that he’s referring to himself as part of the RUSA “we” and the States as “THEY”. Dave just outed himself as being 100% James Buchanan Geiger RUSA operative.)

Dave: “But each state is independent; is a sovereign nation unto itself and Tim Turner fully and completely agreed with me in that aspect and he is now my ally in this endeavor! And once again, I would LIKE For Ohio To Get Behind MY EFFORTS! I’m Working On A National Level to bring about camaraderie to all of us through assemblies! Do You UNDERSTAND, RUSA in the beginning, they didn’t even want assemblies and I spent thirty days in Alabama and Tim Turner and I convinced them that THIS is the way to go

(But wasn’t that when you rewrote all of our paperwork and had Amanda post it on the fake website, Dave? Then you came back with the band of con men who told us all we were too stupid to be told who was funding all the private international trusts that finance RUSA but we should cough up all our money to chip in to ‘stand Ohio up’ even though Ohio is already lawfully settled?)

Dave: “and everything that Doc (Anthony) talked about is exactly what’s happening across the united states we have this huge movement to form assemblies with our paperwork (Don’t you mean the paperwork you rewrote to eliminate sovereignty so RUSA can rule over the assemblies in the exact same way the de facto does?)

[1:46:35 minute mark]

Dave: “The Ohio Jural Assembly (rewritten to remove the term nation state) paperwork!! You guys gotta understand how strong that is! (yeah, that you removed sovereignty language and then fed it to other states to suck them under RUSA… We do, Dave. We absolutely do comprehend the gravity of your deeds.) And Yet, YOU’RE FIGHTING ME!! Ya know, God help me on this one! (Spoken like a true Freemason, Dave. Well done.) I rest.”

Michael: “Well Great Then! I had the concerns about this, uh, the (removal of the term) Nation=State (from all of our paperwork and then you had RUSA distribute it all over the country) and, uh, the thing that I also have concerns is we have not seen the people that now “hold office” and showed up on the September 20<sup>th</sup> via Zoom to vote many of the people had not been around in the State meetings (Ever, including Amanda, who wasn’t even ON telegram until after Dave pushed her forward into Moderator position on 9/20) and there are many others, over two hundred, I don’t know how many there are, that are on the roles that are there’s only 67 or something on the Telegram (BUSINESS chat) group (and less on the documents and recordings rooms). If we can us this as a motivating factor to inspire people to get involved wouldn’t that be a really great thing, to bring more people INTO the assembly, or Revive people in the assembly for the purpose of voting and validating votes? I don’t know if that can be done by December 17<sup>th</sup>. How are we going to connect with the people that are not on the Telegram rooms? This is an issue that it would be excellent to bring more people in with a controversial issue. I yield.”

Mark: “Flo,

Cristina: “May I?”

Mark: “Flo, go ahead.”

[1:48:13 minute mark]

Flo: “Can you hear me?” <talking over Mark>

Mark: “go ahead.”

Flo: “Hi. Yes. This is Flo papered in Medina also, I have opened up Cuyahoga

(Heroically! Single handedly. No other man or woman had anything to do with it.)

Flo: “I have, uh, twelve to thirteen just starting off and we’re starting off pretty well. I want to say um, Dave has showing me so much! Because of Dave I think wit Medina of also got Cuyahoga up when no one else could! I’m personally devoted to this whole group all around but what I have seen is, is very disheartening to me. I am gettin people! But what I’m seein are these attacks personally on

(on Ross? On Cristina? On KAT? Because THEY are members of the group you claim devotion towards...)

Flo: “on One person is INSANE and it needs to stop and we need to move forward because

[1:48:52 minute mark] Flo: “I WILL LEAVE and, and fortunately people gunna leave wit me. Because this is jus gettin outta hand we’re jumping all over the place and again you know I’ve, I’ve personally put myself out there A Lot in, wit my own money, my own time, BeCAUSE I wanted to get Cuyahoga OPENED while we gotta few indications to what is going on and NONE of em er veryhappy boutit so I sez well Thursday will jus discuss it cuz will prolly be ending I don see this ending all I hear is it goin back an foth back an fowth nah I am not ez eh en <? Pay grade?> as most of you. I’m really not. I can’t keep up wit a lotta things cuz I’m stu, I’m still trying to learn. But again, jes to continuously attack

(Is she claiming we are attacking Dave? Because as a non-member who stopped our elections with clear intent to alter the outcome with his conspirators WE RECOGNIZED THAT AND DO NOT CONSENT? That’s “an attack?” Baffling.)

Flo: “a man that I have ONLY seen work and go and <voice faltering> GET and <voice cracks> DO! He’s so disrespected! It’s very disillusioning me and I I needed to say that an I yield.”

Mark: “Thank you, F

Danielle: “MAY I?”

Mark: “Flo.

Danielle: “MAY I.”

