

The Ohio State Jural Assembly
Official Weekly Business Meeting
Minutes for Tuesday January 30, 2024
documented by Michele Guerrieri, Scribe

*** 2/27/24 – see correction in red font

**Note: On 1/24/23 at 10 am Dave Roberts unilaterally CENSORED
Cristina Marni from ALL assembly interactions without facts or
evidence in hand, until the accusations made against her by Tim
Pickett and Karla Krainick are fully investigated and resolved.**

7:03 Tim starts recording and opens official meeting of OSJA, where we meet to discuss all business and will motion and

vote on all matters.

Michael says prayer followed by 15 seconds for reflection.

Tim reads the Bivens Call for Declaration of conflicting Allegiances three times; no response.

Robert's Rules of Order will be followed.

Roll call: 13 in attendance:

Tim and Karla – Fairfield	Kathy – Fairfield	Ross – Summit	Joe – Summit
Michael – Adams	Michele – Cuyahoga	Ryan – Franklin	Kat - Lorain
Stephen – Vinton	Robin – Warren	Beverly - Clermont	
Dave Roberts – State			

Open Old Business – Ross motions, Michael seconds.

Old Business:

-Michele reads minutes from 1/23/24; vote to accept minutes as read: 3 abstain, 0 nay, 9 yea.

-Treasury update from Michael: no updates/changes to report.

-Education Committee update from Michael – no updates to report, he has a document on how to handle and resolve disputes that he will present in New Business;

-Public Affairs update from Ross: we're excited about the new database; he got no calls yet about the script cards; he's looking forward to the 1-3 minute videos for education; Still no content received from anyone in the assembly besides himself and Cristina.

Housekeeping from Tim:

-Tim wants to revisit Michael's motion from last week that was tabled;

-Michael speaks to his motion from last week, adding we need a better procedure for handling this matter; bylaws don't really have an administrative process for handling such matters; he thinks we

have a Biblical mandate to follow to seek reconciliation, how to air grievances and to remove controversy; he has a document to present that he feels will be useful; Michael states his motion “to formulate an administrative process to deal with controversies within the assembly”; Kat seconds;

-much discussion takes place around bylaws and need for a process to resolve controversies; see recording for details.

-Tim reads from the bylaws the sections that outlines the process for dealing with members who disregard the jural covenant of office oath, stating member can be investigated by a 5-member review board; the board may recommend removal, but the assembly must vote on that; the review board is to be appointed by the moderator; verified failure to exemplify high standards of behavior and attitude within the philosophy and direction of the OSJA or violation of these bylaws or failure to maintain statutes of a member in good standing in any way will result in immediate suspension of all the member’s privileges and immediate removal from any office held by the member at that time;

Tim claims these sections of the oath and bylaws justify him and Karla openly attacking Cristina in front of the whole assembly on a recorded, publicly posted call as the correct thing to do;

now we need to document everything that is going on and then we need to investigate; this is not stupid and this is not a waste of time because we are trying to act as a committee joined in unity and if we have one person who is being destructive, it is not stupid to bring that forward to a committee;

-Tim adds that he has been a member for a year and he hasn’t seen OSJA go anywhere;

-Ross asks if the section of the bylaws that refer to violation of jural covenant of office apply to acting in a business meeting vs acting as jurist?

-Tim states that he read two different paragraphs – the first was from the Covenant of Oath of Office and the second was from the Bylaws section about removal of a member from OSJA; he is trying to get to the bottom of Michael’s motion that was tabled until we figure out what to do;

-Dave states that there are steps and procedures that are being skipped right now; he says there needs to be an investigation and that all parties have to give factual testimony under penalties of perjury of their statement and that needs to be evaluated; that has not happened yet; he advises that we don’t want to appoint a board and conduct that step unless we have to because it’s demoralizing to the assembly; he ~~suggests~~ **states** that anyone who has grievances put them in writing in the form of an affidavit stating the harm that was being done and submit to him for evaluation and then he will determine if a board needs to be convened; he says the board cannot be any of the members attending this meeting; it has to be a non-biased committee;

-Tim clarifies that he is trying to address Michael’s motion and that needs to be cleared up before we can move forward **on anything else**; that is the topic he is on right now;

-Michael adds that he is getting out order because he did not stick to his original motion from last week and just explain that; his point last week was that he thought there was not a process for investigation and presentation of evidence and that just heresay was presented; he sees the need for a process; he asks Tim where he is on researching any existing process;

-Tim says that what he found in the bylaws conflicts with what Dave stated; bylaws state the **moderator** will appoint the 5-member board, but Dave is saying that Dave will appoint board;

-Dave says it's normally done by the Justice, but the State Justice is incapacitated so in that case, responsibility falls to the Continental Marshal;

-Tim reads the portion of bylaws again that state "the 5-member review board will be appointed by the moderator; section "Removal of an Assembly Member from Ohio State Jural Assembly"

-Ross presents the bylaws and shows the section from which Tim is reading;

-Kat asks Tim if it is his intention to remove Cristina; Tim responds yes, that is his intent;

-Kat resigns from OSJA;

Tim states that we can't let the actions of one person drive us away; we have to stay united and have the courage to face these problems together;

-Tim asks everyone who wants to make a statement to do so as he continues to review the bylaws;

-Michael feels the bylaws don't clearly state how we determine if a member is in violation of oath; he goes on to explain what he feels the process is based on the Bible; see recording for details; he hasn't seen any actual evidence of what supposedly happened; he asks for proof to be presented of the manipulations, etc., that Cristina is accused of;

-Michele asks how you prove that a person said something to you if it was verbal?

-Dave points out that the portion of the bylaws Tim is referring to relate to the Foreman (Moderator), who is authority **above** the Chief Justice, who is authority **above** the Continental Marshal, who are authorities above the currently seated elected officers of our assembly, who are authorities above any Committee chairs of our assembly. We do not currently have a Foreman or a Chief Justice, so Dave is the top tier of responsibility to ensure correct ethical procedures are followed and the direction of the assembly remains intact. Tim is the (small m) moderator, this is entirely different and Dave, not Tim, has the responsibility to appoint out-of-state members of any Board of Review, if Dave's investigation yields actual proof of wrongdoing. He states that is the purpose of the sworn Affidavits testimony of anyone who believes they were wronged; Dave must require integrity of proper procedure be followed by ALL.

-Michael withdraws his motion from last week; (with no assembly vote on the motion or the withdrawal).

Michael makes new motion to “construct an administrative process that outlines steps by which we deal with controversy amongst the assembly members”; Stephen seconds; vote is unanimous.

Close Old Business – Ross motions, Tim and Michael second.

Open New Business – Michael motions, Tim seconds.

New Business:

- Tim motions to “have a special meeting to formulate a plan on how to proceed from here”. Michael and Karla second; vote is unanimous.
meeting will be Wednesday January 31 at 7pm using Zoom link for this Tuesday call;
- Michael will post a document on how to handle/resolve disputes on Telegram;
- Tim reminds all that we need a new scribe;
- Michele asks if a scribe is required;
- Robin thinks that OSJA originally followed Michigan Rules and we voted to have meetings documented in writing, but she believes we can vote to change it;
- Ross feels it is necessary evidence of the OSJA existence;
- there is conversation about alternative solutions, such as at each meeting, voting on an emergency scribe or voting to have meeting without scribe and only have a recording;

Close New Business – Michael motions, Ross seconds.

Adjourn – Michael motions, Karla seconds.

8:07 Tim adjourns meeting.

Next meeting is Tuesday February 6, 2024