Common Law Jurist Training

Weekly Zoom Call

Minutes - 11/09/24

As recorded by Cristina, OSJA

MEETINGS ARE NON-POLITICAL, NOR RESTRICTED TO MEMBERS OF ANY SPECIFIC ORGANIZATION, OR RELIGION.

Bivens is not discussed.

THIS TRAINING IS DESIGNED TO EDUCATE ALL MEN AND WOMEN ON THE LAND IN WAYS TO RETAIN SOVEREIGNTY, BECOME SELF-GOVERNING, EMBRACE COMMON LAW AND THE TRADITIONAL MORALS AND ETHICS WHERE EVERY MAN AND WOMAN HAS AN EQUAL RIGHT AND RESPONSIBILITY TOWARDS THEMSELVES AND EVERYONE AROUND THEM.

The foundation of this all is that there are only two laws given by God to mankind – do no harm and do not trespass.

These meetings are practice preparation and mock trial for Common Law jury training and handbook.

10:00 a.m. - Beverly opens the meeting with a moment of silence in prayerful reflection before we begin.

10:08 a.m. - Recordings are begun.

Attendees (9):

Beverly – Clermont County Keith – Fairfield County

Michael – Adams County John – Clermont County

Ross & Cristina – Summit County Tina – Fairfield County

Judy - Montgomery Diane – Kentucky

Kat - Lorain

Oath conversation continues

Reminder that the various aspects the claimant will need to focus on for their peer jurist(s) to properly reflect what the man or woman bringing the claim believes as their basis of right versus wrong, equity and return to honor.

Michael begins the discussion by pointing out common law language requires the present tense and removal of negative statements. His proposed oath:

i, ______ affirm/give oath that i, am true to serve those of mankind as a wo/man who serve as investigator on a jury/board of review and will do right by any and all of mankind; preferring no one; not being subject to envy, hatred, malice, covetousness, ill-will, fear, favor, affection, reward or enticements; assure that each man or woman is heard; investigate, without reserve or restraint, this matter of knowledge or evidence which are brought forth unto me in such capacity; to uphold the law with respect to mankind, faithfully, impartially, peacefully, honorably and never contrary to the laws of almighty God; i witness a claim and the facts and evidence to support said claim, and render a recommendation without favor, affection, or ill will;

so help me God/Creator/universal source.

Diane Mary also proposed a few minor changes; these were discussed along with the specific variations between a formal grand jury, a petit jury and a board of review. The group concluded thusly:
i, affirm/give oath that i, will be true to serve those of wo/man/mankind/man and woman on the land as a wo/man who serves as investigator on a jury/board of review and will do right by any and all of wo/man; preferring no one through envy, hatred, malice, covetousness or ill-will, and leaving no one misrepresented out of fear, favor, affection, reward or enticements; investigating, without reserve or restraint, this matter which has been brought forth unto me in such capacity; and shall uphold the law with respect to all, faithfully, impartially, peacefully, honorably, equitably, and never contrary to the laws of almighty God; i shall witness a claim and the facts and evidence to support said claim, and shall render a recommendation without favor, affection, or ill will; reflecting equal rights and returning every man and woman to honor; so help me God/Creator/universal source.
/s/
The group decides that we are ready to hold our first mock board of review, using Michael's recent de facto interaction as our basis.
Michael's oath is utilized. For the purposes of this practice session, the following positions are designated:
Beverly – Magistrate
Michael – Harmed claimant
Cristina and Ross – the de facto agents
Kat, Keith, Judy and John – members of the Board of Review
Michael presents the facts of his case. Much discussion is had regarding the difference between de facto proceedings and all the ways claims are different. The idea of remedy in these situations is almost as important as ensuring jurists share the same spiritual basis of governance. Collectively, educating all members of the de facto (as well as all mankind) in common law, a detailed written apology for the harm and monetary damages at a punitive level yet not so excessive that it is unrecoverable.

GOAL: exist in peace, eliminate controversy - restore honor - operate wholly in the private.

PLEASE SEE RECORDING

Conclusion: Each participant and those reviewing these meetings after the fact are asked to extract information from the study materials, along with each aspect we have discussed, with a mind to making the language of the texts we are reviewing more generally understandable, the idea of jural participation less complicated/more modern-day embraceable to the general population.

Everyone participating in these calls, reading the minutes and watching the recordings is called to action in recommending any source of additional guidance and information that could help us structure our process better.

Written minutes, the recordings of these calls and links to future meetings will be posted on Telegram and forwarded through emails provided by participants during the meetings.

Meeting is adjourned at 11:59 am. Our next meeting is scheduled for Saturday, November 16, 2024 at 10 am via unique Zoom link to be sent by Wednesday of the week prior.

Research Materials -

- 1. Lysander Spooner's "An Essay on Trial by Jury" https://oll.libertyfund.org/titles/spooner-an-essay-on-the-trial-by-jury-1852 and
- 2. Augustus Blackstone's "Errant Sovereign's Handbook" https://archive.org/details/blackstoneaugustustheerrantsovereignshandbook
- 3. Sabrina Wallace https://youtu.be/SiBFtwbyv44?si=fmewI9MzI8DP3ipt
- 4. Alex Collier https://www.alexcollier.org/
- 5. Jack Cruz https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TcbuqQd57rY&ab_channel=Urbit
- 6. Stew Peters movie "Died Suddenly" https://stewpeters.com/
- 7. Howard Freeman's essays https://famguardian.org/PublishedAuthors/Indiv/FreemanHoward/freeman4.htm https://www.educatedinlaw.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/14-THE-UCC-CONNECTION.pdf
- 8. Variations of common law <a href="https://uollb.com/blogs/uol/english-common-law-vs-scottish-common-law-vs-sc
- 9. Julian Equity Law Course -- https://youtube.com/watch?v=Oc1283dFrJA
- 10. The Constitution circ 1781- https://www.archives.gov/founding-docs/constitution-transcript
- 11. The Articles of Confederation circ 1777- https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/SMAN-107/pdf/SMAN-107-pg935.pdf including the Lee Resolution https://www.archives.gov/milestone-documents/lee-resolution
- 12. The Magna Carta -circ. 1215https://www.researchgate.net/publication/290625846 Magna Carta And Its Significant Role For Rule Of L aw In The Republic Of Macedonia
- 13. The Holy Alliance circ. 1213 aka <u>The (Secret) Treaty of Verona</u> https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/request/validity of past treaties
- 14. https://www.napoleon-series.org/research/government/diplomatic/c_alliance.html
- 15. https://www.scribd.com/doc/234182510/The-Secret-Treaty-of-Verona-1213
- 16. Scottish common law court https://commonlawcourt.com/
- 17. Howard Freeman's essay on two governments https://freeman4.htm
- 18. COMMON LAW GRAND JURY HANDBOOK by <u>John Darash</u> https://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B08FKTWRVM/ref=ox_sc_act_title_2?smid=ATVPDKIKX0DER&psc=1