Mark: “Go ahead Da

Danielle: “OKAY.”

Mark: “..nielle.”

Danielle: “Thank you. UMM. MICHAEL. In response to you and as far as there are several people that aren, have not come again to the meetings since then (the September 20, 2024 election insurrection) Well when we did the September 20<sup>th</sup> meeting AGAIN, there was no turnover

(WHAT???!!!!)

Danielle: “In FACT, the next meeting we were notified ah sixthurdy fie pee emmm thet Ross en Cristina were not gunna be

(No, Ross advised that HE was taking a trip out west for family business. Look back at all your screenshots.)

Danielle: “ut thu meedin cuz they were goin on a *THREE WEEK VACATION* after sixthurdy fie pee emmm whin thu meedin stards et sebn. P.M.! Thu meedin inbite wez not sent out

(That’s the responsibility of the Moderator. Talk to Amanda. Ross was Pro Tempore, which BY DEFINITION is only to administer the instructions of the Moderator if the moderator is incapacitated or in some other way unable to continue with their responsibilities. Look. That. Up.)

Danielle: “En we wur tru, en, en an I din’t reliez thet mo to Medina everythin is postd on ONE PEOPLE’S BUSINESS CHAT

(WRONG. Medina has it’s own CoS room that Lisa deleted me and Ross from and that’s where your Medina agendas and everything else gets posted. NOT EVER on One People’s Business Chat. You should stop talking. The white claws have taken over.)

Danielle: “thas how Weeee eh, findoudabout thu meedins buuuuut like I sed, suh, whe when ROSS did the turnover to Amanda, those instructions of thu uh, he possss’s thet were not transitioned

(Because Dave was the one taking over as Moderator and we all knew it and he has complete control of all the social media rooms, all the documents and templates so why would Ross or anyone else have to say anything to Dave about the processes HE HAD SET UP?)

Danielle: “dover. I’m I’m I’m nah poinin fingerz I rilly don care so um jes sayin This is summatha challenge thet we st wve we’ve strugld thru ovr thu las cupla muns. Idontwena keep doin this to Ohio. There is some kind of email list thet goes out to people I found out after the fact

(After WHAT fact, Danielle?)

Danielle: “I would like that email listing to notify CERTAIN people don’t due Telegram they don’t WAnt YOU to have there cell phone number

(You, who, Danielle?)

[1:51:36 minute mark]

Danielle: “sof YOU could forward me that

(who are you addressing this request to, Danielle? The person you claim members don’t want to have their phone number. Who is it you’re accusing of keeping papered members’ contact information from the State?)

Danielle: “list en I don meen you, Michael. I mean Cristina, Ross, whozevr in possession (THAT WOULD BE DAVE ROBERTS, DEAR.) of that email listing of those people. THAT WOULD BE GREAT. I will make sure that I email it out (email what out? The membership directory? That’s not approved by any member!) ahead of thet December 17<sup>th</sup> meeting with some kinda et this point everybody on the phone please confirm I’m gunna put Ross on there en Amanda on there as Moderator (nominees) we don hev ta wery bout scribe (because you’re not relinquishing your non-paper balloted position!) en baliff (and you’re not going to allow Lisa to be questioned in her non paper balloted position!)

[1:52:10 minute mark]

Danielle: “cuz we did those en meedins SINCE then (September 20, 2024 election insurrection) , CORRECT?!!! NOBODY STOOD UP THA NITE SO! Thos

Ross: “Thank you,

Danielle: “those standi

Ross: “Danielle, MAY I?”

Danielle: “YES.”

Ross: “Okay. Amanda does have the list. And she’s had it for MONTHS. I think it’d be a great idea that we have a Zoom meeting, a week from tonight (December 10, 2024). I can send out the link, I can have, uh, YOU and I can

- A. Confirm that we are (OSJA is) going to have a (re-run of the) election on the 27<sup>th</sup>, number one
- B. And you and I can confirm the address where all (paper) ballots are to be mailed, and third,
- C. You and I can confirm for the 17<sup>th</sup>, where we are going to be IN PERSON; and Danielle, if you’d like to be in the s, if you want to do the counting of the ballots, ye, I just need to know where can I be with you so that we can participate with this, perhaps do what was suggested, to have a whiteboard and go through ballots one by one, transparent.

Ross: “If you’re in agreement, we can kind of wrap up this meeting tonight and we can agree to meet online, on Zoom (as usual next Tuesday, at 7pm on December 10, 2024). I’ll send out an invite. Yes, there is a 17<sup>th</sup> meeting already, uh, if anybody’s in disagreement we need to, er, OSJA needs to go back to “our house”, get this cleaned up, and move forward like we have all said (in this meeting tonight). Do I have any objections?”

