

## Common Law Jurist Training

### Weekly Zoom Call

### Minutes – 9/28/24

*As recorded by Cristina, OSJA*

*MEETINGS ARE NOT RESTRICTED TO MEMBERS OF ANY SPECIFIC ORGANIZATION, OR RELIGION. Bivens is not discussed.*

*THIS TRAINING IS \*IN PROCESS OF BEING DESIGNED\* TO EDUCATE ALL MEN AND WOMEN ON THE LAND IN WAYS TO BECOME SELF-GOVERNING, EMBRACE COMMON LAW AND THE TRADITIONAL MORALS AND ETHICS WHERE EVERY MAN AND WOMAN HAS AN EQUAL RIGHT AND RESPONSIBILITY TOWARDS THEMSELVES AND EVERYONE AROUND THEM.*

*The foundation of this all is that there are only two laws given by God to mankind – do no harm and do not trespass.*

**The goal is to determine a structure for Mock Trial [Common Law Court of Claim Hearing]/training/handbook.**

***Two books recommended for research –***

1. ***Lysander Spooner's "An Essay on Trial by Jury"*** <https://oll.libertyfund.org/titles/spooner-an-essay-on-the-trial-by-jury-1852> ***and***
2. ***Augustus Blackstone's "Errant Sovereign's Handbook"*** <https://archive.org/details/blackstoneaugustustheerrantsovereignshandbook>

---

11:07am Ross begins the recording. Beverly opens the meeting with a moment of silence in prayerful reflection before we begin.

Attendees (5):

Beverly – Clermont County

Judy – Clermont County

Ross & Cristina – Summit County

Keith – Fairfield County

Initial discussion is an attempt to summarize and highlight the most important parts of Spooner book to aid future jurists in their study of the most vital information.

Ross highlights the fact that in Spooner's time as well as now, the de facto is only required to recognize the Constitution on January 20<sup>th</sup> after an election year and the remainder of the time their focus is to restrain the rights of mankind.

Judy articulates her main takeaway that although Spooner discusses from a perspective of several hundred years ago, that rights are either usurped or delegated by the man.

Library of Liberty.com has the Lysander Spooner document “*An Essay on Trial by Jury*”.

Beverly requests we each compile a handful of quotes from the Spooner book for inclusion in our *Handbook for Training Jurists*.

### **7<sup>th</sup> Amendment and 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment extrapolation is discussed.**

#### First Amendment

“Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.” [Language from constitution.congress.gov]

#### Seventh Amendment

“In Suits at common law, where the value in controversy shall exceed twenty dollars, the right of trial by jury shall be preserved, and no fact tried by a jury, shall be otherwise re-examined in any Court of the United States, than according to the rules of the common law.” [Language from constitution.congress.gov]

This combination of the amendments makes it irrefutable that the common law jury is the only PROPER form of adjudication the Constitution allows.

Discussion is had amongst the participants to share where each of us is at in the process of learning common law and how to be a jurist. Points illuminated as key to our end goal:

- Knowledge of common law and how it applies moment to moment in our lives.
- A dedication to standing in honor, being of a mind to exercise the highest level of self-governance within themselves to ‘automatically’ act with the best interests of mankind.
- A flow chart outlining the process of going from the desire to learn, and the concept of a common law jury.
- There are no truly impartial men or women. The only way for us to seat a jury of our peers is to ensure that more men and women are fully educated in this process.

Keith is asked to discuss the matter he is attempting to rectify in Lancaster where the codes and statutes forbid activities and behaviors being perpetrated on the public square. The law director has even bowed to her fear of the Destination Downtown/Rainbow Coalition and claims that the laws that are being broken ‘are arcane and do not represent the views of the contemporary local community’. The subsequent meeting had over one hundred people showing their support of the laws. The parade licensed under permit as a family friendly parade except they conducted a multiple performer drag show where adult men were dancing with sexually explicit announcer promoting the preschool children to tuck dollar bills in their G-strings. Importantly, Keith notes that several gay couples reached out to the Fairfield CoS to express their shared outrage that the event was not one that should have taken place outside of a properly licensed club for adults BUT THAT THE GAY COUPLES WERE AFRAID OF THE ‘DESTINATION DOWNTOWN/RAINBOW COALITION’ SO THEY DID NOT SPEAK OUT AGAINST THAT BULLYING COMMUNITY.

Details of the situation, including how a jury might be presented with similar situation : the law director has chosen to yield to the hysterics of a very tiny percentage of the population instead of enforcing the “arcane” law.

The concept of a potential Juror Selection Committee (as opposed to the de facto juror selection process which is dictated by the two lawyers in each case) seated under the Grand Jury Commissioner is discussed.

Review of the Jural Assembly structure and responsibilities of each chair is discussed and how these all mesh together with the process we are attempting to document and provide a handbook for guidance.

**Conclusion:** Each participant and those reviewing these meetings after the fact are asked to consider each aspect we have discussed with a mind to making the language of the texts we are reviewing, and the idea of jural participation less complicated/more modern-day understandable to the general population. Recognition of how the educational system and society has discouraged the self-responsibility pyramid is highlighted as a critical focus.

The Errant Sovereign’s Handbook is recommended in addition to An Essay on Trial by Jury for study before next meeting, with each participant requested to note and present a handful of the most vital quotes from the books at the next meeting.

Beverly requests a comparison between the RUSA process mentioned by Dave Roberts in the annual elections meeting and the process outlined in OSJA’s founding papers. Keith will locate that information on the RUSA website and email it to the group. Ross discusses the OSJA position.

*Everyone participating in the call, reading these minutes and watching the recording is called to action in recommending any source of additional guidance and information that could help us structure our process better.*

Written minutes, the recordings of these calls and links to future meetings will be posted on Telegram and forwarded through emails provided by participants during the meetings.

Meeting is adjourned at 12:30pm. Our next meeting is scheduled for Saturday, October 5, 2024 at 10 am via unique Zoom link to be sent by Wednesday of the week prior.