Amanda: “May I?” <echoing back several times>

Amanda: “<feedback – Dave’s voice in the background indiscernible> “I agree, with, uh, that, uh, I believe in a free and fair election and you know, they’re saying that this was all done under duress with you en so I motion that Ross handle this (re-run of the 9/20 insurrection) election (using proper paper ballots according to the Bylaws) en, as Pro Tem Moderator, until we can have a re(running of the 9/20) election and I yield.”

(There was no second to Amanda’s motion that Ross handle this re-running of the election.)

Doug: “May I, real quick, Mark”

Mark: “Go ahead, Doug.”

<feedback – indiscernible>

Doug: “Dave. I have a question for you; it’s just for clarification. I’m NOT trying to start conflict or anything. Is there a term limit for

(Because he’s READ our Bylaws, and ours were based on His, so he knows there’s NO maximum term limit, only a MINIMUM term limit for the Grand Jury Commissioner position...)

Doug: “that, um, election for Moderator?”

Dave: “Yes. Uh, it’s supposed to be

(Elections take place each year on the final Sunday of September and the incoming officer takes their seat on October 1<sup>st</sup>.)

[1:54:48 minute mark]

Dave: “One Year. That that’s been the, uh, agreed upon cycle, but uh, for the last three years that hasn’t happened

(Because YOU keep installing people who refuse to be your puppet and they quit, leaving Ross to take over as back-up because HE actually cares about this Assembly living on...)

Doug: “And that’s why I was asking the question, because you, I, if there was NOT a term limit, then WHY WOULD YOU SAY TIME FOR NEW BLOOD. That’s All I was wondering.”

Dave: “Yeah, uh, no, what did Ross was elected three times I, I didn’t attend the last two elections <indiscernible> this election, and he was being nominated AGAIN

There is nothing in the Bylaws that prevents anyone from being renominated unless they’ve been banned for life from the assembly.

Dave: “which would be Four years and uh, I mean, he can make up whatever excuse he wants to get a tau, sa, I, I was only Pro Tem Moderator then uh,

Cristina: “MAY I!”

Dave: <ignores request to yield the floor> “HE. WAS. ELECTED. In uh, eh, took over for uhhmm, I can’t think of her name, SHE DIED, and he took, he took over

(Because You asked him to!)

[1:55:43 minute mark]

Dave: “her position. And then, he was moderator from that point on

(For the remainder of her term, then he was nominated as Moderator, proper, elected and served One year 2022 – 2023, he was nominated in Sept 2023 as pro tem UNDER Tim Pickett as Moderator, who quit when you pushed him to create a controversy against Me, Ross served as pro tempore moderator for the remainder of Tim’s term Sept 2023 until Sept 2024 where you came in with your coven and instigated an insurrection, where you had NO PROBLEM with him being RE-ELECTED as Pro Tempore Moderator AGAIN for an ACTUAL third term.)

Dave: “uh, until Tim and Karla STEPPED UP to be (ROSS STATED AT THE BEGINNING OF THAT MEETING THAT HE WOULD NOT SERVE AS MODERATOR AGAIN, ‘I WILL REFUSE IF I AM NOMINATED FOR THIS ELECTION CYCLE’. we encouraged them. See the recording of the 2023 election.) Moderator but he was only moderator for about four months and then he stepped down. Him and Karla stepped down (they quit) because of *conflict* (stirred up by DAVE) and I won’t get into THAT and he (Ross) uh, stepped back in as moderator, alright. Which is Fine because we didn’t have anybody else that was willing to do that and I honored him for (WHEN?! How?!) that. Uh-kay? But it’s time for new blood

(Creepy that he can’t find a way to say this using different words.)

Dave: “And That’s ALL I’m Sayin uh kay

Doug: “Okay that’s all I was ask

**Dave: <getting louder, overtalking Doug> “I’m not sayin he (Ross) did something Wrong, Because He DIDN’T**

**[1:56:18 minute mark]**

***Dave: “He hasn’t done anything wrong.***

Doug: “Right. Well..”

Dave: “He’s <indiscernible>

Doug: “That’s why I was askin if there was a term limit because if there’s not a term limit, then why would it be time for new blood, okay? But (you’re claiming) there’s a term limit. So I would suggest in them emails that go out for the voting, ask anybody else if they would like to be nominated for the position as well, because there’s a lot of people that aren’t here and they don’t know what’s goin on. I yield with that.”

[1:56:47 minute mark]

Ryan: “May I? This is Ryan from Franklin County.

Mark: “Go ahead.”

Ryan: “Can you hear me okay?

Mark: “Yeah, Go ahead Ryan.”

Ryan: “I think I did hear, from Ross, that he’s suggesting to meet next week, on the 10<sup>th</sup>, to discuss the three matters that he put forth. I wanted to just say I agree with moving on with the meeting, and discussing all the details of when and how to do the (paper ballot) election at our OSJA weekly meeting. We can, I was suggesting that I do agree with maybe having an Emergency meeting on the 10<sup>th</sup> to discuss this matter of how and when we’re going to go about all these details. It’s no problem for me, if it could be communicated but what I’d like to understand is if everyone’s in agreement with that and we could just move forward with meeting on the 10<sup>th</sup>. To discuss (the details of these) matters. Is that correct? I yield with that.”

<feedback – echoing and multiple voices – indiscernible>

Danielle: “Kay, uh, we, ha, buh, wai, uh, may I because On Decemberrrr uh No. Uh I’m sorry, like I said, we dun quit a bi it in the las two mons not jus spa ta bout this election from nintwunny in du nu uh vember we de sided to go by weekly because it endud up been morppropriate for ALL the counies

(all eighty-eight counties on Ohio agreed it was more convenient to not have weekly meetings? I don’t recall seeing an email indicating there would be a vote on this matter... Or are you referring to All three of the counties that Dave suddenly has ‘attending’ HIS weekly Tuesday evening zoom calls?)

Danielle: “In Ohio to want to go biweekly to uh Ohio meeding and to their county meeting. (dismissively) So, WE had a vote. And That was passed UNANIMOUSLY. En we posded it out on the Business chat ahead of time that we were gunna be vodin FOR it, an we waded undil ther wur thurdyone people present, so wur We are OUR NEXT MEETING is December 17<sup>th</sup>. ROSS asked ta he would meet with Me in a week, but it would be him un I ON THE PHONE.

(What meeting did THAT happen in?)

Danielle: “So I jes wanna clarify that THAT is a One-On-One phone conversation

(Because the general assembly doesn’t get any say in how Danielle decides things have to be done!)

Danielle: “So, ye, should, you and I cou can talk to make sure that we agree on on here’s the email thas gunna be n then we’re gunna do tha en Lisa, since yer on thu phone, ken we doit at the club house sin medina so that we ken eh Ross ken eh he knows where thad iz so ariite we can count the boats en Zoom there. I yield.”

<feedback – multiple voices overtalking – echoing in the background – indiscernible>

Lisa: “Uh..... yer asking Foooooo Tuesday the 10<sup>th</sup>? “

Ross: “May I clarify

<feedback - echoing in the background – indiscernible>

Ross: “What Danielle, uh, I’d like, one week from tonight. We meet on Zoom. You, me and anybody else in the assembly who chooses to meet. We are having an emergency meeting SPECIFICALLY for the sake of the (re-run with paper ballots) election the following week. So,

Danielle: <interrupting> “What is Tonight, ROSS? Tonight is the emergency meeting that we’re trying to put this thing to rest! I don’t wanna keep drawing this out

[1:59:57 minute mark]

Danielle: “If You have any idea how many people and how many con, counies and how many assemblies you

(We do. It’s four – Medina, Lorain, one person from Lucas and Flo’s hyssie sisters from Cuyahoga.)

Danielle: “This behavior is driving people away! They don’t wanna get involved!”

<feedback – echoing – multiple voices in the background – indiscernible>

[2:00:00 minute mark]

Danielle: <continuing, indiscernible>

Cristina: “May I, please?”

Ross: “Can you give us an address, Danielle, where we can mail the ballots?”

Danielle: “3993 Erhart Road, Medina, Ohio 44256.”

Ross: “And can you type that in the chat, on the Business chat as well?”

Sean: “Well, you guys have a date set exact and a time and a location for the actual election, the AFR will be happy

<feedback – overtalking voices – echoing – indiscernible>

Sean: “to, uh, put it in our publication of record as well. So if you would please, forward that to us, too.”

Lisa: “Uh, Danielle, this is Lisa. Are you talkin about the seventeenth for meeting at Medina, uh, you and uh, Ross, to be together

Danielle: “YEssssss. Yes, yes, yes.”

Lisa: “I’m pretty sure that that’s a go, I’ll definitely know by tomorrow morning. Put in the bi <indiscernible> ness chat an whatever else.”

Danielle: “yokay an ROSS

<echoing – feedback – indiscernible>

Danielle: “On the 10<sup>th</sup>, IT’S JUST YOU AND ME TALKING ON THE PHONE. IT’S NOT GONNA BE EVERYBODY.  
<still talking – indiscernible>

Ross: “On The Contrary, you and I will meet on Zoom on the 10<sup>th</sup>

Danielle: “Then YOU’RE not even agreeing to what Shunnnn’s senn saying right now. He Is Saying that lets put this thing to bed. Let’s hev are vote et thu next Ohio meeting I DON WANNA HAVE ANNUTHUR MEEDING FUR OHIO.

[2:02:01 minute mark] Danielle; “YOU SHOULD HAVE HAD ALL YOUR REPRESENTATION ON THIS CALL! THET YOO WANNED! WE DO! SO IM JES SAYIN. COME

Cristina: “May I?”



Danielle: “COME ON!! BE PUPRARED!

Cristina: “May I?”

Ross: “I want to answer Danielle. So, Danielle, let’s have a phone conversation between now and next Tuesday. As Amanda has already stated, a Zoom meeting at the state level for any member who isn’t present here tonight so that we can discuss why we’re having an emergency election. We can confirm the address (to mail paper ballots in) we can confirm how the election is going to be conducted and then you and I can meet on the 17<sup>th</sup>

Danielle: “Do you REALLY think the people don’t know what’s going on by the Stuff that hez ben posted on our people’s chat bizness chat? And by the way, that is not Business chat business chat would be un agenda eh, uh wu, we have elections coming up please, eh attend, THAT is business chat THIS gossip column ah, I, I I don DO gossip

(You certainly fooled all of us!)

Danielle: “I don’t do gossip. I scroll right past that crap so what I

Ross: <indiscernible>

Mark: <indiscernible>

Sean: <indiscernible>

Danielle: <continuing to rant> “I’m saying is Tonight is the night that we A

<significant feedback – echoing – multiple overtalking voices in the background – indiscernible>

Danielle: “...RE discussing our next vote. Our next vote is December 17<sup>th</sup>. We’re Moving Forward with Vote. The Two Votes On The Table Are AMANDA and Ross because anybody else that shulda ben on this call should’ve been invided BEFORE TONIGHT! JES BECUZ IT DOESN’T GO YER WAY DOESN’T MEAN IT DOESN’T GO, KAY?!!

Danielle: “On the seventeenth, we are going to have en yer gunna mail it to 3993 Erhart Road (Medina, Ohio 44256) wur gunna vode on this en wur gunna be DUN en we are moving ON. We have already had two months of meedings. YOU ben on Vacation but WE’VE DONE two months of meedings (so, four meetings?)

*I’m not driving people any longer than we have to.* December 17<sup>th</sup>. You and I can talk on December 10<sup>th</sup>. “

Cristina: “May I?”

Mark: “Go ahead, Cristina.”

[2:04:29 minute mark]

(I’ve only requested the floor six times in the last 16 minutes and been talked over by everyone.)

Cristina: “Thank you. We have several hundred papered members around the state. As everyone has pointed out, there are only 66 people out of those several hundred who participate in Telegram. For the vote date to be the 17<sup>th</sup> for that to have a fair representation with people allowed to be made aware of it, and have the opportunity to have a conversation is not unreasonable to give them the opportunity, since we Are an assembly of people who have equal voices, a week from tonight on Tuesday, if people want to come on who haven’t been on in a while because they don’t participate in Telegram, I don’t see how anyone could object to allowing a greater number of participants across the state to HAVE A VOICE. I Yield.”

<feedback – multiple voices overtalking – echoing – indiscernible>

Danielle: <indiscernible – arguing>

Mark: “Hold on, HOLD ON DANIELLE. We’re goin on almost two and a half hours now on this call I just see all the back and forth going on here and I don’t think you quite reached an agreement, but I believe that Danielle and Ross should get together and hash out the details of how everyone is going to be contacted by email, by telephone call, by Telegram, make sure EVERYONE is notified, that’s on, on your rolls,

[2:06:09 minute mark]

(That means Dave Roberts has to turn over all the membership documents he’s been withholding from the State for the last two years.)

Mark: “If they respond, they respond. If they don’t, guess what? They don’t. That simple. That’s the way it is. That’s the way elections go. Fifty percent of the people elect the people most of the time anyway. Or less. So, Danielle and Ross. Get together talk about the details, send out emails (sic) make phone calls, send out Telegram (sic) messages, let everyone know where to mail their stuff to, let everyone know that date that it’s gonna be opened and read and move on from there. I think we can all agree on that, I think? Right??”

Ross: “I agree <echoing feedback>”

Danielle: “I agree <echoing feedback>”

<feedback – echoing – overtalking voices – indiscernible>

Mark: “Okay, very good.”

Flo: “May I?”

Mark: “Yeah, Go ahead FLO.”

Flo: “Yeah, I no, I just agree as one who formed Cuyahoga <indiscernible> I had talked to people <indiscernible> merging Cuyahoga with Medina, so we can get new people, I have young people in school, eh, uh, thirty-two year old men all taking on uh, their their little cities en stuff, that need direction are interested in this I won’t move forward until this is all ova with. And Again, if ya don get people while they’re HOT ya gonna lose ‘em so ih, uh, I jes, degree we jes needta end this figge this ou move ON we got things to do I got people comin on boad I ge go eh, yeh, young people that ah goin up agins MAYORS all by themselves with no direction they need people like AH us but we hafta be united and until we ahhh I am in a dead stall and again please people please whatevah this iz STAHP it lets move fowad and do why we came abowad en <voice cracking> Letz git this DUN. I yield.”

Mark: “Flo, that’s what (sic) we are attempting to do here.”

Flo: “Yeah, BUT <indiscernible histrionics as she continues to talk>

Mark: “Let Ross and Danielle figure out the details of this mail in or <indiscernible> election and then move on from there. You’re moving forward. You’re not

<overtalking female voices in the background – Need To!! – indiscernible>

Mark: “backing up

<multiple overtalking voices – feedback – echoing – indiscernible>

Flo: <continuing to ramp up> “...tell you right now I’M NOT DRIVING TO DELAWARE!”

(where have you been for the last 90 minutes?)

Flo: “I don’t have that time or luxury.”

Mark: “There’s no, there’s no need for you to ride to Delaware.”

Flo: “Well, they’re talkin <indiscernible> further out...”

Mark: “If you got an email or if you got some way to voice your vote, <indiscernible, Flo interrupting> that’s all you need.”

Flo: “I know I have that but when they were... okay. I yield.”

<multiple overtalking voices – indiscernible>

Danielle: “Ross, I would

Mark: “Everyone should have an opportunity to send their vote if they choose, or if they choose not to, then they don’t. It’s that simple. So Ross

Flo: “I fully agree with that.”

Mark: “So Ross and

<overtalking female voices – indiscernible>

Mark: “Ross and Danielle get this figured out. Find out

<feedback and echoing – indiscernible>

Mark: “when you’re going to do the election, get the emails sent out, get the address (to mail ballots in) sent out, whatever you gotta send out make sure it’s done in a TIMELY manner, so everyone has time to respond and then carry out your (to-be agreed upon procedure for the paper ballot re-run) election, do your count and then MOVE ON from there. That’s all there is to it. It’s very simple.”

Amanda: “May I?”

Mark: “Go ahead.”

## THIS SECTION BELOW IS TRANSCRIBED FROM THE RECORDING PROVIDED BY SEAN BELLER

Amanda: “I motion to have a vote on this; that Ross handle this, uh, so that we have a free and fair election, um, as he described that this was all under duress in his opinion, um, and I’d like to take a vote on that and have him handle this and

Dave Roberts: “vote with all assembly members present

Amanda: “Yeah, with all the assembly members right now

Dave Roberts: “PRESENT right now

Amanda: “present right now >”

Danielle: “Amanda, I, I, you mean Ross and Danielle.”

Amanda: “Yes. Ross and Danielle.”

Lisa: “I second that.”

Dave Roberts: “Mm-kay. All the , all that abstain? Is there any abstains on here? Everybody open their mics up. ”

<pause>

Dave Roberts: “Everybody went to sleep. “

Amanda: <chuckles>

Unidentifiable female voice – Opening up our mics now

<instant microphone/speaker feedback from multiple sources>

*Cristina: “If we all open up our mics at the same time it echoes back and nobody can hear anything. I yield.”*

Dave Roberts: <laughing> “Well \*I’ll\* take the chance – EVERYBODY OPEN THEIR MICS UP!”

<significant feedback, echoing, overtalking voices, squeals  
and shuffling from every open mic>

[Cristina’s connection failed, she is no longer on the call.]

Dave Roberts: “Okay. Is there any abstains here <feedback> <garbled talking> “

Amanda: <shouting> “Is there any abstains <significant feedback squeals and shuffling from multiple sources>

Multiple indiscernible background voices

Amanda: “All in favor say Aye”

Michael: “Aye”

Multiple female voices: Aye, Aye, Aye, Aye

Dave Roberts: “Charmaine, are you saying Aye?”

Charmaine: “No, I’m not. I don’t like this at all.”

Dave Roberts: “Okay.”

? Female: “NAY.”

? Female: “Three Aye’s here.”

Lisa: “Yea’s. <indiscernible> yea’s. Three YEAs. I’m TIRED!”

Danielle: “Only THREE? There was a LOT more than THREE.”

Multiple female voices overtalking. Indiscernible.

? Female: “What about Sherri? Sherri Pivonka? We have three yeas.”

Dave Roberts: “In that room there’s , uh, they have three nay’s, uh,”

Lisa: “Yeas. YEA!”

? Female: “Ah, Laura, Lisa and Sherri.”

? Female: “Ann Marie Says NO.”

? Female: “What?!”

Dave Roberts: “Who Said NO?!”

? Female: “Ann Marie.”

Dave Roberts: “Ann Marie says no. Okay.”

? Female: <shouting> “I will tell you why....

Dave Roberts: <interrupting> “NO you don’t need to. We don’t need to know. (Maybe she wanted to explain, you know, have her voice EQUALLY HEARD???)

Multiple overtalking female voices

Dave Roberts: “They’re just taking a vote. You want to wrap this up for tonight so we can go to bed!”

Charmaine: “Thank you! I’m Tired!”

Dave Roberts: “Yes, I bet you are.”

Multiple female voices laughing

Dave Roberts: “Uh, so, once again, how many yeas do we have?”

Amanda: “Yea.”

Dave Roberts: “Amanda, Ross, gave us a yea. Uh, three <indiscernible>

Amanda: “<indiscernible> said Nay.”

Dave Roberts: “Ross, did you say nay?”

Multiple overtalking voices and feedback

Ross: “I SAID YEA.”

Feedback and multiple overtalking voices – male and female

Ross: “<indiscernible> with Amanda”

Amanda: “Okay.”

Dave Roberts: “So we have five votes, uh, right n

Danielle: “DANIELLE SAID YEA.”

Dave Roberts: “ right now, uh, okay...”

Laura: “Laura, Lisa, Sherri – YEA.”

Dave Roberts: “Flo. Did you vote yea? Nay? What did you vote?”

<5 second pause>

Flo: “Flo, yea.”

Dave Roberts: “Michael.”

Amanda: “I got eleven yeas.”

Michael: “I was a yea.”

Dave Roberts: “Okay.”

Amanda: “Twelve.”

Dave: “Twelve.”

<indiscernible – feedback and overtalking voices>

Amanda: “Thirteen.”

Lisa: “Merida says Yea.” (MERIDA IS NOT ON THE CALL BUT SHE’S VOTING?!)

Feedback and overtalking female voices

Amanda: “Fourteen.”

Lisa: “She can’t get on but she says Yea.” (AND THIS IS WHY WE HAVE TRUST ISSUES.)

Feedback and overtalking female voices

Danielle: “who just said yea?”

Amanda: “Fifteen yeas.”

Dave Roberts: “We’ve only got three nay’s so far.”

Amanda: “And one abstain.”

(NOTE THAT ONLY ONE NAY HAS THE ELECTOR’S NAME ATTACHED TO IT – Ann Marie)

Danielle: “That’s nineteen.”

Lisa: “Merida. Merida said yea.” (MERIDA IS NOT ON THE CALL BUT SHE’S VOTING)

Dave Roberts: “STU! Stuart! Are you gonna vote?” (STUART IS NOT ON THE CALL BUT DAVE IS TRYING TO CAST A VOTE IN HIS NAME?)

Feedback and multiple background voices – indiscernible

Amanda: “Well, the majority is, uh, I counted sixteen. I think somebody else said nineteen.

? Female: “<indiscernible> that’s <indiscernible> vote

Dave Roberts: “The Yea’s carry.”

Amanda: “The yeas carry. So, Ross will handle this next election and I’m gonna hand it over to the, to Ross until this election is free and fair and is not under any kind of duress.”

Danielle: “Ross AND DANIELLE!!”

Dave Roberts, Amanda, Ross, others: “correct.”

Multiple overtalking voices and significant feedback

Lisa: “MEANING DANIELLE IS WITH ROSS!”

Danielle: “Second.” Overtalking Ross: “Correct; we will be in the room together.”

Multiple overtalking female voices and feedback

Danielle: “YES. DANIELLE AND ROSS DUO. NOT JUST ROSS HANDLING THIS ELECTION!”

Dave Roberts: “Correct.”

Multiple overtalking voices and feedback

Danielle: “Okay.”

Multiple overtalking voices and feedback

Amanda: “<indiscernible> they are having a private meeting next, uh, on the 10<sup>th</sup> or is it open to

Multiple overtalking voices and feedback

Ross: “We will have a private telephone conversation in the next two days.

Multiple overtalking voices and feedback

Ross: “On the 10<sup>th</sup> there will be an open Zoom call, a non-official meeting, for anyone who has questions about where to mail or how to write the ballot.”

Dave Roberts and others overtalking – indiscernible

Ross: “And then on the 17<sup>th</sup> we will count all the ballots. I yield.”

Amanda: “And who is making up those ballots? “

Dave Roberts: “They should be...

Ross: “Danielle and I will verify this over our phone conversation in the next two days, and it will be posted on the One People BUSINESS chat (page on Telegram) and shared by email, telephone text, however we can communicate it. I yield.”

Multiple overtalking voices and feedback

Dave Roberts: “So we’re in agreement?”

Danielle: “Yes.”

Ross: “Yes.”

Amanda: “ I have, uh,

Dave Roberts: <indiscernible in the background>

Multiple overtalking voices and feedback

Amanda: “I also ask that, I also ask to bring another

Dave Roberts: <indiscernible in the background>

Amanda: “vote to the floor: That we hold harmless all past events and we squash this. How many yeas?”

Multiple overtalking voices and feedback

Indiscernible voices – yea, yeah, yeah

Ross: “Is this for OSJA members, Amanda?”

Dave Roberts: “Yes.”

Ross: “Yes, I hold all OSJA members harmle

Danielle: “Meaning None of Us are EVER EVER EVER Promise to never ever bring this up <voice cracking> Again. NEVER!

Dave Roberts overtalking <indiscernible>

Danielle: “Because we all love each other!”

Multiple overtalking voices and feedback

Amanda: “Okay. Right. How many more Yeas we got?!”

Mark: “Charmaine says YEA.”

Dave Roberts: “Charmaine says yea.”

? Female: “<indiscernible> says yea.”

? Female: “<indiscernible> said yea.”

Sean Beller: “Cristina Marni?”

Dave Roberts: “Okay. Did everybody say yea that is gonna say, or, nay or whatever ?”

? Female: “<indiscernible> says yeah.”

Lindi: “Lindi says yea.”

Dave Roberts: “Okay, so we’re approaching fifteen, so that, that’s the majority. All right. We wanna move to adjourn, ahhh, um, ”

Amanda: “What, did we, uh”

Dave Roberts: “Sean, can we move to adjourn now?”

Amanda: “<indiscernible> I, cuz, I didn’t hear, I lost track. Can we do one more count you guys, please. I’m so sorry, but alright, uh, can we do one more count I say yea. Next, please.”

Brian, overtalking: “Medina says yea.”

Ross: “<indiscernible> to hold harmless all OSJA members for any actions since the 9/20 election. Ross is a Yea.”

Amanda: “Okay, that’s three.”

Danielle: “Danielle is a yea.”

Amanda: “Four. Five.”

Brian: “Brian from Medina says Yea.”

Amanda: “Six. My mom Debi says that’s seven. Charmaine said yea, that’s eight.”

Charmaine: “I said nay. I said Nay.”

Amanda: “To hold everybody harmless?”

Charmaine: “Oh no. Yea.”

Amanda: “Yeah. You said yea. That’s eight. Go ahead.”

Sam: “Yea, Sam.”



Sean: “Cristina?”

Amanda: <indiscernible>

Sean: “I’d like to hear

Amanda: <indiscernible>

Sean: “from Cristina.”

Sam: “Sam says yea,”

Amanda: “I got you Sam. We got nine right now. Michael?”

Lisa: “Merida says yea from Medina.”

Amanda: “Yea.”

Danielle: “SEAN SAID HE WANTS TO HEAR FROM CRISTINA.”

Amanda: “Eleven with, uh, uh.

Michael: <indiscernible>

Amanda: “Michael so that’s eleven.”

Multiple overtalking voices and feedback

Amanda: “Flo, what do you say?”

Ross in the background, indiscernible – putting Cristina on the call via HIS phone

Cristina: “May I? I’m sorry, my computer shut down. I vote Nay.” (Ross accidentally muted the end of my response – I said “I vote Nay because I don’t believe holding anyone harmless fits with our Jural Covenant Oath. We have the right and the responsibility to choose CORRECT behaviors.”

Amanda: “uh, mm-kay.”

Sean: “You’re getting your reelection, Cristina. I’m going to ask that you really think this over before this call is over.”

Amanda: “I got, uh,

Lisa: “Lisa, Lisa, Laura and Sherri say yea.”

Amanda: “Okay, well that’s twelve, thirteen, fourteen. We have fourteen yeas. And one nay.”

? Female: “Cristina’s having trouble getting on?”

Dave Roberts: “Fifteen. You got fifteen.”

Amanda: “What?”

Dave Roberts: “You got one nay.”

Amanda: “I got four, uh, I got, I think I got, I have

Dave Roberts: “FIFTEEN. You have fifteen right

Lisa: “I’m sorry Leah from Medina said yea.”

Amanda: “Sixteen.”

Ann Marie: “Yea.”

Amanda: “Who was that?”

Ann Marie: “Ann Marie.”

Amanda: “Seventeen.”

Dave Roberts: “You gotta make your <indiscernible>

Female voices overtalking

Amanda: “Okay. Okay we, okay. Meeting, I believe should be adjourned. I motion to adjourn this meeting and

Ross: “I second.”

[END OF RECORDING]

+++++

The foregoing is complete and true to the best of my knowledge and capacity for most accurate transcription including interjectory clarifications where lawful terminology, references to other meetings and statements are necessary. Parenthetical editorializing is the sole opinion and responsibility of the author and does not reflect the thoughts, opinions nor position of any man, woman nor group of mankind other than the author. I humbly request forgiveness from the reader of my sometimes overly emotive expressions.

I grant my permission for these personal notes to be utilized as factual evidence of the record of events and will consider corrections where typos, misattributions or incorrect spelling has occurred. Those amended sections will be included POST SCRIPT in alternate color and font after this forty-first page of this document created by my own hand, finalized this 13<sup>th</sup> day of January in the year of our Lord 2025 at 12:22 am.

*Cristina Marni Delia*